

**HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA
AT HYDERABAD**

WEDNESDAY, THE THIRTIETH DAY OF APRIL
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY FIVE

:PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE LAXMI NARAYANA ALISHETTY

**IA No. 1 OF 2025
IN
AS NO: 233 OF 2025**

Between:

1. Panthulu Pentoji, Since (died), his LRs (2 to 7 added in I.A.No.168 of 2024),
2. Panthulu Thukaram, S/o Late Pentoji,
3. Panthulu Rakesh, S/o Pentoji
4. Panthulu Kiran, S/o Pentoji,
5. Panthulu Sathyam, S/o Pentoji,
6. Sirisha @ Meera, W/o Narsinga Rao.
7. J. Jhansi Bhai, W/o Balaji.

...Petitioners/Appellants
(Appellants in AS 233 OF 2025
on the file of High Court)

AND

Mohammad Abdul Gaffar, S/o Khasim. Occ. Employee, R/o Nalgonda Town, Nalgonda District, (Presently Residing at Miryalaguda, Nalgonda District).

...Respondent
(Respondent in-do-)

Counsel for the Petitioners :Sri RAGHAVAN K THALAPAKA

Counsel for the Respondent :

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to suspend the operation of the Judgment in O.S.No.72 of 2017 dated 31.12.2024 on the file of the V Additional District Judge, Miryalaguda, pending disposal of AS No. 233 of 2025, on the file of the High Court.

The court while directing issue of notice to the Respondent herein to show cause as to why this application should not be complied with, made the

following order.(The receipt of this order will be deemed to be the receipt of notice in the case).

ORDER

Heard learned counsel for appellants.

Learned counsel for the appellants would submit that the suit was filed by the respondent is based on promissory note, which is allegedly executed by petitioner No.1 who expired during the pendency of the suit and the legal heirs have been brought on record. He further submits that the trial Court has decreed the suit erroneously, without considering the contentions raised by the petitioners herein that the deceased/plaintiff was a senior citizen and the promissory note was fabricated. He further submits that the petitioner Nos.2 to 7 are now fastened with the liability to pay the alleged amounts taken by the petitioner No.1 who is their father.

Considering the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioners as well as reasons set out in support of the application and taking into consideration the peculiar facts and circumstances of the case, there shall be suspension and operation of the judgment dated 31.12.2024 passed in O.S.No.72 of 2017 by the V Additional District Judge, Miryalaguda, subject to depositing 25% of the decretal amount towards interest and costs, within a period of eight (8) weeks from the date of this order.

SD/-A.SRINIVASA REDDY
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

//TRUE COPY//


SECTION OFFICER

To,

1. The V Additional District Judge, Miryalaguda.
2. Mohammad Abdul Gaffar, S/o Khasim. R/o Nalgonda Town, Nalgonda District, (Presently Residing at Miryalaguda, Nalgonda District).(By RPAD)
3. One CC to SRI. RAGHAVAN K THALAPAKA Advocate [OPUC]
4. One spare copy

HIGH COURT

LNA, J

DATED:30/04/2025

ORDER

I.A.No. 1 OF 2025

IN

AS.No.233 of 2025

SUSPENSION

