

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA AT HYDERABAD
(Special Original Jurisdiction)**

**WEDNESDAY, THE TWELFTH DAY OF NOVEMBER
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY FIVE**

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE NAMAVARAPU RAJESHWAR RAO

WRIT PETITION NO: 3948 OF 2006

Between:

P.Sai Laxman, S/o.Narasimham, Age 24 years, R/o.Pylon Colony, Nagarjuna Sagar,
Contract labour-Driver O/o.the Divisional Engineer, Extra High Tension Lines & Sub-
Stations, Nagarjuna Sagar, Nalgonda District

...PETITIONER

AND

1. Transmission Corporation of A.P. Ltd., Vidyutsoudha, Hyderabad-82, rep.by
its General Manager (IR)
2. The Divisional Engineer/Electrical, EHT Lines & Sub-Stations, Nagarjuna
Sagar, 508203, Nalgonda Dist.
3. Power Generation corporation of AP Ltd., Vidyut Soudha, Hyderabad-82,
rep.by its General Manager, (HR)
4. Superintending Engineer, Transmission, Lines & Sub-Stations, Nalgonda,
Nalgonda District
5. The Principal Secretary to Govt. of AP., Energy Dept., Secretariat, Hyderabad
and Chairman A.P. Genco Ltd., Vidyutsoudha, Hyderabad

...RESPONDENTS

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the
circumstances stated in the affidavit filed therewith, the High Court may be
pleased to issue any appropriate writ order or direction particularly one in the
nature of writ of mandamus declaring the action of the 2nd respondent in rejecting
the petitioners case for regular appointment vide Lr.No.Lr.No.DE/EHTL&SS/NSR/
Adm/F.W.P.No.24925/330/02, dated 24.7.2002 without considering his case for
light motor vehicle Driver post or against any other suitable post on par with the

other 7 petitioners in WP.No.24925/98 as arbitrary, illegal, without jurisdiction and violative of article 14,16 and 21 of the constitution of India and consequently set aside the said Lr.No.DE/EHTL&SS/NSR/Adm/F.W.P.24925/330/02 dated 24.7.2002 issued by the 2nd respondent and direct the 1st and 4th respondents to appoint the petitioner on regular basis against the post of light motor vehicle driver or against any other suitable post interms B.P.Ms.No.36 dated 18.5.1997 read with Memo No.Addl.Secy./DGM(IR)/AS(IR)PO.II/A1/1170/99 dated 3.12.99.

Counsel for the Petitioner: M/s. P. ANUSHA (NOT PRESENT)

**Counsel for the Respondents No.1 to 4: SRI SRINIVASA SRIKANTH,
S.C. FOR TG GENCO
Smt. K. UDAYA SRI**

Counsel for the Respondent No.5: GP FOR ENERGY

The Court made the following: ORDER

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE NAMAVARAPU RAJESHWAR RAO

WRIT PETITION No.3948 OF 2006

ORDER:

When the matter was taken up for hearing on earlier occasions, i.e., on 03.09.2025, 17.09.2025 and 08.10.2025, there was no representation on behalf of the petitioner. Therefore, the matter was directed to be listed on 29.10.2025 under the caption "For Dismissal". On 29.10.2025 also, there was no representation on behalf of the petitioner. Accordingly, the matter was directed to be listed on 12.11.2025.

2. Today, though the matter is listed under the caption "For Dismissal", there is no representation on behalf of the petitioner. It appears that the petitioner is not interested in prosecuting the matter.

3. Accordingly, this Writ Petition is dismissed for non-prosecution. There shall be no order as to costs.

Sd/-A.SREENIVASA REDDY
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

//TRUE COPY//

SECTION OFFICER

To


1. One CC to M/s. P. ANUSHA, Advocate [OPUC]
2. One CC to SRI SRINIVASA SRIKANTH, S.C. for TG GENCO [OPUC]
3. One CC to Smt. K. UDAYA SRI, Advocate [OPUC]
4. Two CCs to GP for Energy, High Court for the State of Telangana at Hyderabad. [OUT]
5. Two CD Copies

MP
PMK

[Handwritten signature]

HIGH COURT

DATED:12/11/2025



ORDER

WP.No.3948 of 2006

**DISMISSING THE WRIT PETITION
FOR NON-PROSECUTION
WITHOUT COSTS**

⑧
Ykpl
23/2/26