

HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA, HYDERABAD

MAIN CASE No.: W.P.No.7396 of 2026

PROCEEDING SHEET

Sl. No	DATE	ORDER	OFFICE NOTE
01	11.03.2026	<u>EVV,J</u> Notice before admission. Sri Roohi, learned counsel, representing the learned Assistant Government Pleader for Panchayat Raj, appearing for the respondent Nos.1 and 2, is present. Sri Santosh Reddy, learned counsel representing Sri K. Pradeep Reddy, learned Standing Counsel for the respondent Nos.3 and 4, seeks time to obtain instructions. Learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that a notice has been issued to the petitioner on 28-02-2026 vide letter No.01/GPR/2026 despite the fact that the petitioner has already given explanation to the earlier notice which is prior to the present impugned notices dated 12-02-2026 and 28-02-2026. He would further submit that without considering the explanation filed by the petitioner, and seeking further explanation vide impugned notice dated	

		28-02-2026 by repeating the contents of the earlier notice, is improper. He would further submit that except one house number i.e. H.No.2-165/1, there is no other new house subsisting in the subject land as alleged by the official respondents. In the circumstances, this matter requires detailed examination and the facts can be ascertained only after filing counter/instructions by the 4th respondent along with the photographs. List this matter on 22-04-2026. Till such time, there shall be interim stay of impugned notice dated 28-02-2026 issued vide Letter No.01/GPR/2026. Meanwhile, the petitioner is directed to file explanation to the impugned notice dated 28-02-2026. which is under challenge in this Writ Petition. within a period of four weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order. EVV,J kvr	
--	--	---	--

--	--	--	--