

HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA AT HYDERABAD

WEDNESDAY, THE TWENTY SECOND DAY OF APRIL
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY SIX

:PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE MRS JUSTICE SUREPALLI NANDA

I.A.No. 1 OF 2026

IN

CRP NO: 760 OF 2026

Between

Sri Dhanada Laboratories Private Limited,, A private limited company incorporated under the Companies Act, 1956 and having its registered office at H.No. 16-107, Survey No. 296/7/3, S.V.Co-operative Industrial Society, IDA Bollaram, Bollaram Village, Jinnaram Mandal, Sangareddy District, Telangana- 502 325, represented by its Director, Mr. Namireddy Raghuram Reddy, S/o. Sri N.Seetharam Reddy.

...PETITIONER/Petitioner /Defendant No. 5

(Petitioner in CRP NO: 760 OF 2026
on the file of High Court)

AND

1. NemallapudiKasthur Reddy,, S/o. N. Veera Reddy, aged about 54 years, Occ. Business, R/o. Villa No.18, Meenakshi Bamboos, Gachibowli, Hyderabad - 500 032.

Respondent /Respondent /Plaintiff

2. Namireddy Raghuram Reddy,, S/o. N.Seetharam Reddy, aged about 54 years, Occ. Business, R/o. Flat No. 502, Prakjyothi Highlands, Near Pillar No. 212, PVNR Express Way, Dairy Farm Cross Road, Rajendranagar, Hyderabad- 500 048.

3. Namireddy Rajaram Reddy,, S/o. N.Seetharam Reddy, aged about 53 years. Occ. Business, R/o. H.No. 18-111. Kamalanag,ar, Dilsukh Nagar, Hyderabad- 500 060

4. L.Venkateshwar Rao,, S/o. not known to plaintiff, Aged about 60 years, Occ. Advocate, Office at 6-3-712/134, Panjagutta, Hyderabad.

5. R.Sekhar Reddy,, S/o. not known to plaintiff, Aged about 54 years, Occ. Advocate, C/o. Namireddy RajaramReddy, S/o. N.Seetharam Reddy, aged about 53 years, Occ. Business, R/o. H.No. 18-111, Kamalanagar, Dilsukh Nagar, Hyderabad- 500 060.
(Respondent. Nos. 2 to 5 are not necessary in this petition)

...RESPONDENTS/Respondents/Defendants No. 1 to 4

(Respondents in-do-)

Counsel for the Petitioner: SRI L PRASADA RAO

Counsel for the Respondent No. 1: SRI BAGLEKAR AKASH KUMAR

Petition under Section 151 of C.P.C praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to stay all further proceedings in O.S. No. 117 of 2023 on the file of the Principal District and Sessions Judge, Sangareddy District at Sangareddy District, pending disposal of CRP No 760 of 2026, on the file of the High Court.

The Court made the following

ORDER

Heard Sri S. Ravi. learned Senior Designated Counsel representing Sri L. Prasad Rao, learned counsel on record, appearing on behalf of the petitioner and Sri Baglekar Akash Kumar. learned counsel appearing on behalf of respondent No.1.

The present C.R.P. No.760 of 2026 is filed seeking prayer as under:

"...to set aside the Order dated 24.11.2025 passed in I.A. No.929 of 2025 in O.S. No.117 of 2023 on the file of the Hon'ble Principal District & Sessions Judge, Sangareddy District at Sangareddy, by allowing the present Civil Revision Petition and pass such further order/s as this Hon'ble Court may deem fit and proper in the facts and circumstances of the case and render justice".

PERUSED THE RECORD

The relevant portion of the Judgment dated 05.01.2026 in Nak Engineering Company Private Limited Vs. Tarun Keshrichand Shah and Others reported in (2026) 3 SCC 631 in particular, para No.32 is extracted hereunder:

32. In *Kasturi v. Iyyamperumal* [*Kasturi v. Iyyamperumal*. (2005) 6 SCC 733] , this Court crystallized the twin tests for a necessary party : (SCC p. 733)

"The question of jurisdiction of the court to invoke Order I Rule 10CPC to add a party who is not made a party in the suit by the plaintiff shall not arise unless a party proposed to be added has direct and legal interest in the controversy involved in the suit. ... **Two tests are to be satisfied for determining the question who is a necessary party. The tests are: (1) there must be a right to some relief against such party in respect of the controversies involved in the proceedings; (2) no effective decree can be passed in the absence of such party.**"

Taking into consideration:

(a) The order dated 18.08.2020 passed by the National Company Law Tribunal (NCLT) Hyderabad Bench in T.P.No.106/241/HDB/2016 which categorically held that the Respondent/Plaintiff and his wife were rank outsiders as far as the petitioner/defendant No.5 company and its management and assets are concerned, and that all acts committed by the Respondent/Plaintiff from 01.09.2012 onwards were declared as illegal, null and void and done without Authority.

(b) The order dated 06.12.2022 passed by the National Company Law Appellate Tribunal, Principal Bench New Delhi, in Company Appeal (AT) No.154 of 2020 which had been upheld by the Apex Court vide its order dated 24.01.2023 passed in Civil Appeal No.353 of 2023 in favour of the petitioner herein,

(c) The fact as borne on record that the Review Petition filed against the said order also having been dismissed by the Supreme Court vide its order dated 12.09.2023.

(d) The Judgment of the Apex Court reported in 2026(3) SCC Page 631 referred to and extracted above, and applying the same to the facts of the present case,

This Court opines that the subject issue requires examination in detail hence there shall be interim stay of all further proceedings in pursuance to the impugned order dated 24.11.2025 passed in I.A. No.929 of 2025 in O.S. No.117 of 2023 on the file of Principal District & Sessions Judge, Sangareddy till 12.06.2026.

**Sd/- T.SRINIVASA REDDY
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR**

//TRUE COPY//

SECTION OFFICER

To,

1. The Principal District and Sessions Judge, Sangareddy District at Sangareddy District.
2. Nemallapudi Kasthur Reddy., S/o. N. Veera Reddy, aged about 54 years, Occ. Business, R/o. Villa No.18, Meenakshi Bamboos, Gachibowli, Hyderabad - 500 032.[By SPAD]
3. One CC to Sri. L PRASADA RAO Advocate [OPUC]
4. One spare copy

HIGH COURT

SN, J

DATED: 22-04-2026

ORDER

**I.A.No. 1 OF 2026
IN
CRP NO: 760 OF 2026**

INTERIM STAY

