

(SHOW CAUSE NOTICE BEFORE ADMISSION)
HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA, AT HYDERABAD

THURSDAY, THE TWENTY SIXTH DAY OF MARCH,

TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY SIX

:PRESENT:
THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE B.VIJAYSEN REDDY

WRIT PETITION NO: 8935 OF 2026

Between:

Smt. Palvai Punnamma, W/o late Palvai Somaiah.

...Petitioner

AND

1. The State of Telangana, Rep. by Principal Secretary, Revenue Department, Secretariat, Hyderabad.
2. The District Collector, Suryapet.
3. The Revenue Divisional Officer, Suryapet, Suryapet District.
4. The Tahasildar, Noothankal (M), Suryapet Dist.
5. The District Panchayat Officer, Suryapet District, at Suryapet.
6. The Mandal Parishath Development Officer, Noothankal (M), Suryapet District.
7. The Gramapanchayat, Venkeypally, Rep. by Panchayat Secretary, Venkeypally (V), Noothankal (M), Suryapet District.
8. The Station House Officer, Noothankal Police Station, At Noothankal, Suryapet District.
9. Palvai Nagamallu, S/o. late Ramulu Aged about 45 years, Venkeypally (V), Noothankal (M), Suryapet District.
10. Palvai Narsaiah, S/o. Late Veeramallu, Aged about 46 years, Venkeypally (V), Noothankal (M), Suryapet District.

...Respondents

WHEREAS the Petitioner above named through her Advocate Sri M V HANUMANTHA RAO presented this Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed therewith, the High Court may be pleased to issue a writ order or direction more particularly, one in the nature of Writ of Mandamus, declaring the action of the respondent nos.2 to 7 in not taking immediate steps to conduct survey and fix

boundaries to house-sites of petitioner and respondent nos. 9 and 10 i.e. 4-80; 4-81 and 4-82 situated at Venkeypally (v) Noothankal (M) Suryapet District, as per their original allotment basing on the representations submitted by the petitioner dt.23-2-2026; 2-3-2026 and 9-3-2026 as illegal, arbitrary and violative of principles of natural justice and consequently direct the respondent nos. 2 to 7 to conduct survey and fix boundaries to the house sites of petitioner and respondent nos.9 and 10 and not to release amounts under Indiramma Scheme till survey is conducted and boundaries are fixed to the house site of respondent no.9.

AND WHEREAS the High Court upon perusing the petition and affidavit filed herein and upon hearing the arguments of Sri M V HANUMANTHA RAO Advocate for the Petitioner, directed issue of notice to the Respondent Nos.9 and 10 to show cause as to why this WRIT PETITION should not be admitted.

You viz:

1. Palvai Nagamallu, S/o. late Ramulu Aged about 45 years, Venkeypally (V), Noothankal (M), Suryapet District.
2. Palvai Narsaiah, S/o. Late Veeramallu, Aged about 46 years, Venkeypally (V), Noothankal (M), Suryapet District.

are directed to show cause on or before 16.04.2026 to which date the case stands posted as to why in the circumstances set out in the petition and the affidavit filed therewith (copy enclosed) this WRIT PETITION should not be admitted.

The Court made the following ORDER:

Notice to respondents No.9 and 10.

Personal notice to respondents No.9 and 10 permitted.

List on 16.04.2026.

Sd/- T.SRINIVASA REDDY
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

//TRUE COPY//


SECTION OFFICER

To,

1. Palvai Nagamallu, S/o. late Ramulu Aged about 45 years, Venkeypally (V), Noothankal (M), Suryapet District.
2. Palvai Narsaiah, S/o. Late Veeramallu, Aged about 46 years, Venkeypally (V), Noothankal (M), Suryapet District. (Addresses 1 & 2 by SPAD- along with a copy of petition and affidavit)
3. One CC to SRI. M V HANUMANTHA RAO Advocate [OPUC]
4. Two CCs to GP FOR ASSIGNMENT, High Court at Hyderabad. [OUT]
5. One spare copy

HIGH COURT

BVRJ

DATED:26/03/2026

LIST ON 16.04.2026

NOTICE BEFORE ADMISSION

WP.No.8935 of 2026

