

(SHOW CAUSE NOTICE BEFORE ADMISSION)
HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA, AT HYDERABAD

FRIDAY, THE TWELFTH DAY OF DECEMBER,

TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY FIVE

:PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE E.V. VENUGOPAL

WRIT PETITION NO: 10560 OF 2025

Between:

Mekala Srinivas Yadav, S/o Ananthaiah.

...Petitioner

AND

1. The Bar Council of the State of Telangana, represented by its Secretary, High Court Buildings, High Court at Hyderabad.
2. The Disciplinary Committee No.1, Of the Bar Council of the State of Telangana Hyderabad.
3. V. Prasanna, W/o Mahesh, Occupation. Advocate, Aged about 47 Years, R/o Plot No. 231, Defence colony Sainikpuri, Secunderabad -500091.

...Respondents

WHEREAS the Petitioner above named through his Advocate Sri M RATHAN SINGH presented this Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed therewith, the High Court may be pleased to issue an appropriate writ order or direction more particularly, one in the Nature of writ of Mandamus or direction declaring the impugned order dated 31.08.2024 passed by Respondent No.2 in Complaint Case No. 28 of 2023 in SR No. 75 of 2021 as illegal, arbitrary, and without jurisdiction.

AND WHEREAS the High Court upon perusing the petition and affidavit filed herein and upon hearing the arguments of Sri M RATHAN SINGH Advocate for the Petitioner, directed issue of notice to the Respondent No.3 to show cause as to why this WRIT PETITION should not be admitted.

You viz:

V. Prasanna, W/o Mahesh, Occupation. Advocate, Aged about 47 Years, R/o Plot No. 231, Defence colony Sainikpuri, Secunderabad -500091.

are directed to show cause on or before 30.01.2026 to which date the case stands posted as to why in the circumstances set out in the petition and the affidavit filed therewith (copy enclosed) this WRIT PETITION should not be admitted.

The Court made the following ORDER:

Mr.Mohd. Jamed Ahmed, learned counsel representing learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in spite of granting sufficient time respondent Nos.1 and 2 failed to file counter affidavit.

Mr.Sankarsh, learned counsel representing learned counsel for respondent Nos.1 and 2 seeks short accommodation of time to file counter/ instructions by the next date of hearing.

It is noticed that learned counsel for respondent No.3 was elevated as Hon'ble Judge of this Court. Hence, Registry is directed to issue notice to respondent No.3.

Learned counsel for the petitioner is permitted to take out personal notice on respondent No.3 by S.P.A.D. and file proof of service into the Registry.

It is necessary to hear respondent No.3.

List on 30.01.2026.

SD/-MOMINA MEHAR
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

//TRUE COPY//


SECTION OFFICER

To,

1. V. Prasanna, W/o Mahesh, Occupation: Advocate, Aged about 47 Years, R/o Plot No. 231, Defence colony Sainikpuri, Secunderabad -500091 (by RPAD- along with a copy of petition and affidavit)
2. One CC to SRI. M RATHAN SINGH Advocate [OPUC]
3. One spare copy

HIGH COURT

EVVJ

DATED:12/12/2025

LIST ON 30.01.2026

NOTICE BEFORE ADMISSION

WP.No.10560 of 2025

