

**(SHOW CAUSE NOTICE BEFORE ADMISSION)
HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA
AT HYDERABAD**

FRIDAY, THE TENTH DAY OF APRIL
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY SIX

**:PRESENT:
THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE NAGESH BHEEMAPAKA
WP NO: 10858 OF 2026**

Between

1. State of Telangana, Rep by its Prl. Secretary Revenue Department, Secretariat, Hyderabad.
2. The District Collector Medchal Malkajgiri District, The District Collector Medchal-Malkajgiri District.
3. The Tahsildar Kukatpally Mandal memdchal malkajgiri district, The TahsildarKukatpally Mandal,Medchal-Malkajgiri District
4. The TahsildarBalanagar Mandal, MedchalMalkajgiri District, The Tahsildar Balanagar Mandal,MedchalMalkajgiri District
5. The Tahsildar Quthbullapur Mandal,Medchal Malkajgiri District, The TahsildarQuthbullapur Mandal,Medchal Malkajgiri District
6. Ms Telangana Industrial Infrastructure Corporation TGIIC Represented by Executive Director, Ms. Telangana Industrial Infrastructure Corporation TGIIC Represented by Executive Director, Gopireddy Veera Reddy6th Floor, Parisrama Bhavan,Fateh Maidan Road, Basheerbagh, Hyderabad.

Petitioners

AND

1. The Union of India, Rep by its Secretary Ministry of Commerce and Industries Vanijya Bhavan, New Delhi
2. M/s. Indian Drugs and Pharmaceuticals Limited, Rep. by its Authorized Signatory, J. Raju, Estate Officer IDPL, Balanagar, Hyderabad

Respondents

WHEREAS the Petitioners above named through THE ADVOCATE GENERAL presented this Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed therewith, the High Court may be pleased to issue an appropriate writ, order or direction, more particularly, one in the nature of a Writ of Mandamus, declaring the order passed by BIFR, on 06.02.2008 in Case No. 503 OF 1992, as illegal, unjust, unsustainable and consequently, further declare the same as in operative due to laps of time.

AND WHEREAS the High Court upon perusing the petition and memorandum of grounds filed herein and upon hearing the arguments of THE ADVOCATE GENERAL for the Petitioner, Sri B.Narasimha Sharma, Additional Solicitor General of India appearing for Sri N.Bhujanga Rao, learned Deputy Solicitor General of India, for respondent No.1 and Smt Uma Devi, learned counsel for respondent No.2, directed issue of notice to the Respondents herein to show cause as to why this WRIT PETITION should not be admitted.

You viz:

1. The Secretary Ministry of Commerce and Industries Vanijya Bhavan, Union of India, New Delhi
2. J. Raju, Authorized Signatory, M/s. Indian Drugs and Pharmaceuticals Limited, Estate Officer IDPL, Balanagar, Hyderabad

are directed to show cause on or before 16.04.2026 to which date the case stands posted as to why in the circumstances set out in the petition and the affidavit filed therewith (copy enclosed) this WRIT PETITION should not be admitted.

The Court made the following:

ORDER

Notice before Admission.

Heard learned Advocate General for the petitioner.

Sri B.Narasimha Sharma, learned Additional Solicitor General of India appearing for Sri N.Bhujanga Rao, learned Deputy Solicitor General of India, takes notice for respondent No.1.

At request of Smt Uma Devi, learned counsel for respondent No.2, for filing counter, list on 16.04.2026.

Sd/- A.JAYASREE
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

//TRUE COPY//


SECTION OFFICER

To,

1. The Secretary Ministry of Commerce and Industries Vanijya Bhavan, Union of India, New Delhi
2. J. Raju, Authorized Signatory, M/s. Indian Drugs and Pharmaceuticals Limited, Estate Officer IDPL, Balanagar, Hyderabad
[RR 1 to 2 by SPAD-along with a copy of petition and affidavit]
3. Two CCs to ADVOCATE GENERAL Advocate [OPUC]
4. One spare copy

HIGH COURT

NBK, J

DATED: 10-04-2026

LIST ON 16.04.2026

NOTICE BEFORE ADMISSION

WP.No.10858 of 2026

