

**THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA
AT HYDERABAD**

FRIDAY, THE THIRTEENTH DAY OF FEBRUARY
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY SIX

:PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE J SREENIVAS RAO

IA. No. 2 of 2025

IN

CRLP NO: 5511 OF 2025

Between:

K. Ravi Kumar, S/o K.Venkatesam.

...Petitioner/Accused

(Petitioner in CRLP 5511 OF 2025
on the file of High Court)

AND

1. The State of Telangana, Rep., by its Public Prosecutor, High Court of Telangana at Hyderabad.

Respondent/Respondent

2. Pasham Anasuya, W/o Pasham Gopal, R/o H-No. 9-10 Station Thimmapur village of Kothur Mandal Rangareddy District.

...Respondents/De Facto Complainant

(Respondents in-do-)

Petition under Section 528 of BNSS, praying that in the circumstances stated in the grounds filed in support of the criminal petition, the High Court may be pleased to stay of all proceedings against the Petitioner in Chargesheet vide SC. No. 449 OF 2024 on the file of the IX Additional Chief Judicial Magistrate, Rangareddy, at Shadnagar, pending disposal of CRLP No. 5511 of 2025, on the file of the High Court.

The petition coming on for hearing, upon perusing the Petition and orders of the High Court dated 24.04.2025 made in IA. No. 2 of 2025 and order dated 24.07.2025 made in IA. No. 3 and order dated 24.09.2025 made in IA. No. 4 of 2025 and order dated 17.10.2025 made in IA. No. 5 of 2025 and the order dated 21.11.2025 made in IA. No. 6 of 2025 and the order dated 05.12.2025 made in I.A. No. 7 of 2025 and order dated 09.01.2026 made in I.A.Nos.3 to 7 of 2025 and upon hearing the arguments of Ms. Mamatha, Counsel representing Sri T.Rakesh Singh, Advocate for the Petitioner/Accused and Additional Public Prosecutor for the Respondent No.1.

The Court made the following

ORDER:

Ms.Mamatha, learned counsel, representing Mr.Rakesh Singh.T, learned counsel for the petitioner, submitted that pursuant to the order dated 24.04.2025, they sent personal notice to respondent No.2 and filed proof of service vide USR No.57173 of 2025

on 18.06.20225. According to her, notice sent by them was delivered to respondent No.2.

After perusal of the record, it reveals that the petitioner has filed the present criminal petition seeking to quash the proceedings in SC No.449 of 2024, on the file of the IX Additional Chief Judicial Magistrate, Rangareddy at Shadnagar, for the offence under Section 306 of IPC.

Taking into consideration the above submissions and in order to render substantial justice to the parties, if this Court directs the learned Addl. Public Prosecutor to direct the concerned S.H.O. to serve notice to respondent No.2, no prejudice would be caused to the petitioner.

In view of the same, learned Addl. Public Prosecutor is directed to inform the concerned S.H.O. to serve notice to respondent No.2 and file proof of service.

Post on 06.04.2026.

The interim order granted by this Court earlier shall stand extended till 30.04.2026.

**Sd/- P.PONNA KRISHNA
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR**

//TRUE COPY//


SECTION OFFICER

To,

1. IX Additional Chief Judicial Magistrate, Rangareddy, at Shadnagar.
2. The Station House Officer, Kothur Police Station, Rangareddy District.
3. One CC to Sri T. Rakesh Singh Advocate [OPUC]
4. Two CCs to Public Prosecutor, High Court at Hyderabad. [OUT]
5. One spare copy

HIGH COURT

JSR, J

DATED:13.02.2026

NOTE: POST ON 06.04.2026

ORDER

**IA No. 2 of 2025
IN
CRLP.No.5511 of 2025**

EXTENSION OF INTERIM ORDER

