

(SHOW CAUSE NOTICE BEFORE ADMISSION)
IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA AT HYDERABAD
MONDAY, THE FOURTH DAY OF APRIL
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY TWO

:PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE MUMMINENI SUDHEER KUMAR
WRIT PETITION NO: 16659 OF 2022

Between:

Chittempalli Venkatamma, W/o Late Ramaiah.

Petitioner

AND

1. The State of Telangana, Rep. by its Principal Secretary, Revenue Department, Secretariat, Hyderabad.
2. The District Collector, Vikarabad, Vikarabad District, (Erstwhile Ranga Reddy District).
3. The Revenue Divisional Officer, Vikarabad Division, Vikarabad District, (Erstwhile Ranga Reddy District).
4. The Tahisldar, Kotepally Mandal, Vikarabad District, (Erstwhile Ranga Reddy District).
5. Sahafi Uddin, S/o Nasim Uddin, aged about 30 years, Occ Business, R/o H.No.5-30, Kotepally Village and Mandal, Vikarabad District
6. Nazi Muddin, S/o Naimuddin Aged about 45 years, Occ Business, R/o H.No.5-30, Kotepally Village and Mandal, Vikarabad District.

Respondents

WHEREAS the Petitioner above named through her Advocate SRI RAPOLU BHASKAR presented this Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed therewith, the High Court may be pleased to issue a Writ order or direction more particularly one in the nature of Writ of Mandamus declaring the action of the respondent No.4 in interfering into the peaceful possession of the petitioners land in Sy.No.266/17 to an extent of Ac.3.00 gts situated at Kotepally Revenue Village, Vikarabad Division, Vikarabad District, (Erstwhile Ranga Reddy District), by colluding with the respondent No.5 and 6 and threatening the petitioner to hand over the land of the petitioner to the respondent N.5 and 6, without issuing any notice to petitioner, without following the due process of law, is highly illegal, arbitrary, unconstitutional, unjust and in violation of violation of Principles of Natural Justice and also in violation of Articles 14, 19, 21 and 300-A of constitution of India and consequently direct the respondent No.4 not to interfere into the peaceful possession of the petitioners land in Sy.No.266/17 to an extent of Ac.3.00 gts situated at Kotepally Revenue Village, Vikarabad Division, Vikarabad District, (Erstwhile Ranga Reddy District), not to threaten the petitioner;

AND WHEREAS the High Court upon perusing the petition and affidavit filed herein and upon hearing the arguments of Sri RAPOLU BHASKAR Advocate for the Petitioner, GP FOR REVENUE who takes notice for respondent nos. 1 to 4, directed issue of notice to the Respondents herein to show cause as to why this WRIT PETITION should not be admitted.

You viz:

1. The Principal Secretary, Revenue Department, The State of Telangana, Secretariat, Hyderabad.

2. The District Collector, Vikarabad, Vikarabad District, (Erstwhile Ranga Reddy District).
3. The Revenue Divisional Officer, Vikarabad Division, Vikarabad District, (Erstwhile Ranga Reddy District).
4. The Tahisldar, Kotepally Mandal, Vikarabad District, (Erstwhile Ranga Reddy District).
5. Sahafi Uddin, S/o Nasim Uddin, Occ Business, R/o H.No.5-30, Kotepally Village and Mandal, Vikarabad District
6. Nazi Muddin, S/o Naimuddin, Occ Business, R/o H.No.5-30, Kotepally Village and Mandal, Vikarabad District.

are directed to show cause on or before 25.04.2022 to which date the case stands posted as to why in the circumstances set out in the petition and the affidavit filed therewith (copy enclosed) this WRIT PETITION should not be admitted.

IA NO: 1 OF 2022

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to direct the respondent No.4 not to interfere into the peaceful possession of the petitioners land in Sy.No.266/17 to an extent of Ac.3.00 gts situated at Kotepally Revenue Village, Vikarabad Division, Vikarabad District, (Erstwhile Ranga Reddy District), not to threaten the petitioner pending disposal of WP No. 16659 of 2022, on the file of the High Court.

The Court made the following:

ORDER

Notice before admission.

Learned Government Pleader takes notice for respondent No.1 to 4.

Learned counsel for the petitioner is permitted to take out personal notice to respondent Nos.5 and 6 and file proof of service.

From the Panchanama dated 03.12.2021 and the report submitted by the respondent No.4 to respondent No.2 dated 10.01.2022 it is evident that the husband of the petitioner has been in possession of the subject land admeasuring Ac.3.00 guntas situated in Survey No.266/17 of Kotepally Revenue Village, Vikarabad Division, Vikarabad District and cultivating the same.

In these circumstances, in view of the above, pending further orders, there shall be interim direction directing the respondents to maintain status quo in respect of the subject land.

Post on 25.04.2022.

**SD/- V. KAVITHA
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR**

//TRUE COPY//


SECTION OFFICER

To,

1. The Principal Secretary, Revenue Department, The State of Telangana, Secretariat, Hyderabad.
2. The District Collector, Vikarabad, Vikarabad District, (Erstwhile Ranga Reddy District).
3. The Revenue Divisional Officer, Vikarabad Division, Vikarabad District, (Erstwhile Ranga Reddy District).
4. The Tahisldar, Kotepally Mandal, Vikarabad District, (Erstwhile Ranga Reddy District).
5. Sahafi Uddin, S/o Nasim Uddin, Occ Business, R/o H.No.5-30, Kotepally Village and Mandal, Vikarabad District
6. Nazi Muddin, S/o Naimuddin, Occ Business, R/o H.No.5-30, Kotepally Village and Mandal, Vikarabad District.
(RR 1 to 6 by RPAD- along with a copy of petition and affidavit)
7. One CC to SRI. RAPOLU BHASKAR Advocate [OPUC]
8. Two CCs to GP FOR REVENUE, High Court for the State of Telangana at Hyderabad. [OUT]
9. One spare copy

HIGH COURT

MSKJ

DATED:04/04/2022

POST ON 25.04.2022

NOTICE BEFORE ADMISSION

WP.No.16659 of 2022

STATUS QUO

