

IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA AT HYDERABAD
WEDNESDAY, THE TWENTY FIFTH DAY OF MARCH
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY SIX

:PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE JUSTICE MOUSHUMI BHATTACHARYA
AND
THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE GADI PRAVEEN KUMAR
CMA NO: 751 OF 2009

Between:

1. Union of India, M/s.Bharat Sanchar Nigam Limited, A Company Registered under Companies Act, 1956, having its Regd.Office 10th Floor, West Wing, Chandralok Building, 36, Janapath, New Delhi 110 001, rep by its Chairman and Managing Director, New Delhi
2. Executive Engineer [Civil], BSNL, Civil Division - I, Barkatpura, Hyderabad - 500 027
3. Chief Engineer [Civil], Civil A.P.Triveni Complex, 2 Floor, Abids, Hyderabad-500001

Appellants

AND

1. SRI SATYA DURGA DASS, Contractor, R/o.D.No.32-4-13/B, Kasturibaipet, Vijayawada - 520 010.
2. Justice Sri S.Dasaratharama Reddy [Retd], Honble Sole Arbitrator, former Judge of High Court of AP R/o.Plot No.135, H.No.8-3-1104, Srinagar Colony, Hyderabad - 500 073.

Respondents

Appellant under Section 37 of Arbitration and Conciliation Act, 1996 aggrieved by the order passed by the Chief Judge, City Civil Court at Hyderabad in Arbitration OP No.2023 of 2007 dated 03.11.2008 in rejecting to set aside the award passed by the Respondent No.2 in Arbitration Case No.22 of 2005 dated 28.05.2007 and 27.06.2007.

IA NO: 1 OF 2009 (CMAMP no.1296 of 2009)

Petition under Section 151 of C.P.C. praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to stay the operation and effect of the award passed by the Respondent No.2 dated 28.05.2007 and 27.06.2007 in Arbitration Case No.22/2005 in pending disposal of CMA No.751 of 2009, on the file of the High Court.

The Appeal coming on for hearing, upon perusing the Petition and the grounds filed in support thereof and the order of the High Court dated 14.07.2009 made in CMA MP No.1296 of 2009 and upon hearing the arguments of SRI VENKAT RAGHU RAMULU Advocate for the Appellants No.1 to 3 SRI V SATYANARAYANA PRASAD Advocate for the Respondent No.1, the Court made the following.

ORDER

In spite of granting time to make submissions, learned counsel for both the appellants are not ready, Hence, the interim order granted on 14.09.2009 is vacated.

List these matter on 01.04.2026 under the caption 'For Dismissal'

//TRUE COPY//

**Sd/- B.REKHA RANI
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR**


SECTION OFFICER

To,

1. The Chief Judge, City Civil court at Hyderabad
2. One CC to SRI VENKAT RAGHU RAMULU Advocate [OPUC]
3. One CC to SRI V SATYANARAYANA PRASAD Advocate [OPUC]
4. Two spare copies

HIGH COURT

**MB,J
&
GPK,J**

DATED:25/03/2026

List on 01.04.2026 under
the caption 'for Dismissal'

ORDER



CMA.No.751 of 2009

DIRECTION

