

**(SHOW CAUSE NOTICE BEFORE ADMISSION)  
IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA  
AT HYDERABAD**

TUESDAY, THE TWENTY FOURTH DAY OF MARCH  
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY SIX

**:PRESENT:**

**THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE E.V. VENUGOPAL  
WRIT PETITION NO: 9873 OF 2024**

**Between:**

A.Raghunath, S/o A.Rajagopal.

**Petitioner**

**AND**

1. The State of Telangana, rep by its Principal Secretary, Home Department Secretariat, Hyderabad.
2. The Station House Officer, Adibatla Police station, Rachakonda commissionerate Ranga Reddy district.
3. Chandrakanth Kulakarni, S/o Bheemrao aged about 49 years Occ: pvt employee R/o H.No. 2-1-164/2/202, Laxmi residency, Near Ramalayam Old Nallakunta, Hyderabad.

**Respondents**

WHEREAS the Petitioner above named through his Advocate SRI GUDI MADHUSUDHAN REDDY presented this Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed therewith, the High Court may be pleased to issue writ order or direction more particularly one in the nature of writ of mandamus declaring the action of the respondent No. 2 in calling the petitioner to the police station and keeping him in the police station every day from early morning hours to evening hours who is suffering with paralasis on the ground that the phone number of the petitioner is mentioned in the registered sale deed vide doc No. 2655/2021 and even though the petitioner is not the accused in crime No. 75/2024 dated 10.2.2024 registered basing on the complaint made by the Respondent No.3 as arbitrary and illegal and nothig but in interffering into the personal life and liberty granted under Article 21 of the constitution of India and consequently to direct the respondent No. 2 not to call the petitioner to the police station and not to interfere into personal life and liberty of the petitioner.

AND WHEREAS the High Court upon perusing the petition and affidavit filed herein and upon hearing the arguments of SRI GUDI MADHUSUDHAN REDDY Advocate for the Petitioner, directed issue of notice to the Respondent No.3 herein to show cause as to why this WRIT PETITION should not be admitted.

You viz:

Chandrakanth Kulakarni, S/o Bheemrao aged about 49 years Occ. pvt employee R/o H.No. 2-1-164/2/202, Laxmi residency, Near Ramalayam Old Nallakunta, Hyderabad.

The Court made the following:  
**ORDER:**

Learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that service of notice sent to respondent No.3 is awaited. Since the said notice has been sent on 16.04.2024, he prays this Court to order for fresh notice.

Issue fresh notice to respondent No.3.

Learned counsel for the petitioner is permitted to take out personal notice to respondent No.3 by Speed Post with Acknowledgment Due and file proof of service by the next date of listing.

List on 09.06.2026.

Sd/- P.C.SULEKHA DEVI  
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

//TRUE COPY//

  
SECTION OFFICER

To,

1. Chandrakanth Kulakarni, S/o Bheemrao aged about 49 years Occ. pvt employee R/o H.No. 2-1-164/2/202, Laxmi residency, Near Ramalayam Old Nallakunta, Hyderabad.  
(By SPAD - along with a copy of petition and affidavit)
2. One CC to SRI. GUDI MADHUSUDHAN REDDY Advocate [OPUC]
3. Two CCs to GP FOR HOME, High Court at Hyderabad. [OUT]
4. One spare copy

**HIGH COURT**

**EVV,J**

**DATED:24/03/2026**

**LIST ON 09.06.2026**

**NOTICE BEFORE ADMISSION**

**WP.No.9873 of 2024**

