

HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA

**MAIN CASE NO.: L.A.A.S.Nos. 32, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 31
and 35 of 2026; and 153, 162, 164, 166, 168, 206
and 207 of 2025**

PROCEEDINGS SHEET

Sl. No.	Date	ORDER	OFFICE NOTE
	27.07.2026	<u>MB,J & RY,J</u> Mr. Rahul Reddy, the learned Special Government Pleader representing the Additional Advocate General appearing for the appellant in L.A.A.S. No.32 of 2026. Mr. Ajay Kumar Reddy, learned Senior Counsel representing Mr. P. Ramachandran, learned counsel appearing for the respondent No.1 in L.A.A.S.Nos.32, 22, 23, 24, 26 and 31 of 2026. Mr. G. Anandam, learned counsel appearing for the respondents in L.A.A.S.Nos.153, 164, 166, 168, 206 and 207 of 2025. The learned Special Government Pleader appearing for the appellant seeks to argue I.A.No.1 of 2026 in LAAS.No.22 of 2026 which is for condonation of delay of 460 days in filing the Appeal. The Special Government Pleader refers to the Affidavit filed along with the said I.A. However, when the Special Government Pleader commenced placing relevant paragraphs, it is clear that the I.A. filed in the Court does not match with the submissions made by the Special Government Pleader. While the Court Copy refereed to LAAS.No.206 of 2025 in paragraph No.11 and order dated 28.02.2025 passed in LAAS.No.206 of 2025, the pleadings which are being relied upon by the Special Government Pleader mention two different LAAS numbers and an order with a different date. This is surprising that pleadings with both the Special Government Pleader and learned Senior Counsel for respondents are different whereas the pleadings before the Court are different. The pleadings do not tally. Hence, the Court was constrained to spend	

a considerable amount of time in verifying the correctness of the pleadings. This was wholly unnecessary and avoidable particularly in a case where the Court is called upon to decide whether the delay in filing the Appeal should be condoned.

The Special Government Pleader submits that similar errors are possible in other I.As since this is a batch matter. The laxity on the part of the appellant is not something which should be let off lightly.

The petitioner/appellant is granted leave to rectify the errors in the pleadings in the batch of sixteen matters, as prayed for, subject to payment of costs of Rs.20,000/- to *Thara for Boys* (orphanage for boys), 168 Old airport Road New Bowenpally, Secunderabad – 500011, within three days from today.

The petitioner/appellant is granted leave to verify the records, as prayed for.

List these matters on 31.07.2026.

MB,J

RY,J

BMS