

**HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA
AT HYDERABAD**

WEDNESDAY, THE TENTH DAY OF JUNE
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY SIX

:PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE N.V.SHRAVAN KUMAR

WP NO: 12493 OF 2026

Between:

1. Shrikant Krishna Rao, S/o K. Krishna Rao
2. Hari Priyadarshini Mekala, W/o Shrikant K. Rao.

.....PETITIONERS

AND

1. The State of Telangana, Municipal Administration and Urban Development, MAUD, Rep. by it's Principal Secretary, Secretariat, Hyderabad
2. Greater Hyderabad Municipal Corporation, GHMC, Rep. by its Commissioner, Bandlaguda Jagir, Ranga Reddy District-500030
3. Hyderabad Disaster Response and Asset Protection Agency, HYDRAA Rep. by its Commissioner Buddha Bhavan, Hyderabad 500003
4. Hyderabad Metropolitan Development Authority, HMDA Rep. by its Metropolitan Commissioner Swarna Jayanthi complex, Ameerpet Hyderabad, Telangana 500038

.....RESPONDENTS

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed therewith, the High Court may be pleased to:

- Issue a Writ, Order or Direction, more particularly one in the nature of Mandamus, declaring the inaction of the Respondents in not acting upon the issue of encroachment of PARK / OPEN SPACE, despite Representations and Government memos, as illegal, arbitrary, and violative of Articles 14 and 21 of the Constitution of India.
- Direct the Respondent No. 3 to act on the Petitioner's representation dated 27/1/2025 to identify and act on the PARK / OPENSPACE encroachments mentioned in it.
- Direct the Respondent No. 4 to act on the Petitioners representation dated 24/4/2025 , and cancel / recall LRS approvals, if found to be contrary to land use of PARK / OPENSPACE , LRS rules and regulations

Interim Relief:

- Grant an interim direction, declaring the inaction of the Respondents and directing the Respondents to identify and mark the boundaries of disputed PARK / OPENSOURCE area, secure it and restrain any further construction, alienation, or change in nature of the PARK - OPENSOURCE area pending disposal of the writ petition.

I.A. NO: 1 OF 2026

Petition under Section 151 of C.P.C praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the writ petition, the High Court may be pleased to Grant an interim direction, declaring the inaction of the Respondents, and directing the Respondents to identify and mark the boundaries of disputed PARK area, secure it and restrain any further construction, alienation, or change in nature of the PARK area, pending disposal of WP No 12493 of 2026, on the file of the High Court.

The petition coming on for hearing, upon perusing the petition and the affidavit filed in support thereof and upon hearing the arguments of SRI SHRIKANT KRISHNA RAO,[Party-in-Person] Advocate for the Petitioners, GP FOR MUNICIPAL ADMINISTRATION AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT for the Respondent no.1, Ms. M. BHAGYA SRI, Standing Counsel for the Respondent No.2, SRI KOUSTHUB, COUNSEL REPRESENTING SRI SAMEER AHMED, Standing Counsel for HYDRAA for the Respondent no.3 and SRI V. NARSIMHA GOUD, Standing Counsel for the HMDA for the Respondent no.4, the Court made the following.

ORDER:

This writ petition is filed aggrieved by the action of the respondents in not acting upon the issue of encroachment of PARK/OPENSOURCE, despite representation dated 13.05.2025 given to respondent No.1/Municipal Administration & Urban Development, representation dated 04.09.2024 & 27.01.2025 given to Hyderabad Disaster Response and Asset Protection Agency/respondent No.3 and representation dated 24.04.2025 given to Hyderabad Metropolitan Development Authority/respondent No.4.

Learned party-in-person has filed a memo and drawn attention of this Court to the Gift Settlement Deed for Public Use dated 18.08.2018 in which land for an extent of 2878.86 square yards in Sy.Nos.176, 176/a, 176/aa, 176/e, 176/ee, 176, 176/u & 176/aa situated at Kismathpur village and G.P., Gandipet Mandal, Ranga Reddy District was given under gift settlement deed to the then Gram Panchayat, Kismathpur village, Gandipet mandal, Rangareddy District, which is now coming under the Bandlaguda Municipality.

The main grievance of the petitioner/party-in-person is that the said open space which is meant for the public use certain constructions have been coming up and in that

aspect he has made representations to respondents and the same were not considered till date.

Mr. Kousthub, learned counsel representing Mr. Sameer Ahmed, learned standing counsel for HYDRAA/respondent No.3 and Mr.V. Narsimha Goud, learned standing counsel appearing for HMDA/respondent No.4 submits that the respondent authorities will consider the representations of the petitioner/party-in-person and shall pass appropriate orders by following due procedure as contemplated under law.

Post on 15.07.2026.

Sd/- M.NAGAMANI
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

//TRUE COPY//

SECTION OFFICER

To,

1. The Principal Secretary, Municipal Administration and Urban Development, MAUD, Secretariat, State of Telangana, Hyderabad
2. The Commissioner, Greater Hyderabad Municipal Corporation, GHMC, Bandlaguda Jagir, Ranga Reddy District-500030
3. The Commissioner, Hyderabad Disaster Response and Asset Protection Agency, HYDRAA Buddha Bhavan, Hyderabad 500003
4. The Metropolitan Commissioner, Hyderabad Metropolitan Development Authority, HMDA Swarna Jayanthi complex, Ameerpet Hyderabad, Telangana 500038.
(Addressees 1 to 4 BY SPAD)
5. One CC to SHRIKANT KRISHNA RAO, Party-in-person [OPUC]
6. Two CCs to GP FOR MUNICIPAL ADMINISTRATION AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT, High Court for the State of Telangana, at Hyderabad [OUT]
7. One spare copy

HIGH COURT

NVSK, J

DATED: 10-06-2026

POST ON 15.07.2026.

ORDER



WP.No.12493 of 2026

DIRECTION