

**(SHOW CAUSE NOTICE BEFORE ADMISSION)
HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA
AT HYDERABAD**

TUESDAY, THE TWENTY FIRST DAY OF APRIL
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY SIX

**:PRESENT:
THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE LAXMI NARAYANA ALISHETTY
WP NO: 6371 OF 2020**

Between

Kotagiri Laxmi, W/o Krishna Murthy

Petitioner

AND

1. The State of Telangana, Rep. by its Prl Secretary, Municipal Department, Secretariat, Hyderabad.
2. The Commissioner GHMC, Kukatpally, Hyderabad.
3. The Deputy Commissioner GHMC, Circle No. 14, Hyderabad.
4. The Commissioner, HMDA, Hyderabad.
5. Satha Kishor Kumar, S/o Satha Viswanatham, aged about 46 years, Occ. Business, R/o 206, Manohar Bhavan, Dwarkapuri Colony, Panjagutta, Hyderabad.

Respondents

WHEREAS the Petitioner above named through his Advocate SRI K. BUCHI BABU presented this Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed therewith, the High Court may be pleased to issue a Writ order or direction more particularly one in the nature of Writ of Mandamus, by declaring the action of the 4th respondent in not taking the action against the Respondent No.5 basing on the representations of the Petitioner dated 19-08-2019 as illegal and arbitrary, against, violate of article 14, 19 and 21 of Constitution of India, against the Principles of Natural Justice, consequently to direct the Respondent No. 2 to 4 to dismantle the illegal construction of the Respondent No. 5, basing on the Petitioner's Representation dated. 19-08-2019.

AND WHEREAS the High Court upon perusing the petition and affidavit filed herein and upon hearing the arguments of SRI. K. BUCHI BABU Advocate for the Petitioner, directed issue of notice to the Respondent No.5 herein to show cause as to why this WRIT PETITION should not be admitted.

You viz:

Satha Kishor Kumar, S/o Satha Viswanatham, aged about 46 years, Occ. Business, R/o 206, Manohar Bhavan, Dwarkapuri Colony, Panjagutta, Hyderabad.

are directed to show cause on or before 12.06.2026 to which date the case stands posted as to why in the circumstances set out in the petition and the affidavit filed therewith (copy enclosed) this WRIT PETITION should not be admitted.

The Court made the following:

ORDER

Learned Counsel for the petitioner and learned Standing Counsel for respondent Nos.2 and 3, are present.

This Court vide order dated 22.04.2020, directed the respondent No.2 to consider the representation dated 19.08.2019 submitted by the petitioner and pass appropriate orders within a period of twelve weeks from the date of the order.

Learned Standing Counsel for respondent Nos.2 and 3 requests time to get instructions as to whether the order dated 22.04.2020 has been complied with or not.

Issue notice to respondent No.5.

Personal notice is permitted.

Post on 12.06.2026.

**SD/- U SUDHA
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR**

//TRUE COPY//


SECTION OFFICER

To,

1. Satha Kishor Kumar, S/o Satha Viswanatham, aged about 46 years, Occ. Business, R/o 206, Manohar Bhavan, Dwarkapuri Colony, Panjagutta, Hyderabad.[by SPAD-along with a copy of petition and affidavit]
2. One CC to Sri. K BUCHI BABU Advocate [OPUC]
3. Two CCs to GP FOR MCPL ADMN URBAN DEV (TG), High Court for the State of Telangana, at Hyderabad [OUT]
4. One spare copy

HIGH COURT

LNA, J

DATED: 21-04-2026

POST ON 12.06.2026

NOTICE BEFORE ADMISSION

WP.No.6371 of 2020

