

(SHOW CAUSE NOTICE BEFORE ADMISSION)
HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA AT HYDERABAD

MONDAY, THE THIRTEENTH DAY OF APRIL ✓
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY SIX ✓

:PRESENT:
THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE J SREENIVAS RAO ✓
CRLP NO: 5494 OF 2026

Between

1. Reguchettu Shivakumar,, S/o Late Mallesham,
2. Reguchettu Vijayalakshmi, W/o Reguchettu Mallesham
3. Ashok Kumar, S/O. Reguchettu Mallesham,

.....Petitioners/Accused Nos.1 to 3

AND

1. The State of Telangana, Rep. by Public Prosecutor, High Court at Hyderabad.
2. Station House Officer, P.S Peddapalli, District. Ramagundam,
3. Jakkanaveni Savithri, W/o Late Muthyalu, R/o Pragathinagar, Peddapalli

Respondents

WHEREAS the Petitioners above named through their Advocate SRI. RAMESH BABU VISHWANATHULA presented this Petition under Section 528 of B.N.S.S. praying that in the circumstances stated in the grounds filed in support of the CRIMINAL PETITION, the High Court may be to quash the FIR No. 67/2026 dated 22/03/2026 on the file of Peddapalli Police Station Ramagundam Commissionerate registered for offence under Section 420, 386, r/w 34 IPC .

AND WHEREAS the High Court upon perusing the petition and memorandum of grounds filed herein and upon hearing the arguments of Sri. RAMESH BABU VISHWANATHULA Advocate for the Petitioners, and Additional Public Prosecutor, for the Respondent Nos.1 and 2 directed issue of notice to the Respondent No.3 herein to show cause as to why this CRIMINAL PETITION should not be admitted.

You viz:

Jakkanaveni Savithri, W/o Late Muthyalu, R/o Pragathinagar, Peddapalli.

are directed to show cause on or before 04.05.2026 to which date the case stands posted as to why in the circumstances set out in the petition and the memorandum of grounds filed therewith (copy enclosed) this CRIMINAL PETITION should not be admitted.

I.A. NO: 2 OF 2026

Petition under Section 482 OF Cr.P.C. praying that in the circumstances stated in the grounds filed in support of the CRIMINAL PETITION, the High Court may be to Stay all further proceedings, including arrest of the Petitioners in FIR No. 67/2026. till pending disposal of the above criminal petition.

The Court made the following:

ORDER

Mr. Ramesh Babu, learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners have not committed any offence and have been falsely implicated in the present case. The nature of the allegations made in the complaint is purely civil in nature in respect of monitory transactions. Petitioner No.1 rendered services to respondent No.3 at a time when her own family members had neglected her. Even in the complaint, respondent No.3 has specifically mentioned that petitioner No.1, being a relative, has been taking care of her for the past six years when her family failed to do so. Petitioner No.1 has not received any amount from the *de facto* complainant, and no funds were transferred to accused Nos.2 and 3, as alleged in the complaint. Respondent No.3 has implicated petitioner Nos.2 and 3, who are the mother and brother of petitioner No.1/accused No.1, by making omnibus allegations. The ingredients of the offences under Sections 420 and 386 read with 34 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 (for short 'IPC') are not attracted against the petitioner. Hence, the continuation of the proceedings against the petitioners is a clear abuse of process of law.

Learned Additional Public Prosecutor submits that the offence under Section 420 and 386 of the IPC are altered into Sections 318(4) and 308(5) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023.

Taking into consideration the above said submissions, this Court is of the view that the grounds, which were raised by the learned counsel for the petitioners, require detailed examination.

Notice before admission.

Learned Additional Public Prosecutor takes notice on behalf of respondent Nos.1 and 2, and seeks time to proceed with the matter.

Learned counsel for the petitioners is permitted to take out personal notice to respondent No.3 through speed post with acknowledgment due and file proof of service. After perusal of record, it reveals that respondent No.3 has not mentioned the full address in her complaint. In view of the same, learned Additional Public Prosecutor is directed to inform the concerned SHO to serve notice to respondent No.3 and file memo by the next date of hearing.

Post on 04.05.2026.

Till then there shall be an interim stay of all further proceedings against petitioners/accused Nos.1 to 3 in F.I.R.No.67 of 2026 of Peddapalli Police Station, Ramagundam District.

In default of filing proof of service, the stay shall stand vacated.

**Sd/- A.SREENIVASA REDDY
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR**

//TRUE COPY//


SECTION OFFICER

To,

1. The Judicial First Class Magistrate At Peddapalli.
2. The Station House Officer, Peddapalli Police Station, Ramagundam.
3. Jakkanaveni Savithri, W/o Late Muthyalu, R/o Pragathinagar, Peddapalli[by SPAD-along with a copy of petition and memorandum of grounds]
4. One CC to Sri. RAMESH BABU VISHWANATHULA Advocate [OPUC]
5. Two CCs to PUBLIC PROSECUTOR High Court for the State of Telangana, at Hyderabad [OUT]
6. One spare copy

HIGH COURT

JSR, J

DATED: 13-04-2026

NOTICE BEFORE ADMISSION

POST ON 04.05.2026

CRLP.No.5494 of 2026

INTERIM STAY

