

HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA AT HYDERABAD

THURSDAY, THE TWENTY EIGHTH DAY OF MARCH

TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY FOUR

:PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE PULLA KARTHIK

WRIT PETITION NO: 11365 OF 2023

Between:

Budemgari Raju, S/o Narahari or Haraiah, Aged 48 years, Archaka (on Consolidated pay), Sri Raja Rajeshwara Swamy Devasthanam, Vemulawada, Rajanna Sircilla district.

Petitioner

AND

1. State of Telangana, Rep. by its Principal Secretary to Government, Revenue (Endowments) Department, Secretariat, Hyderabad.
2. The Commissioner of Endowments, Telangana, Boggulakunta, Hyderabad.
3. The Executive Officer, Sri Raja Rajeshwara Swamy Devasthanam, Vemulawada, Rajanna Sircilla District.

Respondents

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed therewith, the High Court may be pleased to issue a Writ of Mandamus or any other appropriate Writ, order or direction, declaring the action of the respondents particularly the action of the 4th respondent in passing Orders on my representation vide Rc.No.Legal/584/2022, dated 9.3.2023 rejecting his representation for granting time scale instead of fixed remuneration as illegal, arbitrary and without jurisdiction, as the 4th respondent is not competent to reject his representation, as the 2nd respondent alone is the competent authority to pass Speaking Orders on his representation and consequently direct the respondents in to regularise my services as Archaka in the 3rd respondent temple and grant me time scale instead of Consolidated pay as was given to the other similarly situated Archakas as per the dicta laid down by the Hon' ble Supreme Court in State of Punjab v. Jagjit Singh reported in (2017) 1 SCC 148;

IA NO: 1 OF 2023:

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the writ petition, the High Court may be pleased to direct the respondents to regularize his services as Archaka in the 3rd respondent temple and grant him time scale instead of consolidated pay as was given to the other similarly situated Archakas as per the dicta laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in State of Punjab vs. Jagjit Singh reported in (2017) 1 SCC 148, pending disposal of WP.No.11365 of 2023, on the file of the High Court.

The petition coming on for hearing, upon perusing the Petition and the affidavit filed in support thereof and the order of the High Court dated: 29.08.2023, 26.09.2023, 01.11.2023, 08.11.2023, 29.12.2023, 12.01.2024, 30.01.2024, 01.02.2024, 08.02.2024 and 07.03.2024 made herein and upon hearing the arguments of SMT. T. SUHASINI Advocate for the Petitioner, GP FOR ENDOWMENTS for the Respondent Nos.1 and 2 and SRI. J.R. MANOHAR RAO Advocate for the Respondent No.3, the Court made the following;

ORDER:

None appears for the respondents.

Post this matter on 30.04.2024.

Till then, the interim order granted earlier shall stand extended.

SD/- K. SREERAMA MURTHY
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

//TRUE COPY//

SECTION OFFICER

To,

1. One CC to SMT. T SUHASINI, Advocate [OPUC].
2. Two CCs to GP FOR ENDOWMENTS, High Court for the State of Telangana at Hyderabad [OUT].
3. One spare copy

HIGH COURT

PKJ

DATED:28/03/2024

POST ON 30.04.2024

ORDER

WP.No.11365 of 2023

INTERIM ORDER EXTENDED

