

**(SHOW CAUSE NOTICE BEFORE ADMISSION)
HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA
AT HYDERABAD**

THURSDAY, THE SIXTEENTH DAY OF APRIL
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY SIX

:PRESENT:

**THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE J SREENIVAS RAO
CRLP NO: 5658 OF 2026**

Between

Smt. Velavarthipati Karuna Priyadarshini, W/o. V. Mallikarjuna Sarma.

.....Petitioner/Accused

AND

1. State of Telangana, Rep by P S Begumpet, Through Public Prosecutor High Court of Telangana, At Hyderabad.

Respondent

2. Sri. Dendi Chakradhar Reddy, S/o Late D. Srinivas Reddy, Aged about 60 years, Occ Business, R/o Flat No. 205, Polaris Meenakshi Sky Lounge, Kondapur, Hyderabad.

Respondent/Complainant

WHEREAS the Petitioner above named through her Advocate SRI. CHETLURU SREENIVAS presented this Petition under Section 528 of B.N.S.S. praying that in the circumstances stated in the grounds filed in support of the CRIMINAL PETITION, the High Court may be to quash CC. No. 3827 of 2021 on the file of XI Addl Chief Metropolitan Magistrate, at Secunderabad.

AND WHEREAS the High Court upon perusing the petition and memorandum of grounds filed herein and upon hearing the arguments of Mr. P. Yajur, Counsel representing SRI. CHETLURU SREENIVAS Advocate for the Petitioner and Additional Public Prosecutor for respondent No.1, PUBLIC PROSECUTOR for the respondent directed issue of notice to the Respondents herein to show cause as to why this CRIMINAL PETITION should not be admitted.

You viz:

Sri. Dendi Chakradhar Reddy, S/o Late D. Srinivas Reddy, Aged about 60 years, Occ Business, R/o Flat No. 205, Polaris Meenakshi Sky Lounge, Kondapur, Hyderabad.

are directed to show cause on or before 01.05.2026 to which date the case stands posted as to why in the circumstances set out in the petition and the memorandum of

grounds filed therewith (copy enclosed) this CRIMINAL PETITION should not be admitted.

The Court made the following:

ORDER:

Mr. P. Yajur, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has not committed any offence and she has been falsely implicated in the present case. Even according to the allegations made in the complaint or in the final report, the ingredients of the offences under Sections 120(B), 406, 409, 419, 420, 468, 471 and 43 read with 66, 66(C & D) of the Information and Technology Act, 2008 are not attracted against the petitioner. He further submits that accused Nos.1 to 3 have approached this Court and filed CrI.P.No.4002 of 2022, seeking to quash the very same proceedings against them in C.C.No.3827 of 2021 and this Court on 01.08.2025, allowed the said criminal petition, quashing the proceedings against accused Nos.1 to 3. Hence, the petitioner is also entitled for the very same benefit on the ground that very same allegations are leveled against the petitioner. Hence, the continuation of the proceedings against the petitioner is a clear abuse of process of law.

Learned Public Prosecutor has not opposed the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner.

Taking into consideration the above said submissions made by the respective parties, issue notice before admission.

Learned Additional Public Prosecutor takes notice on behalf of respondent No.1.

Learned counsel for the petitioner is permitted to take out personal notice to respondent No.2 through speed post with acknowledgment due and file proof of service.

Post on 01.05.2026, in the 'adjourned motion list'.

Sd/- A.JAYASREE
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

//TRUE COPY//


SECTION OFFICER

To,

1. Sri. Dendi Chakradhar Reddy, S/o Late D. Srinivas Reddy, Aged about 60 years, Occ Business, R/o Flat No. 205, Polaris/Meenakshi Sky Lounge, Kondapur, Hyderabad.

[by SPAD - along with a copy of petition and memorandum of grounds]

2. One CC to SRI. CHETLURU SREENIVAS Advocate [OPUC]
3. Two CCs to PUBLIC PROSECUTOR High Court for the State of Telangana, at Hyderabad [OUT]
4. One spare copy

HIGH COURT

JSR, J

DATED: 16-04-2026

POST ON 01.05.2026, IN THE 'ADJOURNED MOTION LIST'

**NOTICE BEFORE ADMISSION
CRLP.No.5658 of 2026**

