

(SHOW CAUSE NOTICE BEFORE ADMISSION)
HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA, AT HYDERABAD

WEDNESDAY, THE NINTH DAY OF APRIL,

TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY FIVE

:PRESENT:
THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE T.VINOD KUMAR

WRIT PETITION NO: 10560 OF 2025

Between:

Mekala Srinivas Yadav, S/o Ananthaiah, Aged 50 years, Occupation. Advocate/
Suspended R/o 201, Mounica Apartments, Bhaskarraonagar Colony, Sainikpuri,
Telangana.

... Writ Petitioner

AND

1. The Bar Council of the State of Telangana, represented by its Secretary, High Court Buildings, High Court at Hyderabad.
2. The Disciplinary Committee No.1, Of the Bar Council of the State of Telangana Hyderabad.
3. V. Prasanna, W/o Mahesh, Occupation. Advocate, Aged about 47 Years, R/o Plot No. 231, Defence colony Sainikpuri, Secunderabad -500091

...Respondent

WHEREAS the Petitioner above named through his Advocate Sri M Rathan Singh presented this Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed therewith, the High Court may be pleased to issue an appropriate writ order or direction more particularly, one in the Nature of writ of Mandamus or direction declaring the impugned order dated 31.08.2024 passed by Respondent No.2 in Complaint Case No. 28 of 2023 in SR No. 75 of 2021 as illegal, arbitrary, and without jurisdiction, and quash the same.

AND WHEREAS the High Court upon perusing the petition and affidavit filed herein and upon hearing the arguments of Sri M Rathan Singh Advocate for the Petitioner, directed issue of notice to the Respondent No.3 herein to show cause as to why this WRIT PETITION should not be admitted.

You viz:

V. Prasanna, W/o Mahesh, Occupation. Advocate, Aged about 47 Years, R/o Plot No. 231, Defence colony Sainikpuri, Secunderabad -500091

are directed to show cause as to why in the circumstances set out in the petition and the affidavit filed therewith (copy enclosed) this WRIT PETITION should not be admitted.

The Court made the following ORDER:

Sri G.M. Mohiuddin, learned Standing Counsel takes notice for respondent Nos.1 and 2.

Issue notice to unofficial respondent No.3.

Learned counsel for the petitioner is permitted to take out personal notice to respondent No.3 by RPAD/Speed Post and file proof of Service into the Registry.

List the matter after six(06) weeks.

Counter in the meantime.

SD/-S.MALLIKARJUNA RAO
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

//TRUE COPY//

SECTION OFFICER

To,

1. V. Prasanna, W/o Mahesh, Occupation. Advocate, Aged about 47 Years, R/o Plot No. 231, Defence colony Sainikpuri, Secunderabad -500091 (by RPAD- along with a copy of petition and affidavit)
2. One CC to SRI. M RATHAN SINGH Advocate [OPUC]
3. One CC to SRI. G M MOHIUDDIN Advocate [OPUC]
4. One spare copy

HIGH COURT

TVKJ

DATED:09/04/2025

LIST AFTER SIX (06) WEEKS



NOTICE BEFORE ADMISSION

WP.No.10560 of 2025