

**HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA
AT HYDERABAD**

FRIDAY, THE TENTH DAY OF APRIL
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY SIX

**:PRESENT:
THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE B.VIJAYSEN REDDY
WP NO: 11227 OF 2026**

Between

1. K.Raja Somashekar Reddy, s/o. Venkata Ramesh Reddy.
2. K.Sharath Chandra Reddy, s/o. Venkata Ramesh Reddy.
3. P.Prashanth Reddy, s/o. P.Krishna Reddy.

.....PETITIONERS

AND

1. The State of Telangana, Rep. by its Principal Secretary (Excise) Secretariat Buildings at Hyderabad
2. The District Collector,, Ranga Reddy District, Kongarkalan, Hyderabad,
7. The Revenue Divisional Officer, Chevella Division, Ranga Reddy district
8. The Tahsildar,, Moinabad Mandal, Ranga Reddy District.

.....RESPONDENTS

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed therewith, the High Court may be pleased to issue an appropriate order, writ or direction more particularly one in the nature of writ of Mandamus declaring the proceedings vide order No.B/1250/2001, dated 08.02.2002 passed by 4th respondent-Tahsildar, in respect of land (a) land bearing survey Nos.176/23AA, 176/23E and 176/42 admeasuring Ac.1.25 guntas, and (b) land bearing survey Nos. 176/43, 176/44 and 176/45 admeasuring Ac.1.30 guntas, situated in Aziz Nagar village, Moinabad mandal, Ranga Reddy District, held by the petitioners 1 and 2, and (a) land bearing survey Nos. 176/43, 176/44 and 176/45 admeasuring Ac.1.05 guntas (b) land bearing survey Nos. 176/43, 176/44 and 176/45 admeasuring Ac.1.12 guntas and (c) land bearing survey Nos. 176/42, 176/23/AA and 176/23/E admeasuring Ac.1.00 guntas, situated in Aziz Nagar village, Moinabad Mandal, Ranga Reddy District, belonging to petitioner No.3, as illegal, arbitrary, without jurisdiction, null and void and contrary to the provisions of the Act 9 of 1977 and violative of Articles 14, 21 and 300A of the Constitution of India, besides being violative of principles of natural justice, and consequently set aside the same,

✓

and direct the respondents not to interfere with the possession of petitioners 1 and 2 in respect of (a) land bearing survey Nos. 176/23AA, 176/23E and 176/42 admeasuring Ac.1.25 guntas, and (b) land bearing survey Nos. 176/43, 176/44 and 176/45 admeasuring Ac.1.30 guntas, situated in Aziz Nagar village, Moinabad mandal, Ranga Reddy district, and possession of petitioner No.3 in respect of (a) land bearing survey Nos. 176/43, 176/44 and 176/45 admeasuring Ac.1.05 guntas (b) land bearing survey Nos. 176/43, 176/44 and 176/45 admeasuring Ac.1.12 guntas and (c) land bearing survey Nos. 176/42, 176/23/AA and 176/23/E admeasuring Ac.1.00 guntas, situated in Aziz Nagar village, Moinabad Mandal, Ranga Reddy District, without following due procedure contemplated under law, in the interest of justice.

I.A. NO: 1 OF 2026

Petition under Section 151 of C.P.C praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the writ petition, the High Court may be pleased to direct the respondents not to interfere with the possession of the petitioners 1 and 2 in respect of (a) land bearing survey Nos. 176/23AA, 176/23E and 176/42 admeasuring Ac.1.25 guntas, and (b) land bearing survey Nos. 176/43, 176/44 and 176/45 admeasuring Ac.1.30 guntas, situated in Aziz Nagar village, Moinabad mandal, Ranga Reddy district; and possession of petitioner No.3 in respect of (a) land bearing survey Nos. 176/43, 176/44 and 176/45 admeasuring Ac.1.05 guntas; (b) land bearing survey Nos. 176/43, 176/44 and 176/45 admeasuring Ac.1.12 guntas and (c) land bearing survey Nos. 176/42, 176/23/AA and 176/23/E admeasuring Ac.1.00 guntas, situated in Aziz Nagar village, Moinabad mandal, Ranga Reddy district, pending disposal of WP No 11227 of 2026, on the file of the High Court.

The petition coming on for hearing, upon perusing the petition and the grounds filed in support thereof and upon hearing the arguments of Mr. E. Madan Mohan Rao, learned Senior Counsel representing Mr.D. Raghunandana Rao, Advocate for the Petitioner, GP For Revenue for the Respondents, the Court made the following. ✓

ORDER

Mr. E. Madan Mohan Rao, learned Senior Counsel appearing for Mr.D. Raghunandana Rao, learned counsel for the petitioners submitted that in similar circumstances, this Court passed an interim order, dated 17.03.2026, in W.P.No.8255 of 2026 and prayed to grant similar relief in favour of the petitioners herein.

Hence, status quo obtaining as on today shall be maintained in respect of the subject land till the next date of hearing.

List this case on 16.04.2026 along with along with W.P.No.8255 of 2026.

Sd/- B.REKHA RANI
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

//TRUE COPY//


SECTION OFFICER

To,

1. The Principal Secretary (Excise) State of Telangana, Secretariat Buildings at Hyderabad[By SPAD]
2. The District Collector, Ranga Reddy District, Kongarkalan, Hyderabad,[By SPAD]
3. The Revenue Divisional Officer, Chevella Division, Ranga Reddy district[By SPAD]
4. The Tahsildar, Moinabad Mandal, Ranga Reddy District.[By SPAD]
5. One CC to Sri. DANDINA RAGHUNANDANA RAO Advocate [OPUC]
6. Two CCs to GP FOR REVENUE, High Court for the State of Telangana, at Hyderabad [OUT]
7. The Section Officer, Writ (Non Service), High Court at Hyderabad.
8. One spare copy

HIGH COURT

BVR, J

DATED: 10-04-2026

List this case on 16.04.2026 along with along with W.P.No.8255 of 2026

ORDER

WP.No.11227 of 2026

STATUS QUO

