

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA AT
HYDERABAD**

THE HONOURABLE SMT. JUSTICE K. SUJANA

CRIMINAL PETITION No.5476 of 2026

DATE: 20.04.2026

Between:

Egala Madhusudhan
S/o Narsaiah

.... Petitioner/
Accused officer

AND

The State through the DSP
ACB Karimnagar Ranga
Rep. b y its Spl.Public
Prosecutor,
High Court at Hyderabad.

.... Respondent

ORDER

This Criminal Petition is filed under Sections 480 & 483 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (for short 'BNSS') by the petitioners/Accused officer seeking to enlarge him on bail in connection with Crime 04/RCO/ACB-KNR/2026, ACB Karimnagar, Karimnagar District. The offence alleged against the petitioner is punishable under Sections 7 (a) of the Prevision of Corruption Act, 1988 (Amended in 2018)

2. The case of the prosecution is that in compliance with the orders of the Director General, ACB, Telangana

State, a petition was received through registered post on 17.06.2025 from one Chanti Ashok S/o Narsaiah. Basing on the same, case was registered and investigated the case and found the petitioner herein is as a benami account and he received illegal gratification through the account amount to Rs.14,77,003/- during the period from 15.09.2022 to 20.09.2023.

3. Heard Sri C.HariPreeth, learned counsel for the petitioner/accused and learned Special Public Prosecutor for ACB, appearing for the respondent authority.

4. The contention of learned counsel for the petitioner is that the petitioner is innocent of the allegations leveled against him; that he has been in judicial custody from 16.03.2026; that investigation is already completed further incarceration of the petitioner is not required, as such requested the Court to grant bail to the petitioner.

5. On the other hand, learned Special Public Prosecutor opposed the same and would submit that the allegation levelled against the petitioner is severe in nature, therefore, the petitioner is not entitled for bail and that there is every chance of tampering of evidence and statement of

statement under Section 180 (3) of the BNSS is also recorded and hence, he prays to dismiss the petition.

6. Considering the submissions made by learned counsel for both parties and upon perusal of the material on record, it is evident that the petitioner has been in judicial custody from 16.03.2025, this Court deems it fit to grant bail to the petitioner/Accused subject to the following conditions:

- (i) The petitioner-accused shall execute personal bond for a sum of Rs.25,000/- (Rupees Twenty Five Thousand only) with two sureties for a like sum each to the satisfaction of the learned Special Judge for SPE &ACB Cases at Karimnagar.
- (ii) On such release, the petitioner-accused shall appear before the respondent authority between 09:00 a.m., and 5:00 p.m., on every Wednesday for a period of eight (8) weeks or till filing of charge sheet whichever is earlier, for the purpose of investigation, and thereafter, as and when required.
- (iii) After release, the petitioner directed not to contact the complainant or any other witnesses and not to threaten any other witnesses. If any such incident will happened, the respondent authority is at liberty to file an application for cancellation of bail.
- (iv) The petitioner-accused shall abide by the conditions stipulated in Section 437 (3) of Cr.P.C. (presently, Section 480 (3) of BNSS).

7. Accordingly, the Criminal petition is allowed.

Miscellaneous petitions, if any, pending shall stand closed.

K. SUJANA, J

Date: 20.04.2026

YVL