

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA  
AT HYDERABAD**

**FRIDAY, THE ELEVENTH DAY OF MARCH,  
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY TWO**

**:PRESENT:  
THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE A.RAJASHEKER REDDY**

**I.A. NO.1 OF 2022  
IN  
CONTEMPT CASE No: 613 of 2020**

**Between:**

Anil Sripad Rao, S/o. late Nalkur Sripad Rao, R/o. 'Palmyra' 6<sup>th</sup> Floor, 21<sup>st</sup> Kharpalli Road, Bandra (West), Mumbai-400 050, rep. by his Power of Attorney Holder M. Baig Salmani, S/o. Late M.M.B. Salmani, Retd. Employee, R/o. Flat No. 203, Nasr Plaza, 8-1-158/21, Suryanagar, Tolichowki, Hyderabad. ✓

**Applicant  
(Petitioner in CC. No. 613 of 2022  
on the file of the High Court)** ✓

**AND**

**1. Smt. K. Anitha, Tahsildar, Moinabad Mandal, Ranga Reddy District.** ✓

**Respondent/Respondent  
(Respondent in -do-)** ✓

- 2. Somesh Kumar, Principal Secretary, Revenue Department, Secretariat Buildings, Hyderabad** ✓
- 3. Amoy Kumar, District Collector, Rangareddy District, Lakdikapool, Hyderabad.**
- 4. Venu Madhav Rao, Revenue Divisional Officer, Chevella Division, Rangareddy District.** ✓

**Respondents (Proposed Respondents No. 2 to 4)**

**Counsel for the Petitioner : SRI A.SUDERSHAN REDDY, Senior Counsel  
appearing for SRI M.KIRAN REDDY** ✓

**Counsel for the Respondent No.1 : ASST. GOVT. PLEADER FOR REVENUE** ✓

WHEREAS the Applicant has presented the above Application under Order 1 Rule 10 of CPC, through his counsel Sri M. Kiran Reddy, praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed therein, the High Court may be pleased to implead the proposed Respondents No. 2 to 4 herein as Respondents No. 2 to 4 in the main Contempt Case No. 613 of 2022 in the interests of justice;

WHEREAS the said application coming on for hearing, and whereas the High Court, upon perusing the affidavit filed therein, and the earlier orders dated 02.12.2020, 11.06.2021 & 24.12.2021, made the following;

**ORDER:**

**“Sri A.Sudershan Reddy, learned Senior Counsel appearing for the petitioner submits that in the earlier round of litigation, this court has categorically held that the subject land is not an assigned land, and though this court has issued direction in the present writ petition, still on the very same ground that the subject land is an assigned land, and is included in the prohibited list, respondent - Tahsildar, Moindabad Mandal, Rangareddy District is not issuing E-Pattadar Passbook.**

**This court has ordered the presence of the respondent – Tahsildar, and accordingly Smt. K.Anitha, who is the Tahsildar in question, appeared before this court, and filed counter affidavit stating that as the land in question is included in the prohibited list, she is unable to implement the order of this court, and further stated that she has addressed letters to the District Collector and to the Revenue Divisional Officer seeking clarification.**

**The present Interlocutory Application is filed seeking to implead the Principal Secretary, Revenue Department; District Collector, Rangareddy District and; Revenue Divisional Officer, Chevella Division, Rangareddy District, as respondents 2 to 4 in the contempt case.**

**Learned Assistant Government Pleader for Revenue again seeks time for filing counter affidavit.**

It is made clear that if the counter affidavit is not filed by the proposed respondent No.3 – District Collector, Rangareddy District, by the next date of hearing, he shall remain present before the court on the said date, and the contempt case will be heard on merits.

List on 25.03.2022.”

//TRUE COPY//

SD/- M RAMANA KRISHNA  
DEPUTY REGISTRAR

SECTION OFFICER

To

1. Amoy Kumar, District Collector, Rangareddy District, Lakdikapool, Hyderabad.  
(BY SPL. MESSENGER) ✓
2. Two CCs to G.P. for Revenue, High Court of Telangana, at Hyderabad (OUT) ✓
3. One CC to Sri M.Kiran Reddy, Advocate (OPUC) ✓
4. One Spare copy ✓

HIGH COURT

AAR,J

DATE; 11-03-2022

NOTE: POST ON 25-03-2022

ORDER

I.A. NO.1 OF 2022  
IN  
CC. NO. 613 OF 2020

DIRECTION

