

HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA AT HYDERABAD

FRIDAY, THE SEVENTEENTH DAY OF APRIL
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY SIX

:PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE LAXMI NARAYANA ALISHETTY
WP NO: 10839 OF 2019

Between

B. Yadagiri, S/o. Laxmaiah Aged about 63 years, Occ- Retired employee. R/o. H.No. 12-1-508/B/11, Lalapet, Secunderabad.

.....PETITIONER

AND

1. The State of Telangana., Rep. by its Principal Secretary. Municipal Administrative and Urban Development Department, Secretariat buildings at Hyderabad
2. The Greater Hyderabad Municipal Corporation., Rep. by its Commissioner, Tankbund, Hyderabad.
3. The Greater Hyderabad Municipal Corporation., Rep. by its Deputy Commissioner. Circle No.29, Secunderabad.
4. The Greater Hyderabad Municipal Corporation., Rep. by its Town planning Officer. Circle No. 29, Secunderabad.

.....RESPONDENTS

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed therewith, the High Court may be pleased to issue a writ order or direction more particularly one in the nature of writ of Mandamus declaring the action of the respondents without giving any prior notice furnishing the relevant documents by giving any opportunity to the petitioner to submit his case without any enquiry in the matter before passing impugned order straight away issued impugned proceedings No. 20/TTS/SZGHMC 2019, dt 31-05-2019 issued by the 3rd Respondent cancelling the BPS regularized permission issued in favour of the petitioner earlier vide proceedings No. BPS/4182/C-18/NZ/GHMC/2008, dt- 30- 04-2013 issued by the respondents pertaining to the petitioner property bearing H.No. 12-1-508/B/11 (new) and G plus 2 Upper Floors for premises No. 12-1-1/D/25/1 (old), on plot No. 2 in Sy.No. 27,29, 30, 31, admeasuring 382 square yards situated at Lalapet, Secunderabad the same as illegal, arbitrary, violation of the provisions of HMC Act 1955 as well as

Article 14, 16 and 21 of the constitution of India and against the principles of natural justice and consequentially set aside the aforesaid proceedings of the 3rd respondent;

The petition coming on for hearing, upon perusing the petition and the grounds filed in support thereof and upon hearing the arguments of SRI S SRINIVASA SHARMA Advocate for the Petitioner, GP FOR MCPL ADMN URBAN DEV (TG) for the Respondent No.1 and SRI CH. MADHU, Standing Counsel for respondent Nos. 2 to 4, the Court made the following.

ORDER

Learned counsel for the petitioners is present.

At request of learned Standing Counsel for GHMC to comply with the order dated 19.02.2026 and to produce the letter dated 29.04.2019 and 06.05.2019 referred to in the revocation proceedings dated 31.05.2019 as well as inspection report, post on 01.05.2026, as a last chance, failing which, the concerned officer shall appear before this Court in person.

Sd/- M.NAGAMANI
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

//TRUE COPY//


SECTION OFFICER

To,

1. The Principal Secretary, State of Telangana., Municipal Administrative and Urban Development Department, Secretariat buildings at Hyderabad[By SPAD]
2. The Commissioner, Greater Hyderabad Municipal Corporation., Tankbund, Hyderabad.[By SPAD]
3. The Deputy Commissioner, Greater Hyderabad Municipal Corporation., Circle No.29, Secunderabad.[By SPAD]
4. The Town planning Officer, Greater Hyderabad Municipal Corporation., Circle No. 29, Secunderabad.[By SPAD]
5. One CC to Sri. S SRINIVASA SHARMA Advocate [OPUC]
6. Two CCs to GP FOR MCPL ADMN & URBAN DEV (TG), High Court for the State of Telangana, at Hyderabad [OUT]
7. One spare copy

HIGH COURT

LNA, J

DATED: 17-04-2026

POST ON 01.05.2026

ORDER

WP.No.10839 of 2019

DIRECTION

