

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA
AT HYDERABAD**

MONDAY, THE TWENTY SEVENTH DAY OF APRIL

TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY SIX

:PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE J SREENIVAS RAO

CRIMINAL PETITION NO: 4042 OF 2026

Between:

1. Shankar Pictures, Represented by Nagam Uma Shankar, Address . Flat No. 404, Namit Plaza, Banjara Hills, Road No.2, Hyderabad
2. Nagam Uma Shankar, S/o. N. Shiva Prasad,
3. Kongara Anjamma, W/o. Late Srinivas Rao,

Petitioners/Accused No.1 to 3

AND

1. The State of Telangana,, Represented by Public Prosecutor, High Court for the state of Telangana, at Hyderabad
2. Kum. Parikala Laxmi,, D/o. Satyanarayana, Occ.. Software Job, R/o. Flat No. 203-B, Kavitha Apartments, Near Nikhil Hospital, Srinagar Colony, Ameerpet, Hyderabad

Respondents/Complainant

Petition under Section 528 OF B.N.S.S., praying that in the circumstances stated in the grounds filed in support of the Criminal Petition, the High Court may be pleased to quash the proceedings in C.C. No. 9 of 2023 on the file of the Metropolitan Sessions Judge, Hyderabad, in the interest of Justice.

IA NO: 2 OF 2026

Petition under Section 528 OF B.N.S.S., praying that in the circumstances stated in the grounds filed in support of the Criminal petition, the High Court may be pleased to stay all further proceedings in the C.C. No. 9 of 2023 on the file of the Metropolitan Sessions Judge, Hyderabad, including the appearance of the Petitioners/Accused before the Trial Court, pending disposal of the above criminal petition.

The Petition coming on for hearing and upon perusing the petition and memorandum of grounds filed herein and the order of the high Court dated

18.03.2026 made herein and upon hearing the arguments of Sri DIDA VIJAYA KUMAR Advocate for the Petitioner, the Court made the following;

ORDER:

Learned counsel for the petitioners submitted that pursuant to the order dated 18.03.2026, he sent personal notice to respondent No.2 and filed proof of service *vide* USR No.39097 of 2026 before the Registry on 30.03.2026. Accordingly to the learned counsel, the notice was returned with a postal endorsement 'no such person', though he sent notice to respondent No.2 as per the address mentioned in the F.I.R. as well as the charge sheet.

To render substantial justice, learned Additional Public Prosecutor is directed to inform the concerned S.H.O., to serve notice on respondent No.2 and file proof of service.

Post on 06.07.2026.

The interim order granted on 18.03.2026 shall stand extended till 31.06.2026.

//TRUE COPY//

Sd/- A.SREENIVASA REDDY
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR


SECTION OFFICER

To,

1. The Metropolitan Sessions Judge at Nampally, Hyderabad.
2. The Station House Officer, Central Crime Station, Detective Department, Hyderabad.
3. One CC to SRI. DIDA VIJAYA KUMAR Advocate [OPUC]
4. Two CCs to Public Prosecutor, High Court at Hyderabad (OUT)
5. One spare copy

HIGH COURT

JSR,J

DATED:27.04.2026

POST ON 06.07.2026

ORDER
CRLP.No.4042 of 2026

INTERIM ORDER EXTENSION

