

IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA AT HYDERABAD

FRIDAY, THE ELEVENTH DAY OF APRIL

TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY FIVE

:PRESENT:
THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE B.VIJAYSEN REDDY

WRIT PETITION NO: 11020 OF 2025

Between:

CHILAKAMARTHY RAVI KUMAR, S/O CH. MOHAN RAO, Occ. Service, R/o
EIPL Corner Stone Apartment Tower 7, Flat No.505, Puppalaguda,
Hyderabad. 500089

Petitioner

AND

1. State of Telangana, rep. by its Principal Secretary, Department of Municipal Administration Urban Development, Secretariat, Hyderabad.
2. The Commissioner and Director, Municipal Administration Urban Development, Government of Telangana, Secretariat, Hyderabad.
3. The Manikonda Municipality, Rep.by its Commissioner, Manikonda, R.R.Dist.

Respondents

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed therewith, the High Court may be pleased to issue a writ, order, or direction more particularly one in the nature of Writ of Mandamus declaring the action in exhibiting on the Web-Site (Portal) of the 3rd Respondent showing the property tax of the Petitioner w.e.f. 01.04.2024 retrospectively for the assessment year 2024-25 by the 3rd Respondent is wholly without jurisdiction, contrary to the principles of natural justice and violative of the provisions of the Telangana Municipalities Act 2019 and the same is unenforceable in law and consequentially direct the 3rd Respondent to comply with the directions of the 2nd Respondent in Memo ROC No.785190/2025/M2, dated- 13.03.2025.

IA NO: 1 OF 2025

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to direct the 3rd Respondent to consider the petitioner application for review of the property tax of the petitioner flat as directed by the 2nd Respondent pending disposal of WP 11020 of 2025, on the file of the High Court.

The petition coming on for hearing, upon perusing the Petition and the affidavit filed in support thereof and upon hearing the arguments of Sri KOKA SATYANARAYANA RAO, Advocate for the Petitioner and GP FOR MCPL ADMN & URBAN DEV for the Respondent Nos.1 & 2 and Sri Kanchani Laxmaiah, SC for Respondent No.3, the Court made the following.

ORDER:

Heard Mr. Koka Satyanarayana Rao, learned counsel for the petitioner, and Mr. Kanchani Laxmaiah, Standing Counsel for respondent No.3 Municipality.

There shall be interim direction to respondent No.3 to act upon Memo in ROC.No.785190/ 2025/M2 dated 13.03.2025 and examine the request of the petitioner for revision of property tax of PTIN No.1261330872 within a period of two (02) weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order.

List on 18.06.2025.

Print the name of Mr. Kanchani Laxmaiah, Standing Counsel for respondent No.3 Municipality.

//TRUE COPY//

SD/-A.SRINIVASA REDDY
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

SECTION OFFICER

To,

1. The Principal Secretary, Department of Municipal Administration Urban Development, State of Telangana, Secretariat, Hyderabad.
2. The Commissioner and Director, Municipal Administration Urban Development, Government of Telangana, Secretariat, Hyderabad.
3. The Commissioner, Manikonda Municipality, Manikonda, R.R.Dist. (1 to 3 by RPAD)
4. One CC to SRI KOKA SATYANARAYANA RAO Advocate [OPUC]
5. Two CCs to GP FOR MCPL ADMN & URBAN DEV, High Court at Hyderabad. [OUT]
6. One spare copy

HIGH COURT

BVR,J

DATED:11/04/2025

List on 18.06.2025

ORDER

WP.No.11020 of 2025

DIRECTION

