

**(FRESH SHOW CAUSE NOTICE BEFORE ADMISSION)
HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA AT HYDERABAD**

FRIDAY, THE SIXTH DAY OF FEBRUARY
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY SIX

:PRESENT:

**THE HONOURABLE JUSTICE MOUSHUMI BHATTACHARYA
AND
THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE GADI PRAVEEN KUMAR**

MOTOR ACCIDENT CIVIL MISCELLANEOUS APPEAL NO: 601 OF 2021

Between:

1. Bhogaraju Satyanarayana Murthy, S/o. Sanyasi Rama Joga Rao.
2. Bhogaraju Prasanna Laxmi Sathyavani, W/o. Satyanarayana Murthy.
3. Bhogaraju S.L. Tejaswini, D/o. Satyanarayana Murthy.

Appellants

AND

1. Anil Kumar Gond, S/o. Munna Gond, Occ: Hydra Vehicle Operator, R/o. Masdhi, Ramgharh, Rathu Road, Ranchi, Jarkhand.
2. Megha Engineering Construction Pvt. Ltd., Represented by G. Seenath reddy, S2. Technocrat, Industrial Estate, Balanagar, Hyderabad.
3. The Oriental Insurance Company Limited, Represented by its Manager, Regd. Head Officer, A-25, Asif Ali road, New Delhi, 110 002 (Policy No. 431301/31/2014/6931 valid from 13/02/2014 to 12/02/2015)

Respondents

WHEREAS the Appellants above named through their Advocate Mr. K.V. Subba Reddy presented this Appeal filed Under Section 173 of Motor Vehicle Act, being aggrieved by the Judgment passed by the Chairman Motor Accidents Claims Tribunal-cum-II Addl. District Judge (FTC), Manchenal, in MVOP No. 143 of 2017 dated 27/02/2020 for the following;

AND WHEREAS the High Court upon perusing the petition and memorandum of grounds filed herein and upon hearing the arguments of Mr. K.V. Subba Reddy Advocate for the Appellants, directed issue fresh notice to Respondent No.1, herein to show cause as to why this MOTOR ACCIDENT CIVIL MISCELLANEOUS APPEAL should not be admitted.

You viz:

Anil Kumar Gond, S/o. Munna Gond, Occ: Hydra Vehicle Operator, R/o. Masdhi, Ramgharh, Rathu Road, Ranchi, Jarkhand.

are directed to show cause on or before 26.02.2026 to which date the case stands posted as to why in the circumstances set out in the appeal and the memorandum of grounds filed therewith (copy enclosed) this MOTOR ACCIDENT CIVIL MISCELLANEOUS APPEAL should not be admitted.

The Court made the following:

ORDER:

The respondent No.3/Insurance Company is represented. The respondent No.2 is not represented despite service of notice.

Counsel appearing for the petitioner submits that the respondent No.1 is yet to be served and seeks fresh notice.

Issue fresh notice, including personal notice to the respondent No.1.

Proof of service to be filed before the returnable date.

List this matter on 26.02.2026.

**SD/- AHMED ABDULLAH KHAN
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR**

//TRUE COPY//


SECTION OFFICER

To,

1. Anil Kumar Gond, S/o. Munna Gond, Occ: Hydra Vehicle Operator, R/o. Masdhi, Ramgharh, Rathu Road, Ranchi, Jarkhand **(by SPAD- along with a copy of petition and memorandum of grounds)**
2. One CC to Mr. K.V. Subba Reddy Advocate [OPUC]
3. Two spare copies.

HIGH COURT

MB, J & GPK, J

DATED:06/02/2026

LIST ON 26.02.2026

FRESH NOTICE BEFORE ADMISSION

MACMA.No.601 of 202

