

**(SHOW CAUSE NOTICE BEFORE ADMISSION)
IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA
AT HYDERABAD**

WEDNESDAY, THE TWELFTH DAY OF FEBRUARY
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY FIVE

:PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE B.VIJAYSEN REDDY

WRIT PETITION NO: 4184 OF 2025

Between:

T. Satish Kumar, s/o Pothi Reddy

Petitioner

AND

1. The State Of Telangana, Rep. by its Principal Secretary, Home Department, Secretariat, Hyderabad, T.S.
2. The Superintendent of Police, KB Asifabad District, Police Head Quarters, Asifabad.
3. The Inspector of Police, Rebbena Circle, KB Asifabad District.
4. The Station House Officer, Rebbena PS, KB Asifabad District.
5. Thirugudu Thanaiah, s/o Not Known to petitioner, R/o H.No.10-27, Chandrayanpally, Madgul, Kalakonda, Mahabubnagar District.
6. Thirugudu Lingam, s/o T. Thanaiah, R/o H.No.10-27, Chandrayanpally, Madgul, Kalakonda, Mahabubnagar District.
7. Thirugudu Madhu, s/o T. Thanaiah, R/o C-20, Chandrayanpally, Madgul, Kalakonda, Mahabubnagar District.
8. P.Rajesh, s/o Venkataiah, R/o Kistapur, Rebbena, KB Asifabad District.

Respondents

WHEREAS the Petitioner above named through his Advocate Sri P.LAKSHMA REDDY presented this Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed therewith, the High Court may be pleased to issue a writ, order or direction, more particularly one in the nature of WRIT OF MANDAMUS declaring the action of the respondents more particularly the respondent nos.3 and 4 in calling the petitioner to police station at the behest of respondent nos.5 to 8 and thereby interfering with his life and liberty even though he is not involved with any criminal case and threatening to foist false criminal cases against him without following due procedure, as being illegal, arbitrary, unconstitutional and in violation of Articles 14 and 21 of constitution of India and consequently direct the respondents not to interfere with life and liberty of petitioner without following due process of law;

AND WHEREAS the High Court upon perusing the petition and affidavit filed herein and upon hearing the arguments of Sri P.LAKSHMA REDDY, Advocate for the Petitioner and GP FOR HOME for the Respondent Nos.1 to 4, directed issue of

notice to the Respondent Nos.5 to 8, herein to show cause as to why this WRIT PETITION should not be admitted.

You viz:

1. Thirugudu Thanaiah, s/o Not Known to petitioner, R/o H.No.10-27, Chandrayanpally, Madgul, Kalakonda, Mahabubnagar District.
2. Thirugudu Lingam, s/o T. Thanaiah, R/o H.No.10-27, Chandrayanpally, Madgul, Kalakonda, Mahabubnagar District.
3. Thirugudu Madhu, s/o T. Thanaiah, R/o C-20, Chandrayanpally, Madgul, Kalakonda, Mahabubnagar District.
4. P.Rajesh, s/o Venkataiah, R/o Kistapur, Rebbena, KB Asifabad District.

are directed to show cause on or before 12.03.2025 to which date the case stands posted as to why in the circumstances set out in the petition and the affidavit filed therewith (copy enclosed) this WRIT PETITION should not be admitted.

IA NO: 1 OF 2025

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to direct the respondents not to interfere with life and liberty of petitioner without following due process of law, pending disposal of WP No.4184 of 2025, on the file of the High Court.

The Court made the following: ORDER:

The learned Assistant Government Pleader for Home, based on the written instructions dated 12.02.2025 issued by the Sub Inspector of Police, Rebbena Police Station, Kumrambheem Asifabad District, submitted that there is no merit in the writ petition. The allegations made against respondent Nos.3 and 4 that they interfered with the life and liberty of the petitioner, at the instance of respondent Nos.5 and 8, is incorrect. There was no attempt made by respondent Nos.3 and 4 to take coercive steps against the petitioner. There is a civil dispute between the petitioner and respondent Nos.5 to 8 for which respondent Nos.3 and 4 have no role to play. The petitioner is unnecessarily involving the police into civil litigation.

However, learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner received a call from the Sub Inspector of Police on 06.02.2025 and interfered with his personal liberty though he is not involved in any crime.

In the circumstances, respondent Nos.3 and 4 are directed not to interfere with the personal liberty of the petitioner without following due process of life.

Issue notice to respondent Nos.5 to 8.

Learned counsel for the petitioner is permitted to take out personal notice to respondent Nos.5 to 8 under registered post with acknowledgement due and file proof of service by the next date of hearing.

Post on 12.03.2025.

SD/-V.HARI PRASAD
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

//TRUE COPY//

SECTION OFFICER

To,

1. The Principal Secretary, Home Department, State of Telangana, Secretariat, Hyderabad, T.S.
2. The Superintendent of Police, KB Asifabad District, Police Head Quarters, Asifabad.
3. The Inspector of Police, Rebbena Circle, KB Asifabad District.
4. The Station House Officer, Rebbena PS, KB Asifabad District.\
(for 1 to 4 by RPAD)
5. Thirugudu Thanaiah, s/o Not Known to petitioner, R/o H.No.10-27, Chandrayanpally, Madgul, Kalakonda, Mahabubnagar District.
6. Thirugudu Lingam, s/o T. Thanaiah, R/o H.No.10-27, Chandrayanpally, Madgul, Kalakonda, Mahabubnagar District.
7. Thirugudu Madhu, s/o T. Thanaiah, R/o C-20, Chandrayanpally, Madgul, Kalakonda, Mahabubnagar District.
8. P.Rajesh, s/o Venkataiah, R/o Kistapur, Rebbena, KB Asifabad District.
(for 5 to 8 by RPAD- along with a copy of petition and affidavit)
9. One CC to SRI P.LAKSHMA REDDY, Advocate [OPUC]
10. Two CCs to GP FOR HOME, High Court at Hyderabad. [OUT]
11. One spare copy

HIGH COURT

BVR,J

DATED:12.02.2025

POST ON 12.03.2025

NOTICE BEFORE ADMISSION

WP.No.4184 of 2025

DIRECTION

