

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA
AT HYDERABAD
(Special Original Jurisdiction)**

**TUESDAY, THE NINTH DAY OF SEPTEMBER
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY FIVE**

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE N.TUKARAMJI

WRIT PETITION NO: 11805 OF 2016

Between:

Ahmed Bin Ayub, S/o. Ayub Bin Hassain, Aged about 31 years, R/o. H.No.2-M, Shri Padmavathi Colony, Hayathnagar, Ranga Reddy District.

...PETITIONER

AND

1. The State of Telangana, Rep. by its Prl Secretary, Home Department, Secretariat, Hyderabad.
2. The Commissioner of Police, Cyberabad.
3. The Station House Officer, Saroornagar Police Station, Ranga Reddy District

...RESPONDENTS

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed therewith, the High Court may be pleased to issue any writ or direction more particularly one in the nature of Writ of Mandamus declaring the action of the 3rd respondent in telephoning and summoning the petitioner to the police station on the basis of the complaint of Smt. Nayeem Fatheema as illegal and arbitrary and consequently direct the 3rd respondent not to summon the petitioner to the police station

I.A. NO: 1 OF 2016(WPMP. NO: 14842 OF 2016)

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to direct the 3rd respondent not to summon the petitioner to the police station without registering any crime pending disposal of the Writ Petition

Counsel for the Petitioner: SRI. SYED JAFFER ABBAS NADEEM

Counsel for the Respondents: SRI R. LAXMIKANTH REDDY, AGP FOR HOME

The Court made the following: ORDER

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE N.TUKARAMJI

WRIT PETITION No.11805 OF 2016

ORDER:

This Writ Petition is filed under Article 226 of Constitution of India seeking the following relief:

"...to issue a Writ or order or direction more particularly one in the nature of Writ of mandamus declaring the action of the 3rd respondent in telephoning and summoning the petitioner to the police station on the basis of the complaint of Smt Nayeem Fatheema as illegal and arbitrary and consequently direct the 3rd respondent not to summon the petitioner to the police station and to pass....."

2. None appeared for the petitioner.
3. Heard Mr.R.Laxmikanth Reddy, learned Assistant Government Pleader for Home appearing for respondents.
4. Learned Assistant Government Pleader submits that respondent No. 3 never summoned the petitioner, as alleged in the writ petition, and that no crime has been registered against the petitioner. Therefore, the contention of the petitioner that he is being summoned to the police station by respondent No. 3 is not supported by any material and is without substance. Accordingly, he prays for dismissal of the writ petition.

5. I have perused the material available on record.
6. Having regard to the assertions of the petitioner and the stand of the respondents/Police that no crime is pending against the petitioner, it is directed that the respondents/Police shall abstain from compelling the petitioner to appear in person at the police station, save and except in strict accordance with law and subject to due process.
7. With this direction, this Writ Petition is disposed of. There shall be no order as to costs.

Miscellaneous Petitions, pending if any, shall stand closed.

//TRUE COPY//

SD. T. SRINIVAS REDDY
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

SECTION OFFICER

To,


1. The State of Telangana, Rep. by its Prl Secretary, Home Department, Secretariat, Hyderabad.
2. The Commissioner of Police, Cyberabad.
3. The Station House Officer, Saroornagar Police Station, Ranga Reddy District
4. One CC to SRI. SYED JAFFER ABBAS NADEEM Advocate [OPUC]
5. Two CCs to GP FOR HOME (TG), High Court for the State of Telangana. [OUT]
6. Two CD Copies

B M/BSK

HIGH COURT
DATED:09/09/2025

ORDER

WP.No.11805 of 2016



DISPOSING OF THE WRIT PETITION
WITHOUT COSTS

9
Asy
5/1/26.