

(SHOW CAUSE NOTICE BEFORE ADMISSION)
IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA
AT HYDERABAD

FRIDAY, THE SIXTH DAY OF MARCH

TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY SIX

:PRESENT:
THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE J SREENIVAS RAO

CRIMINAL PETITION NO: 3197 OF 2026

Between:

1. A. Abhishek Reddy, S/o. Late. Raghava Reddy,
2. A. Suchithra Reddy, W/o. Late. Raghava Reddy

Petitioners/A1 & A3

AND

1. The State of Telangana, Rep. by the its Public Prosecutor, High Court at Hyderabad (Through SHO, Madhapur, Cyberabad)
2. ~~Name~~ Vamsee Chowdary, S/o Sri Late N. Seetha Ramaiah, R/o Plot No. 573, Krishna Devaraya Nagar, Vanastalipuram, Hyderabad.

Respondents/Complainant

WHEREAS the Petitioners above named through their Advocate SRI EMMADI UPENDER presented this Petition under Section 528 OF B.N.S.S., praying that in the circumstances stated in the grounds filed in support of the Criminal Petition, the High Court may be pleased to quash the FIR No. 1309 of 2024, on the file of P.S., Madhapur, Cyberabad district, and also the consequential proceedings if any, against the petitioners/A.1 & A3, in the interest of justice.

AND WHEREAS the High Court upon perusing the petition and memorandum of grounds filed herein and upon hearing the arguments of Mr. VINOD KUMAR DESHPANDE, counsel representing SRI EMMADI UPENDER Advocate for the Petitioners, and Additional Public Prosecutor, for the Respondent No.1 directed issue of notice to the Respondent No.2 herein to show cause as to why this CRIMINAL PETITION should not be admitted.

You viz:

~~Name~~

~~Name~~ Vamsee Chowdary, S/o Sri Late N. Seetha Ramaiah, R/o Plot No. 573, Krishna Devaraya Nagar, Vanastalipuram, Hyderabad.

are directed to show cause on or before 22.04.2026 to which date the case stands posted as to why in the circumstances set out in the petition and the memorandum of grounds filed therewith (copy enclosed) this CRIMINAL PETITION should not be admitted.

IA NO: 1 OF 2026

Petition under Section 528 OF B.N.S.S., praying that in the circumstances stated in the grounds filed in support of the criminal petition, the High Court may be pleased to stay all further proceedings as against the petitioners/A.1 & A3 relating to FIR No.1309 of 2024, on the file P.S., Madhapur, Cyberabad district, pending disposal of this Criminal Petition, in the interest of justice.

**The Court made the following:
ORDER:**

Mr. Vinod Kumar Deshpande, learned counsel representing Mr. E. Upender, learned counsel for the petitioners, submits that the petitioners have not committed any offence and have been falsely implicated in the present case. All the allegations levelled against the petitioners pertain to non-agricultural lands. In the year 2004, the Urban Land Ceiling Authorities issued proceedings in favour of the vendor of the petitioners, declaring the subject land, as alleged in the complaint, to be non-surplus land. Respondent No. 2, who is now claiming rights over the property, lodged the present complaint after a lapse of 18 years without assigning any reasons for the delay in lodging the complaint.

He further submits that the Investigation Officer made a request before the XII Additional Metropolitan Magistrate-cum-X Additional Judicial Magistrate of First Class, Ranga Reddy District at Kukatpally to issue summons to petitioner No.1 to submit the original GPA Document No.368 of 2004 to the said Court to send the same to Telangana State Forensic Science Laboratories for comparison and expert opinion and the said request is contrary to the law laid down by the Hon'ble Apex Court in *State of Gujarat Vs. Shyamlal Mohanlal Choksi and another*¹ and also the law laid down by this Court in *A. Srinivas Reddy Vs. State of Telangana*²

¹ AIR 1965 SC 1251

² (2021) 2 ALD (CrI) 683

Taking into consideration the above said submissions, this Court is of the view that the grounds, which were raised by the learned counsel for the petitioners, require detailed examination.

Notice before admission.

Learned Additional Public Prosecutor takes notice on behalf of respondent No.1 and seeks further time.

Learned counsel for the petitioners is permitted to take out personal notice to respondent No.2 by way of speed post with acknowledgment due and file proof of service.

Post on 22.04.2026.

Till such time, there shall be an interim stay of all further proceedings against the petitioners/accused Nos.1 and 3 in FIR.No.1309 of 2024 of Madhapur Police Station, Cyberabad District.

In default of filing proof of service by the next date of hearing, the interim stay granted shall stand vacated.

Sd/- AHMAD ABDULLA KHAN
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

//TRUE COPY//


SECTION OFFICER

To,

1. The X Addl Metropolitan Magistrate, Cyberabad at Kukatpally. ✓
2. The Station House Officer, Madhapur Police Station, Cyberabad Commissionerate. ✓
3. ^{Name} ~~Name~~ Vamsee Chowdary, S/o Sri Late N.Seetha Ramaiah, R/o Plot No.573, Krishna Devaraya Nagar, Vanastalipuram, Hyderabad. (by SPAD- along with a copy of petition and memorandum of grounds) ✓
4. One CC to SRI. EMMADI UPENDER Advocate [OPUC] -
5. Two CCs to Public Prosecutor, High Court at Hyderabad (OUT) ✓
6. One spare copy ✓

HIGH COURT

JSR,J

DATED:06/03/2026

POST ON 22.04.2026

NOTICE BEFORE ADMISSION

CRLP.No.3197 of 2026

INTERIM STAY

