

HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA

MAIN CASE No: W.A. Nos.349 and 350 of 2026

PROCEEDING SHEET

Sl. No.	DATE	ORDER	OFFICE NOTE
02.	31.03.2026	<u>HCJ (AKrS, J) & GMM, J</u> Sri Bommineni Vivekananda, learned counsel appears for appellant/respondent No.5 in W.P.No.17873 of 2016, virtually. Smt. B. Mohana Reddy, learned Government Pleader for Agriculture and Marketing appears for respondent Nos.1 and 2. Dr. N. Uma Shankar, learned Standing Counsel for Agricultural Market Committee appears for respondent Nos.3 and 4. Sri P. Gangaiah Naidu, learned Senior Counsel represents Ms. G. Bhanu Priya, learned counsel for respondent No.5/writ petitioner in W.P.No.17873 of 2016. Learned Senior Counsel for respondent No.5/writ petitioner has appeared today and submitted that the appeal papers were not supplied to him. However, after passing of the order dated 24.03.2026, he has obtained the appeal papers and filed objections to the delay condonation application, I.A.No.1 of 2026, in	Transferred to i/o folder before corrections, if any.

Sl. No.	DATE	ORDER	OFFICE NOTE
		Writ Appeal No.350 of 2026. He submits that in compliance of the order dated 03.05.2024 passed by the learned writ Court in W.P.No.17873 of 2016, the Secretary, Agricultural Market Committee, Gudimalkapur, has issued shop allotment order dated 18.03.2026, by which, shop No.1-B at Vegetable Market Yard has been allotted to respondent No.5/writ petitioner in W.P.No.17873 of 2016 on monthly rental basis with immediate effect. A copy of the said order dated 18.03.2026 has been annexed to the counter-affidavit filed in respect of I.A.No.1 of 2026 in W.A.No.350 of 2026. He seeks time to file objections to the delay condonation application, I.A.No.1 of 2026, in Writ Appeal No.349 of 2026. Learned counsel for the appellant seeks time to file better affidavits in order to explain the delay in both these Writ Appeals. Learned counsel for the State has, on written instructions, submitted that on enquiry, it has come to her notice that respondent No.5/writ petitioner is not the proprietor of the firm.	

Sl. No.	DATE	ORDER	OFFICE NOTE
		The actual proprietor was Sri S. Prakash, Son of late Mallappa, who expired on 21.10.2024. As such, respondent No.5/writ petitioner is not entitled to any relief in view of the death of the actual proprietor. However, she does not dispute that in view of the contempt proceedings, C.C.No.1324 of 2024, being pursued by respondent No.5/writ petitioner C.C.No.1324 of 2024, the shop allotment order in his favour has been issued on 18.03.2026 by the Secretary of the Agricultural Market Committee, Gudimalkapur. In view of the above, a week's time is allowed to the learned counsel for the appellant to file better affidavits explaining the delay in both the Writ appeals. A week's time thereafter is allowed to the learned counsel for respondent No.5/writ petitioner to file objections, if any, to the delay condonation applications and the affidavit in support thereof. In view of the facts brought to the notice of this Court that the allotment order dated 18.03.2026 has been issued in favour of	

Sl. No.	DATE	ORDER	OFFICE NOTE
		respondent No.5/writ petitioner in pursuance of the order of the writ Court, interim order dated 24.03.2026 passed by this Court is modified to the effect that any allotment made in favour of respondent No.5/writ petitioner would be subject to the outcome of the instant Writ Appeals. List on 16.04.2026. <u>HCJ(AKrS, J)</u> <u>GMM, J</u> <i>kvni</i>	