



2026:TSHC:14602

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA
AT HYDERABAD**

**WEDNESDAY, THE EIGHTH DAY OF APRIL
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY SIX**

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE SMT JUSTICE K. SUJANA

CRIMINAL PETITION NO: 4267 OF 2026

Between:

Aurangzeb,, S/o. Akthar Islam, Aged.32 years, Occ.Private Work,
R/o. H. No.293, Akbarpur Jhojha, Bulandshahr District, Uttar Pradesh - 245408

...PETITIONER/ACCUSED NO. 4

AND

The State of Telangana, Through SHO, P.S. Attapur,, Hyderabad City Police,
Represented by its Public Prosecutor, High Court for the State of Telangana,
Hyderabad - 500 066.

...RESPONDENT

Petition under Section 482 of BNSS, 2023 praying that in the circumstances stated in the Memorandum of Grounds of Criminal Petition, the High Court may be pleased to enlarge the Petitioner/Accused No.4 on Anticipatory Bail in the event of arrest in relation to FIR/Crime No. 802 of 2025 on the file of P.S. Attapur, Hyderabad City Police.

This Petition coming on for hearing, upon perusing the Memorandum of Grounds of Criminal Petition and upon hearing the arguments of Sri Muhammed Omer Farooq Advocate for the Petitioner and Sri. M. Ramachandra Reddy, Additional Public Prosecutor on behalf of the Respondent.

The Court made the following: ORDER



2026:TSHC:14602

IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA

AT HYDERABAD

THE HONOURABLE SMT. JUSTICE K. SUJANA

CRIMINAL PETITION No.4267 OF 2026

DATE :08.04.2026

BETWEEN:

Aurangzeb

.....Petitioner/A.4

And

The State of Telangana,
Rep., by its Public Prosecutor,
High Court for the State of Telangana at Hyderabad,
Through P.S.Rajendranagar

.....Respondent/Complainant

: ORDER :

This Criminal Petition is filed under Section 482 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 praying this Court to grant bail in the event of arrest of petitioner in connection with Crime No.802 of 2025 of Attapur Police Station, Cyberabad District. The offences alleged against the petitioner are under Sections 331(3) and 305(a) of Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023.



2026:TSHC:14602

2. The facts of the case are that on 19.09.2025 the complainant lodged a complaint before police stating that on the same day at about 13:30 hours, the complainant, along with his brother-in-law, went to mosque to perform Namaaz, and upon returning to his house at about 14:30 hours, found that the door was broken open. On entering the house, he noticed that 1 tula gold chain, 1 tula gold ring, 4 tulas of gold bangles, and cash of Rs.5,00,000/- kept in a locker in the bedroom were missing. He suspected that unknown persons had committed theft of the said gold ornaments and cash during their absence. Hence, prayed to take necessary action.

3. Heard Sri Muhammed Omer Farooq, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner and Sri M.Ramachandra Reddy, learned Additional Public Prosecutor appearing on behalf of the respondent – State.

4. The learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in the present case solely basing on alleged confession of A.1. It is submitted that the case was registered on 19.09.2025, whereas A.1 to A.3 were arrested only on 15.10.2025. The learned counsel further submits that the complainant initially alleged theft of



Rs.5,00,000/-, but subsequently enhanced the amount to Rs.39,50,000/-, which creates serious doubt about the prosecution case. It is also contended that a substantial part of the investigation has already been completed, and therefore there is no likelihood of petitioner tampering with evidence or influencing witnesses. In support of his contentions, reliance is placed on the judgments of **Gurubaksh Singh Sibba V State of Punjab & others¹** and **Sanjay Chandra V CBI²**, and prayed to grant pre-arrest bail to the petitioner.

5. On the other hand, learned Additional Public Prosecutor opposed bail stating that the allegations against the petitioner are serious in nature. As such, petitioner is not entitled to bail and prayed to dismiss this petition.

6. Having considered the rival submissions and upon perusal of the material on record, this Court is of the view that the allegations against the petitioner are serious in nature. Though the learned counsel for the petitioner contended that the case is based on the confession of A.1 and pointed out certain inconsistencies in the complaint, such aspects cannot

¹ 1980 3 SCR 383

² (2012) 1 SCC 40



be considered at this stage. Considering the gravity of offence and the stage of investigation, this Court is not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner and the same is liable to be dismissed.

7. Accordingly, this Criminal Petition is dismissed.

Miscellaneous petitions, if any, pending shall stand closed.

SD/- B.REKHA RANI
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

//TRUE COPY//

SECTION OFFICER

To,

1. The III Addtional Junior Civil Judge cum XXV Additional Judicial Magistrate of First Class Rangareddy District at Rajendranagar.
2. The Station House Officer, Attapur Police Station, Cyberabad.
3. One CC to Sri. Muhammed Omer Farooq, Advocate [OPUC]
4. Two CCs to PUBLIC PROSECUTOR High Court for the State of Telangana, at Hyderabad [OUT]
5. Two CD Copies

PM/KA

K




2026:TSHC:14602

HIGH COURT

DATED: 08/04/2026

ORDER

CRLP.No.4267 of 2026



DISMISSING THE CRIMINAL PETITION

8 copies
for
30/4/26