

IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA
AT HYDERABAD
(Special Original Jurisdiction)

THURSDAY, THE FOURTH DAY OF SEPTEMBER
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY FIVE

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE VAKITI RAMAKRISHNA REDDY

WRIT PETITION NO: 13635 OF 2014

Between:

Dr. K.V.P.Ramachandra Rao, S/o:K.V.P. Satyanarayana Rao, Member of Parliament 8-2-544/1011, Sri Durga Palm Springs Road No.7, Banjara Hills, Hyderabad.

...PETITIONER

AND

1. State of Andhra Pradesh, Rep by its Principal Secretary (Home), Secretariat, Hyderabad.
2. Additional Director General of Police, Crime Branch, Crime Investigation Department, Andhra Pradesh, Hyderabad.
3. The Union of India, represented by its Secretary to the Government Ministry of Home Affairs, South Block, New Delhi.
4. The Union of India, represented by its Secretary to the Government, Ministry of External Affairs, South Block, New Delhi.
5. Central Bureau of Investigation, Plot NO.5-b, CGO Complex, Lodhi Road, New Delhi – 110 003, Represented by its Director.

(Respondents Nos.3 to 5 Suo-motu impleaded as per Court Order dated 28-04-2014 in W.P.NO.13635 of 2014)

...RESPONDENTS

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed therewith, the High Court may be pleased to issue a Writ of Mandamus or any other Appropriate Writ or Direction, directing the Respondents not to act upon or take any coercive steps against the Petitioner pursuant to or in accordance with any Notice or proceedings that they might have received or going to be received by them from any Authority or Court in the United States of America, unless and until the Petitioner is heard and the

validity and the Constitutionality of such Notice is duly adjudicated in accordance with the applicable Indian Laws by a Competent Court in India.

I.A. NO: 1 OF 2014(WPMP. NO: 17021 OF 2014)

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to issue interim directions directing the Respondents nor anyone on their behalf not to take any coercive steps against the Petitioner, pending disposal of the Writ Petition.

Counsel for the Petitioner: SRI Y. N. VIVEKANANDA

Counsel for the Respondent Nos.1 and 2: GP FOR HOME

Counsel for the Respondent No.5: SRI T. SRUJAN KUMAR REDDY SC FOR CBI

The Court made the following: ORDER

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE VAKITI RAMAKRISHNA REDDY

WRIT PETITION No.13635 of 2014

ORDER:

Heard Sri Sridhar Bhuvanagiri, learned Assistant Government Pleader for Home appearing for the respondent Nos.1 and 2 and Sri T. Srujan Kumar Reddy, learned Standing Counsel appearing for respondent No.5.

2. This Writ Petition is filed by the petitioner in the year 2014, seeking a direction to the respondents not to act upon or take any coercive steps against the petitioner pursuant to or in accordance with any notice or proceedings that they might have received or going to be received by them from any Authority or Court in the United States of America, unless and until the petitioner is heard and the validity and the Constitutionality of such Notice is duly adjudicated in accordance with the applicable Indian Laws by a Competent Court in India.

3. When the matter is taken up for consideration, Sri T.Srujan Kumar Reddy, learned Standing Counsel for respondent No.5 brought to the notice of this Court that a counter affidavit has been filed on behalf of respondent No.5, raising preliminary objections about the very maintainability of the Writ Petition in view of the nature of relief sought for, which cannot be gone into or acted upon by this Court under Article 226 of the Constitution of India. Further, the cause of action arose in the United State of America where the case was registered and on the basis of

arrest warrant No.13 CR 515-6, issued on 21.06.2013, by United States District Court, Northern District of Illinois in United States, a Red Corner Notice was issued by the Interpol Headquarters, Lyon, France. However, the petitioner can avail the other alternative efficacious remedies under law and invoking the jurisdiction of this Court under Article 226 of the Constitution of India has to be discouraged by this Court.

4. When the matter is taken up for consideration on the earlier occasions i.e., on 13.08.2025, 26.08.2025, 29.08.2025, there was no representation on behalf of the petitioner, and as such, the matter was directed to be listed on 03.09.2025 as well as on 04.09.2025 under the caption "For Dismissal" as a last chance to the petitioner. Despite the matter is listed under the dismissal caption on 04.09.2025, there is no representation on behalf of the petitioner. It appears that the petitioner is not having any inclination to prosecute this case, as such, this Court has no other option except to dismiss the Writ Petition for non-prosecution.

5. Accordingly, the Writ Petition is dismissed for non-prosecution. There shall be no order as to costs.

As a sequel, pending miscellaneous applications if any, shall stand closed.

Sd/- **JAWAHAR REDDY**
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

//TRUE COPY//

SECTION OFFICER

To,

1. One CC to Sri Y. N. Vivekananda, Advocate [OPUC]
2. Two CCs to GP for Home, High Court for the State of Telangana, at Hyderabad [OUT]

3. One CC to Sri T. Srujan Kumar Reddy SC for CBI [OPUC]

4. Two CD Copies

T J
PMK




HIGH COURT

DATED:04/09/2025

ORDER

WP.No.13635 of 2014



**DISMISSING THE WRIT PETITION FOR NON-
PROSECUTION WITHOUT COSTS**

EmT
1/12/25