

**HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA : HYDERABAD**

**MAIN CASE NO: C.C.(S.R) No.14744 of 2025**

**PROCEEDING SHEET**

| <b>SL. NO</b> | <b>DATE</b> | <b>ORDER</b>   | <b>OFFICE NOTE</b>                                    |
|---------------|-------------|--|---|
| 01            | 01.08.2025  | <p><b><u>JSR, J</u></b></p> <p>The Registry has taken an objection about the maintainability of the Contempt Case, and based on the same, the matter is listed under the caption “for orders of Court”.</p> <p>Mr.M.P.Kashyap, learned counsel for the petitioner, through video conference, submits that the petitioner initially approached the Andhra Pradesh Administrative Tribunal and filed O.A.No.7341 of 2011, questioning the action of respondents therein and seeking a direction to treat and consider her case as Local candidate of Ranga Reddy District and issue appointment orders as per the rank secured by her according to the provisional list of selected candidates for Group-IV Services General Recruitment. The said O.A. was allowed on 04.08.2014, and a direction was issued to the respondents therein to issue appointment orders to the petitioner as per her merit and eligibility, and also as per the rank secured by her in the provisional list of selected candidates in Group-IV services General Recruitment pursuant to the Notification No.40/2008 by taking her as local candidate.</p> <p>Subsequently, the petitioner submitted representations on 03.11.2014 and 03.02.2016, requesting the respondents therein to issue appointment orders. When the respondents failed to consider the said representations, the petitioner had approached this Court and filed W.P.No.45184 of 2016. The said writ petition was disposed of by this Court on 16.10.2023, directing the respondent No.2 in the said writ petition to</p> | Transferred to i/o folder, before corrections, if any |

| <b>SL. NO</b> | <b>DATE</b> | <b>ORDER</b>  | <b>OFFICE NOTE</b> |
|---------------|-------------|---|--------------------|
|               |             | <p>consider the representations submitted by the petitioner dated 03.11.2014 and 03.02.2016 and pass appropriate orders in accordance with law within a period of two months from the date of receipt of a copy of the said order, by duly considering the orders passed by APAT in O.A.No.7341 of 2011. However, the respondents without properly considering the orders passed by APAT, issued speaking orders on 21.08.2024. The order passed by the Collector and District Magistrate, Ranga Reddy District is contrary to the orders of the APAT. Hence, under such circumstances, the petitioner approached this Court and filed the present contempt case invoking the provisions of Sections 10 and 12 of the Contempt of Courts Act for willful disobedience, and the same is maintainable under law.</p> <p>Taking into consideration the facts and circumstances of the case and the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner, the objection raised by the Registry is over ruled.</p> <p>Registry is directed to number the Contempt Case.</p> <p style="text-align: right;"><u>JSR, J</u><br/>lk</p> |                    |