

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA
At Hyderabad
(Special Original Jurisdiction)**

**TUESDAY, THE SECOND DAY OF DECEMBER
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY FIVE**

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE LAXMI NARAYANA ALISHETTY

WRIT PETITION NO: 14663 OF 2015

Between:

1. BOLLEPALLY VIJAYA LAKSHMI, W/o. Late Bollepally Prakash, Aged 49 years, Occ: Housewife, R/o. H.No. 2-8-163, 8th Block, Gouda Veedhi, Near Pingili College, Waddepally, Hanamkonda, Warangal.
2. Bollepally Sukumar, S/o. Late Bollepally Prakash, Aged 25 years, Occ: Student, R/o. H.No. 2-8-163, 8 Block, Gouda Veedhi, Near Pingili College, Waddepally, Hanamkonda, Warangal,
3. Rathnam Raji Reddy, S/o. Chandra Reddy, Aged 50 years, Occ Business, R/o. H.No. 7-82, Nizampally, Regonda Mandal, Wgrangal District

...PETITIONERS

AND

1. The State of Telangana, Rep by its Principal Secretary, Municipal Administration and Urban Development Dept., Secretariat Buildings, Secretariat, Hyderabad.
2. Greater Warangal Municipal Corporation, Rep. by its Commissioner, Warangal.
3. Kakatiya Urban Development Authority, Rep. by its Vice Chairman, Warangal.

...RESPONDENTS

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed therewith, the High Court may be pleased to Issue a Writ, order or direction, more particularly one in the nature of Writ of Mandamus, declaring the action of the Respondents No. 2 and 3 in trying to lay the road through the petitioners land situated in Sy.No.33/6, 32/1 and 33/1A, to an extent of Ac.0- 25 Gts. equivalent to 3025 Sq.yards, situated at Waddepally Village, Hanamkonda Mandal, Warangal District as illegal, arbitrary, unconstitutional and contrary to the provisions of the Right to Fair Compensation and Transparency in Land Acquisition, Rehabilitation and Resettlement Act, 2013

and the Rules made there under, and consequently praying this Honble Court to direct the Respondents not to interfere with our possession over the property situated in Sy.No. 33/6, 32/1 and 33/1A, to an extent of Ac.0-25 Gts, at Waddepally Village, Hanamkonda Mandal, Warangal District

I.A. NO: 1 OF 2015(WPMP. NO: 19112 OF 2015)

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased direct the Respondents No. 2 & 3 not to dispossess or interfere with possession and enjoyment of petitioners over the property situated in Sy.No.33/6, 32/1 and 33/1A, to an extent of Ac.0-25 Gts nor equivalent to 3025 Sq.yards at Waddepally Village, Hanamkonda Mandali Warangal District, pending disposal of the writ petition.

**Counsel for the Petitioners: SRI Y.AUGUSTINE REUBENSEN, REPRESENTING
SMT B. V. APARNA LAKSHMI**

**Counsel for the Respondent No 1: GP FOR , MUNICIPAL ADMINISTRATION
AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT DEPT.**

Counsel for the Respondent No 2: PAŞHAM MOHITH, STANDING COUNSEL

**Counsel for the Respondent No 3: SRI MIRZA SAIFULLAH BAIG,
STANDING COUNSEL.**

The Court made the following: ORDER

**HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA AT
HYDERABAD**

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE LAXMI NARAYANA ALISHETTY

WRIT PETITION No.14663 of 2015

DATE OF ORDER: 02.12.2025

BETWEEN:

Bollepally Vijaya Lakshmi and 2 others

... Petitioners

AND

The State of Telangana, rep. by its
Prl.Secretary and 2 others.

... Respondents

: ORDER :

This writ petition is filed to declare the action of respondent Nos.2 and 3 in trying to lay the road through the petitioners' land situated in Sy.No.33/6, 32/1 and 33/1A, to an extent of Ac.0.25 guntas, equivalent to 3025 sq.yards, situated at Waddepally Village, Hanamkonda Mandal, Waragal District, as illegal, arbitrary and contrary to the provisions of the Right to Fair Compensation and Transparency in Land Acquisition, Rehabilitation and Resettlement Act, 2013 and the Rules made there under and for consequential relief.

2. Sri Y.Augustine Reubensen, learned counsel representing Smt.B.V.Aparna Lakshmi, learned counsel for the petitioners on

record, Sri Pasham Mohith, learned Standing Counsel for respondent No.2 and Sri Mirza Saifullah Baig, learned Standing Counsel for respondent No.3, are present.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioners would submit that they have no instructions to proceed with the matter.

4. Recording the aforesaid submission of the learned counsel for the petitioner, the Writ Petition is dismissed for non-prosecution. There shall be no order as to costs.

5. Accordingly, the Writ Petition is dismissed for non-prosecution. There shall be no order as to costs.

Miscellaneous petitions pending. if any. shall stand closed.

//TRUE COPY//

Sd/-P.C SULEKHA DEVI
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

SECTION OFFICER

To,

1. One CC to SMT B V APARNA LAKSHMI, Advocate [OPUC]
2. One CC to SRI. PASHAM MOHITH, STANDING COUNSEL [OPUC]
3. One CC to SRI SRI MIRZA SAIFULLAH BAIG, STANDING COUNSEL [OPUC]
4. Two CCs to GP FOR MCPL ADMN & URBAN DEV, High Court for the State of Telangana at Hyderabad . [OUT]
5. Two CD Copies

DAN
PMK


[Signature]

HIGH COURT

DATED:02/12/2025

ORDER

WP.No.14663 of 2015



**DISMISSING THE WRIT PETITION
FOR NON-PROSECUTION
WITHOUT COSTS**

⑥
MBC
25/4