

**HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA
AT HYDERABAD**

FRIDAY, THE TWELFTH DAY OF JUNE
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY SIX

:PRESENT:

**THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE LAXMI NARAYANA ALISHETTY
WP NO: 10839 OF 2019**

Between

B. Yadagiri, S/o. Laxmaiah.

.....PETITIONER

AND

1. The State of Telangana, Rep. by its Principal Secretary. Municipal Administrative and Urban Development Department, Secretariat buildings at Hyderabad
2. The Greater Hyderabad Municipal Corporation, Rep. by its Commissioner, Tankbund, Hyderabad.
3. The Greater Hyderabad Municipal Corporation, Rep. by its Deputy Commissioner. Circle No.29, Secunderabad.
4. The Greater Hyderabad Municipal Corporation, Rep. by its Town planning Officer. Circle No. 29, Secunderabad.

.....RESPONDENTS

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed therewith, the High Court may be pleased to issue a writ order or direction more particularly one in the nature of writ of Mandamus declaring the action of the respondents without giving any prior notice furnishing the relevant documents by giving any opportunity to the petitioner to submit his case without any enquiry in the matter before passing impugned order straight away issued impugned proceedings No. 20/TTS/SZGHMC 2019, dt 31-05-2019 issued by the 3rd Respondent cancelling the BPS regularized permission issued in favour of the petitioner earlier vide proceedings No. BPS/4182/C-18/NZ/GHMC/2008, dt- 30- 04-2013 issued by the respondents pertaining to the petitioner property bearing H.No. 12-1-508/B/11 (new) and G + 2 Upper Floors for premises No. 12-1-1/D/25/1 (old), on plot No. 2 in Sy.No. 27,29, 30, 31, admeasuring 382 square yards situated at Lalapet, Secunderabad the same as illegal, arbitrary, violation of the provisions of HMC Act 1955 as well as Articles 14, 16 and 21 of the constitution of India and against the principles of natural justice and consequentially set aside the aforesaid proceedings of the 3rd respondent.

The petition coming on for hearing, upon perusing the petition and the affidavit filed in support thereof and upon hearing the arguments of SRI S SRINIVASA SHARMA Advocate for the Petitioner, GP FOR MCPL ADMN URBAN DEV for the Respondents, the Court made the following.

ORDER

Learned counsel for the petitioner and learned Standing counsel for GHMC present.

Learned Standing counsel for GHMC placed on record a letter dated 29.04.2019/06.05.2019, purported to have been issued to the petitioner before cancelling the BRS application.

Learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that no notice has been served on petitioner.

Learned Standing counsel for GHMC requests time to produce proof of service of letter dated 29.04.2019/06.05.2019 on petitioner.

Post on 29.06.2026.

The presence of officer is dispensed with.

Sd/- AHMAD ABDULLA KHAN
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

//TRUE COPY//


SECTION OFFICER

To,

1. The Principal Secretary, Municipal Administrative and Urban Development Department, State of Telangana, Secretariat buildings at Hyderabad[By SPAD] ✓
2. The Commissioner, Greater Hyderabad Municipal Corporation, Tankbund, Hyderabad.[By SPAD] ✓
3. The Deputy Commissioner, Greater Hyderabad Municipal Corporation, Circle No.29, Secunderabad.[By SPAD] ✓
4. The Town planning Officer, Greater Hyderabad Municipal Corporation, Circle No. 29, Secunderabad.[By SPAD] ✓
5. One CC to Sri. S SRINIVASA SHARMA Advocate [OPUC] ✓
6. Two CCs to GP FOR MCPL ADMN & URBAN DEV, High Court for the State of Telangana, at Hyderabad [OUT] ✓
7. One spare copy ✓

HIGH COURT

LNA, J

DATED: 12.06.2026

POST ON 29.06.2026



ORDER

WP.No.10839 of 2019

DIRECTION