

HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA

MAIN CASE No: CRIMINAL PETITION No.3236 of 2026

PROCEEDING SHEET

Sl. No.	DATE	ORDER	OFFICE NOTE
	04.05.2026	<u>GMM,J</u> Learned counsel for respondent No.2 has entered appearance and seeks time for filing a counter. Sri Vedula Srinivas, learned Senior Counsel representing Ms.Vedula Chitralkha, learned counsel for the petitioner, submits that the impugned order is a nullity in the eye of law, as no application was filed by the learned Assistant Public Prosecutor seeking withdrawal. He contends that the learned Magistrate could not have closed the case merely due to non-execution of the Non Bailable Warrant's by treating it as withdrawn. He further submits that the restoration of the case is the only just remedy in the present matter. With regard to delay, it is contended that the same is sufficiently explained on the ground that the civil suit in O.S.No.792 of 2013 was pending and actively contested. On the other hand, learned counsel for respondent No.2 submits that the very maintainability of the present petition under Section 528 of the BNSS is an issue that needs to be examined in the present case. It is further contended that, having regard to the delay and the	Transferred to i.o. folder before corrections, if any.

		and the question of jurisdiction of the Court, the case was closed as no useful purpose would be served by keeping the matter pending on the file of the Court. List on 18.06.2026. GMM,J Pav	
--	--	---	--

