

IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA AT HYDERABAD

TUESDAY, THE TWENTY EIGHTH DAY OF JULY TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY

:PRESENT:
THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE CHALLA KODANDA RAM

IA No. 1 OF 2020
IN
WP NO: 11153 OF 2020

Between:

Vishwanath Goud, S/o. Veeranna Goud.

...Petitioner
(Petitioner in WP 11153 OF 2020
on the file of High Court)

AND

1. State of Telangana, (Excise-II) Revenue Department, Secretariat, Hyderabad.
Rep. by its Principal Secretary.
2. The commissioner and Prohibition of Excise, Government of Telangana,
Hyderabad.
3. The Director of Prohibition and Excise, Govt of Telangana Hyderabad
4. The Deputy Commissioner of Prohibition and Excise, Mahabubnagar,
Mahabubnagar District.
5. The District Prohibition and Excise Officer, Mahabubnagar, Mahabunagar
District.
6. The District Collector, Mahabubnagar, Mahabubnagar District.

...Respondents
(Respondents in-do-)

Counsel for the Petitioner : Sri S V RAMANA

Counsel for the Respondent Nos.1 to 5: GP FOR PROHIBITION EXCISE (TG)

Counsel for the Respondent NO.6 : GP for Revenue

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to direct the respondents 1 and 2 to adjust the licence fee paid for the period from 14/3/2020 to 5/5/2020 in the 5th instalment of licence fee to be paid by the petitioner in respect of shop notified in Gazette Sl.No.MBN046, pending disposal of WP No. 11153 of 2020, on the file of the High Court.

The court while directing issue of notice to the Respondents herein to show cause as to why this application should not be complied with, made the following.(The receipt of this order will be deemed to be the receipt of notice in the case).

ORDER:

Notice.

The matter has to be decided finally as to whether remission is required to be granted to the petitioner or not. As the petitioner is not categorical in his submission that when he approached the respondent authorities, the basic requirements of mandamus are not satisfied in the Writ Petition. However, liberty is given to the petitioner to approach the State seeking the relief for which he invoked the jurisdiction of mandamus which shall be considered in accordance with law.

//TRUE COPY//

SD/- K.AMMAJI
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

SECTION OFFICER

To,

1. The Prl. Secretary, (Excise-II) Revenue Department, State of Telangana, Secretariat, Hyderabad.
2. The commissioner and Prohibition of Excise, Government of Telangana, Hyderabad.
3. The Director of Prohibition and Excise, Govt of Telangana Hyderabad
4. The Deputy Commissioner of Prohibition and Excise, Mahabubnagar, Mahabubnagar District.
5. The District Prohibition and Excise Officer, Mahabubnagar, Mahabubnagar District.
6. The District Collector, Mahabubnagar, Mahabubnagar District.(1 to 6 By RPAD)
7. One CC to SRI S V RAMANA Advocate [OPUC]
8. Two CCs to GP FOR PROHIBITION & EXCISE (TG), High Court at Hyderabad. [OUT]
9. Two CCs to GP FOR Revenue (TG), High Court at Hyderabad. [OUT]
10. One spare copy

mvj

HIGH COURT

CKR,J

DATED:28/07/2020

ORDER

I.A.NO.1 OF 2020
IN
WP.No.11153 of 2020

DIRECTION

