

HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA : HYDERABAD

MAIN CASE: S.A.Nos.171, 148, 149, 150, 152, 153, 154, 156,
157, 159, 160, AND 176 OF 2026

PROCEEDING SHEET

SL. NO.	DATE	ORDER	OFFICE NOTE
2.	21.04.2026	<u>RRN, J</u> <u>S.A.Nos.171, 148, 149, 150, 152, 153,</u> <u>154, 156, 157, 159, 160, AND 176 OF</u> <u>2026</u> Heard Mr. E. Sreenivasa Rao, learned counsel for the appellants. The Appeals are admitted on the following substantial questions of law: (i) When the properties are governed by Urban Land Ceiling Act provisions being urban plots, applying revenue records for the purpose of deciding the question of possession is ex facie illegal and bad in law. (ii) The High Court has power to determine issue of fact under Section 103 of C.P.C. while dealing with Second Appeal under Section 100 of C.P.C. (iii) Both the courts failed to consider Exhibit A-1 to A-18 that proves context of title follows possession, and thus by non consideration of relevant and material documents to the issue involved, amounts to	"Transferred IO- Folder before corrections, if any. Please verify"

**S.A.Nos.171, 148, 149, 150, 152, 153, 154, 156, 157, 159,
160, AND 176 OF 2026**

SL. NO.	DATE	ORDER	OFFICE NOTE
		legal illegality and against the principles of evidence. Registry is directed to call for records. <u>I.A.No.1 OF 2026</u> <u>IN</u> <u>S.A.Nos.171, 148, 149, 150, 152, 153, 154, 156, 157, 159, 160, AND 176 OF 2026</u> Heard the learned counsel for the petitioners. Issue notice to the respondents. Personal notice is also permitted. The respondents are directed not to alienate the suit schedule property till the next date of hearing. List the matter on 16.06.2026. <u>RRN, J</u> HFM	