



IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA AT HYDERABAD

WEDNESDAY, THE EIGHTEENTH DAY OF FEBRUARY

TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY SIX

:PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE SMT JUSTICE T.MADHAVI DEVI

IA No. 2 OF 2025

IN

WP NO: 8195 OF 2024

Between:

1. P. Shobha Rani, W/o. P. Govind Rao, Occ Household, Rep. by her GPA Holder, P. Govind Rao, S/o. Late P. Balaiah, Aged about 62 years, Occ Business, R/o. H. No. 1- 8- 110, Street No. 10, Chikkadpally, Hyderabad – 500020.**Petitioner/Respondent No.6**
2. Chinthala Naveen Kumar,, S/o. late Chinthala Narsing Rao, Occ. Pvt. Employee, R/o. H.No.4-37/2, Rudraram, Patancheru Mandal, Sangareddy District, T.S.502329.
3. Chinthala Manoj Kumar,, S/o. late Chinthala Narsing Rao, Occ. Pvt. Employee, R/o. H.No.4-37/2, Rudraram, Patancheru Mandal, Sangareddy District, T.S.502329.
4. Tallapalli Nagamani,, D/o. late Chinthala Narsing Rao, Occ. Housewife, R/o. H.No.4-37/2, Rudraram, Patancheru Mandal, Sangareddy District, T.S.- 502329.

(Petitioner Nos.2 to 4 are brought on record as LR's of the deceased Petitioner No.1 as per C.O.dt.26.06.2025 in IA No.4/2024.)

...Petitioners

(Petitioners in WP 8195 OF 2024
on the file of High Court)

AND

1. L. Laxmi Bai (Died as per LR Nos. PP2 to 4), W/o. L. Narsinga Rao, Aged about 54 years, Occ Housewife, R/o. H. No. 4- 37/2, Rudraram, Patancheru Mandal, Sangareddy District, T. S. – 502329 ..**Respondent/Petitioner**
2. The State of Telangana, Rep. by its Principal Secretary Panchayat Raj Department, Secretariat Buildings, Hyderabad T. S.
3. The District Panchayat Officer, Sangareddy District.
4. The Divisional Panchayat Officer, Sangareddy Division, Sangareddy District.
5. The Mandal Parishad Development Officer (MPDO), Patancheru Mandal, Sangareddy District.
6. Rudraram Gram Panchayat, Rep. by its Panchayat Secretary, Rudraram, Patancheru Mandal, Sangareddy District.

...Respondents

(Respondents in-do-)

Counsel for the Petitioner No.1 : Sri J. Suresh Rao

Counsel for the Petitioner Nos.2 to 4 : Sri M DAMODAR REDDY

Counsel for the Respondent No.1 : Sri Narendar Jalli



Counsel for the Respondent Nos.2 to 5 :GP FOR PANCHAYAT RAJ RURAL DEV 2026:TSHC:9560

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to send the 1). Writ Affidavit dt.26-03-2024 filed by the Deceased writ petitioner 2). Vakalathnama Dt.26-03-2024 filed by the Deceased Writ Petitioner 3). Alleged Reply Affidavit Dt.25-11-2024 filed by the Deceased Writ petitioner, to an expert opinion to compare the signatures of the Writ Petitioner on the Three sets of Writ Affidavit, Vakalathnama and Reply Affidavit by the handwriting expert of TGFSL, Hyderabad and send the report pending disposal of WP No. 8195 of 2024, on the file of the High Court.

The Court made the following

ORDER:

This Court on 03.12.2024 has recorded the statement of learned counsel appearing for respondent No.6 that the petitioner has filed a reply affidavit on 24.11.2024 of a person, who is already dead and that it amounts to perjury. After that, the matter got adjourned from time to time.

An affidavit has been filed by the clerk of the counsel for the petitioner stating that the writ petitioner's signatures were obtained on the writ petition and also obtained signatures on the blank papers and such blank signed papers of the writ petitioner were used for the preparation of the reply affidavit and the same were filed and that they came to know about the death of the writ petitioner when the 6th respondent filed the Memo. It was also stated that usage of blank signed papers is neither intentional nor deliberate but was due to non-communication from the writ petitioner's family. Thereafter, a Memo dt.25.02.2025 to this effect was also filed.

Thereafter, the petitioner changed the counsel and on 26.06.2025, this Court has recorded the change of counsel and also the objections of the learned counsel for the respondents that counsel on record who had filed the reply affidavit would be responsible even if the contentions in the affidavit of the advocate clerk are found to be correct. He has expressed doubts whether genuinely the writ affidavit has been signed by the petitioner herself.

Learned counsel for the respondent No.6 has thus filed I.A.No.2 of 2025 for sending the documents mentioned therein to the handwriting expert of TGFSL, Hyderabad.

Learned counsel for the writ petitioners is present and he has submitted that usage of blank signed papers was by the counsel on record at the relevant point of time and that the petitioners were not aware of the same.

In view of the above submissions and for the reasons stated in the accompanying affidavit, this Court is inclined to allow I.A.No.2 of 2025.



2026:TSHC:9560

Accordingly,

1. Writ Affidavit dt.26.03.2024,
2. Vakalathnama dt.26.03.2024 and
3. Alleged Reply affidavit dt.25.11.2024

filed by the writ petitioner shall be sent to hand writing expert of TGFSL, Hyderabad and thereafter, a report thereon shall be submitted within a period of four weeks. The petitioner shall bear the costs levied by TGFSL for the above purpose.

Registry is directed to send originals of all the above said documents to TGFSL for taking necessary action.

List on 16.03.2026 .

//TRUE COPY//

SD/-MOHD.ISMAIL
DEPUTY REGISTRAR


SECTION OFFICER

To,

1. The Registrar (Judicial-1), High Court at Hyderabad.
2. The Section Officer, Writ (non service) Section, High Court at Hyderabad.
3. One CC to SRI. J. Suresh Rao, Advocate [OPUC]
4. Two CCs to GP FOR PANCHAYAT RAJ RURAL DEV, High Court at Hyderabad. [OUT]
5. One spare copy



2026:TSHC:9560

HIGH COURT

TMD,J

DATED:18/02/2026

LIST ON 16-03-2026

ORDER



I.A.NO.2 OF 2025
IN
WP.No.8195 of 2024

DIRECTION