

IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA
AT HYDERABAD

MONDAY, THE TENTH DAY OF FEBRUARY
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY FIVE

:PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE K.SURENDER

IA No. 1 OF 2012

IN

CRLA NO: 234 OF 2012

Between:

A.Praveen Raju, S/o Nagaraju, Security Guard in Group IV R/o Izzathnagar,
R.R.Dist.

...**Petitioner/Accused/Appellant**
(Petitioner in CRLA 234 OF 2012
on the file of High Court)

AND

The State of A.P., Represented by its Public Prosecutor, High Court of Andhra
Pradesh, Hyderabad.

...**Respondent/Respondent**
(Respondent in-do-)

Counsel for the Petitioner : SRI V.RAMESH KUMAR
Counsel for the Respondent: PUBLIC PROSECUTOR

I.A.No.1 of 2024 in CrI.A.No.234 of 2012

Petition under Section 389 (1) R/W 482 of Cr.P.C, praying that in the circumstances stated in the grounds filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to restore the order Dated 21.06.2012 passed in CrI.A.M.P. No.870 of 2012 by quashing the order Dated 03.01.2024 of this Hon'ble Court and grant bail to the petitioner.

CRL.M.P.No.870 of 2012

Petition under Section 389 (1) of Cr.P.C, praying that in the circumstance state in the grounds filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be please to suspend the operation of sentence by releasing the petitioner on bail in Session Case No.587 of 2010, Dt.12.03.2012, on the file of the I Addl. Asst. Sessions Judge, Cyberabad, Ranga Reddy District.

The petition coming on for hearing, upon perusing the Petition and the affidavit filed in support thereof and the order of the High Court dated 21.06.2012 made herein Crl.A.M.P.No.870 of 2012.

The Court made the following

ORDER:

This application is filed seeking to restore the order dated 21.06.2012 passed in Crl.A.M.P. No.870 of 2012 by quashing the order dated 03.01.2024 of this Court and to grant bail to the petitioner.

This Court vide order dated 03.01.2024 cancelled the suspension of sentence of imprisonment granted to the appellant by this Court on 21.06.2012 in Crl.A.M.P. No.870 of 2012 for the reason of non-appearance of the counsel for the appellant. Further, this Court directed the Police to take steps forthwith to take the appellant into custody.

Learned counsel for the appellant submits that he is ready with the arguments, however, the records of Court below are not yet received.

Therefore, this Court deems it appropriate to adjourn the case with a direction that the arrest of the appellant is kept in abeyance.

//TRUE COPY//

SD/-MD.ISMAIL
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

SECTION OFFICER

To,

1. The I Addl. Assistant Sessions Judge, Cyberabad.
2. One CC to SRI V.RAMESH KUMAR, Advocate [OPUC]
3. Two CCs to PUBLIC PROSECUTOR, High Court at Hyderabad [OUT]
4. One spare copy

HIGH COURT

KS,J

DATED:10.02.2025

POST ON 10.03.2025

ORDER

I.A.No.1 of 2025

IN

CRLA.No.234 of 2012

DIRECTION

