

(SHOW CAUSE NOTICE BEFORE ADMISSION)
THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA
AT HYDERABAD

TUESDAY, THE THIRTY FIRST DAY OF MARCH
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY SIX

:PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE J SREENIVAS RAO
CRIMINAL PETITION NO: 4673 OF 2026

Between:

Smt. Maheshwaram Sunitha, W/o Raj Kumar

Petitioner/Accused

AND

1. The State of Telangana, rep. by its Public Prosecutor, High Court at Hyderabad.
2. Sri K.Satish, Divisional Panchayat Officer, Rajendranagar Division, Rangareddy District.

Respondent/Defacto-Complainant

WHEREAS the Petitioner above named through her Advocate Sri T.V. RAMANA RAO presented this Petition under Section 528 of BNSS, praying that in the circumstances stated in the grounds filed in support of the Criminal Petition, the High Court may be pleased to call for the records relating to C.C.No.215 of 2026 on the file of II Addl. Junior Civil Judge-cum- XIII Addl. JMFC Rangareddy District at Rajendranagar and Quash the same;

AND WHEREAS the High Court upon perusing the petition and memorandum of grounds filed herein and upon hearing the arguments of Sri T.V.RAMANA RAO, Advocate for the Petitioner and Addl. Public Prosecutor for the Respondent No.1, directed issue of notice to the Respondent No.2, herein to show cause as to why this CRIMINAL PETITION should not be admitted.

You viz:

Sri K.Satish, Divisional Panchayat Officer, Rajendranagar Division, Rangareddy District.

are directed to show cause on or before **03.09.2026** to which date the case stands posted as to why in the circumstances set out in the petition and the memorandum of grounds filed therewith (copy enclosed) this CRIMINAL PETITION should not be admitted.

IA NO: 1 OF 2026

Petition under Section 528 of BNSS, praying that in the circumstances stated in the grounds filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to Stay all further proceedings including the personal appearance of the Petitioner in C.C.No. 215 of 2026 on the file of II Addl. Junior Civil Judge-cum- XIII Addl. JMFC

Rangareddy District at Rajendranagar, pending disposal of CRLP No.4673 of 2026, on the file of the High Court.

The Court made the following: ORDER:

Mr.T.V.Ramana Rao, learned counsel for the petitioner, submitted that the petitioner has not committed the alleged offences and has been falsely implicated in the present case solely on the ground that she is discharging her duties as the Sarpanch of Puppalaguda Gram Panchayat. Though the petitioner has not misappropriated any funds of the Gram Panchayat, the District Collector, by order dated 20.12.2018, removed her from the post of Sarpanch by exercising the powers conferred under Section 249(6) of the Telangana Panchayat Raj Act, 1994. Aggrieved by the said order, the petitioner approached the Telangana Gram Panchayat Tribunal, State of Telangana at Hyderabad, and filed Appeal Proceedings Nos.12326/Pts.I/A2/2018-1 and 1919/Vig.II/A2/2017-1. The learned Tribunal, after taking into consideration the oral and documentary evidence on record, came to the conclusion that the petitioner and the Panchayat Secretary were responsible for the alleged objectionable amount. However, the Tribunal specifically recorded a finding that there was no misappropriation. Despite such a finding, the Tribunal, by its order dated 11.10.2021, directed the petitioner to pay an amount of Rs.23,68,618/- to the account of the Gram Panchayat, treating it as an objectionable amount. Pursuant to the said order, the petitioner remitted the said amount through challan in the Treasury on 20.01.2022. Therefore, the continuation of proceedings against the petitioner is a clear abuse of the process of law.

Taking into consideration the above submissions, this Court is of the view that detailed examination is required for adjudication of the case.

Notice before admission.

Learned Additional Public Prosecutor takes notice on behalf of respondent No.1.

Learned counsel for the petitioner is permitted to take out personal notice to respondent No.2 by way of Speed Post with Acknowledgment Due and file proof of service.

Post on 03.09.2026.

Till then, there shall be an interim stay of all further proceedings against the petitioner/accused in C.C.No.215 of 2026 on the file of the II Additional Junior Civil Judge-cum-XIII Additional Judicial Magistrate of First Class, Ranga Reddy District at Rajendranagar. In default of filing proof of service, the stay shall stand vacated.

**Sd/- K.BHAVANI SWAMY
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR**

//TRUE COPY//

SECTION OFFICER

To,

1. The II Additional Junior Civil Judge-cum-XIII Additional Judicial Magistrate of First Class, Ranga Reddy District at Rajendranagar.
2. The Station House Officer, Police Station Narsingi, District Rangareddy.
3. Sri K. Satish, Divisional Panchayat Officer, Rajendranagar Division, Rangareddy District. (by SPAD- along with a copy of petition and memorandum of grounds)
4. One CC to SRI T V RAMANA RAO, Advocate [OPUC] ✓
5. Two CCs to PUBLIC PROSECUTOR, High Court at Hyderabad [OUT]
6. One spare copy

HIGH COURT

JSR, J

DATED:31.03.2026

POST ON 03.09.2026

NOTICE BEFORE ADMISSION



CRLP.No.4673 of 2026

INTERIM STAY