

HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA

MAIN CASE NOs.: C.C. (SR). No.14902 OF 2026

PROCEEDINGS SHEET

Sl. No.	Date	ORDER	OFFICE NOTE
02.	28.04.2026	<u>MB,J & GPK,J</u> Mr. Dr. Rev.K.V.K. Rao, Party-in-person/petitioner. The present Contempt Case is filed alleging non-compliance of the judgment dated 11.02.2026 passed by this Court in CMA No.252 of 2025, which was dismissed along with CMA Nos.181, 183 and 202 of 2025 and CRP Nos.3559 and 3560 of 2024. By the common judgment dated 11.02.2026, this Court dismissed CMA Nos.181, 183, 202 and 252 of 2025 and CRP Nos.3559 and 3560 of 2024 and appointed an Arbitrator to adjudicate the disputes between the parties. The party-in-person also alleges non-compliance of the order dated 02.04.2025 passed by the learned XXVII Additional Chief Judge, City Civil Court, Secunderabad ('Trial Court') in A.O.P. No. 9 of 2022. The Registry has raised the following objections seeking clarification about the maintainability of the Contempt Case: 1) To clarify how the Contempt Case (SR) is filed in the capacity of Party-in-Person shown as third petitioner by representing the Authorized person to petitioner Nos. 1 and 2. 2) How the single Contempt Case (SR) is entertainable with multiple prayers.	

The Party-in-Person re-presented the Contempt Case stating that the Registry did not raise any such objection while processing CC.SR. Nos.11659 and 11855 of 2026 (which were filed against the very same common judgment dated 11.02.2026) and had forwarded those matters to the Committee for consideration. The party-in-person further stated that the divergent approach now adopted by a different Scrutiny Officer demonstrates a lack of uniform procedure and appears to be based on personal subjective satisfaction rather than any settled rule or practice of this Court. Such inconsistent objections are causing great hardship and prejudice to the petitioners. However, we disagree with the contentions raised by party-in-person since he was neither an appellant nor a respondent in the C.M.A.

It is pertinent to note that the petitioner No.1/Venkata Narayana Educational Society did not appear as a party-in-person in the Appeals.

Furthermore, this Court has sustained the Office objections in CC.SR. Nos.11659 and 11855 of 2026, which were filed against the very same common judgment dated 11.02.2026.

In view of the above, the Office Objections raised by the Registry are sustained.

The Registry is directed to return the material papers, if any, to the petitioners.

MB,J

GPK,J

