HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA : HYDERABAD

MAIN CASE NO: WRIT PETITION No.11211 of 2026

PROCEEDING SHEET

SL. NO	DATE	ORDER	OFFICE NOTE
01.	13.04.2026	**EVV, J** Notice before admission. Mr. M.Srinivas, learned Assistant Government Pleader for Home, takes notice for respondent Nos.1 to 3, waives further notice and seeks time to get instructions. Learned counsel for the petitioner is permitted to take out personal notice to respondent Nos.4 and 5 by Speed Post with Acknowledgment Due and file proof of service before the Registry by the next date of listing. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that without issuing any notice to the petitioner and without affording any opportunity of hearing, the petitioner's bank account bearing Account No.50100399739108 has been marked as disputed by respondent No.3. He further submits that as per the communication issued, the disputed amount is only Rs.2,060/-. The petitioner himself is a victim, having invested money through website (https://globalpgim.top) and the transaction alleged to have been credited into the account of the petitioner pertains only to the said disputed amount of Rs.2,060/-. He further submits that in respect of the Airtel Payments Bank Account bearing No.8533018532 maintained before respondent No.4, the disputed amount is only Rs.2,060/-. However,	Tr. to IO folder before corrections.

SL. NO	DATE	ORDER	OFFICE NOTE
		instead of freezing the said amount, the petitioner's right to operate the account was curtailed. The petitioner is a student and is facing severe hardship due to such action. Learned Assistant Government Pleader for Home, upon furnishing written instructions, submits that a complaint was lodged on 01.03.2024 by the *de facto* complainant namely one Mr.Kodavath Mallesh, wherein it was stated that on 01.03.2024, he received a link to a "WAKE App" on his WhatsApp number and upon enrolling in the said application, remitted amounts in multiple transactions totaling to Rs.2,99,580/- into bank accounts maintained with Canara Bank, South Indian Bank and Bank of India. It is further submitted that a portion of the said amount was traced to accounts maintained by respondent Nos.4 and 5, in the name of the petitioner. Accordingly, after due enquiry, a case was registered vide FIR No.267 of 2024 for the offences punishable under Section 420 of IPC and Section 66-D of the Information Technology Act, 2000. It is also submitted that upon receipt of the complaint through the Cyber Crime Helpline (1930) bearing acknowledgment No.33703240015172, appropriate action was taken and in order to secure the interest of *de facto* complainant, communication was addressed to respondent Nos.4 and 5 to freeze the accounts, as the matter is under investigation. This matter requires detailed examination and the facts can be ascertained only upon filing written	

SL. NO	DATE	ORDER	OFFICE NOTE
		instructions/counter by the official respondents. Since the unofficial respondent was not impleaded as a party respondent, learned counsel for the petitioner is directed to take steps to implead the said party. *Prima* facie, it appears that the petitioner's accounts maintained with respondent Nos.4 and 5 have been frozen by respondent No.3. Considering the allegation that an amount of Rs.2,99,580/-was deposited into the account of the petitioner, respondent Nos.4 and 5 are directed to defreeze the said account, subject to maintaining a lien of Rs.2,99,580/- in the account of the petitioner and permit the petitioner to operate his bank account being maintained with respondent No.4, unless any further restriction is imposed by the competent authorities. List on 22.06.2026. **EVV, J** mnv	

SL. NO	DATE	ORDER	OFFICE NOTE

SL. NO	DATE	ORDER	OFFICE NOTE

SL. NO	DATE	ORDER	OFFICE NOTE