

**HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA
AT HYDERABAD**

THURSDAY, THE THIRTIETH DAY OF APRIL
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY SIX

: PRESENT:

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE P.SAM KOSHY
AND
THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE NARSING RAO NANDIKONDA

STA NOS: 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9 AND 10 OF 2026

STA. No. 1 of 2026

Between

Mrs. Nishika Gahlot D/o Manoj Gahlot

.....Appellant

AND

1. The Initiation Officer, ACIT, BPU, Hyderabad, Having its office on the 3rd Floor, Aayakar Bhawan, Basheerbagh, Hyderabad-500 004.
2. The Adjudicating Authority (PBPT),/Shastri Bhawan, New Building (4th Floor), No.26, Haddows Road, Nungabakkam, Chennai- 600008, Tamil Nadu.

.....Respondents

Appeal Under Section 100 of C.P.C., praying that in the circumstances stated in the petition and grounds filed herein, the Court may be pleased to set aside the Final order dated 10.12.2025 passed in FPA-PBPT-3124/HYD/2023, by Appellate Tribunal Under SAFEMA at New Delhi, as well and the order passed under Section 26(3) of Prohibition of Benami Transaction Act, dated 30.05.2023 passed by the adjudicating Authority under Section 26(3) of the Prohibition of Benami Property Transaction Act, 1988, consequently quash the provisional attachment order dated 22.12.2022 and the show-cause notice dated 23.09.2022 issued under Section 24 of the Act, and consequently declare that the transaction relating to the property situated at open land at Plot No. 2/part, 3, 4, 5, 8, 12/part, 13, 14, 15, 17, 19, 23, 26 & 27 total admeasuring 6102.48 sq yards at survey No. 101(part), situated at Kokapet Village, Gandipet Mandal, Ranga Reddy District, Telangana does not fall within the ambit of Section 2(9)(D) of Benami Act and direct the respondents to forthwith release of the property from attachment;

I.A. NO: 1 OF 2026

Petition under Section 151 of C.P.C praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to stay all further proceedings arising out of the impugned orders consequential restrain the Respondents from taking any further or coercive steps in relation to the subject property;

The appeal coming on for hearing, upon perusing the petition and the grounds filed in support thereof and order of the High Court dated 30.04.2026 made herein and upon hearing the arguments of Sri K. Venkatesh, learned Senior Counsel representing M/s

Mudigonda Jwala Varalini Advocate for the Petitioner and Sri N. Praveen Reddy learned Senior Counsel for the respondents;

STA. No. 2 of 2026

Between

M/s AGNG Plantations Pvt. Ltd., Rep. by its authorised signatory, Mr. Gunda Akhil Kumar, S/o Late Shri G. Amarnath, Having its office at Plot No. 32, Veerabhadra Nilayam, ICRISAT Colony, Phase-2, Beeramguda, Hyderabad

.....Appellant

AND

1. The Initiation Officer, ACIT, BPU, Hyderabad, Having its office on the 3rd Floor, Aayakar Bhawan, Basheerbagh, Hyderabad-500 004.
2. The Adjudicating Authority (PBPT),/Shastri Bhawan, New Building (4th Floor), No.26, Haddows Road, Nungabakkam, Chennai- 600008, Tamil Nadu.

.....Respondents

Appeal Under Section 100 of C.P.C., praying that in the circumstances stated in the petition and grounds filed herein, the Court may be pleased to set aside the Final order dated 10.12.2025 passed in FPA-PBPT-3240/HYD/2023, by Appellate Tribunal Under SAFEMA at New Delhi, as well and the order passed under Section 26(3) of Prohibition of Benami Transaction Act, dated 30.09.2023 passed by the adjudicating Authority under Section 26(3) of the Prohibition of Benami Property Transaction Act, 1988, consequently quash the provisional attachment order dated 23.03.2023 and the show-cause notice dated 30.12.2022 issued under Section 24 of the Act, and consequently declare that the transaction relating to the property situated admeasuring total extent of 303.64 acres, spread across tow locations in Kodaikanal Taluk, Poondi Village, Kodaikanal, Tamil Nadu, does not fall within the ambit of Section 2(9)(D) of Benami Act and direct the respondents to forthwith release of the property from attachment;

I.A. NO: 1 OF 2026

Petition under Section 151 of C.P.C praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to stay all further proceedings arising out of the impugned orders, including the consequential confiscation notice issued under Section 27(1) of the Prohibition of Benami Property Transactions Act, 1988, bearing F.No. OCA/MDS/PBPT-Confiscation(R-037/2023)-01/2026-R-037/2023-PBPTA-Hyderabad dated 04.02.2026, and restrain the Respondents from taking any further or coercive action in relation to the subject property;

The appeal coming on for hearing, upon perusing the petition and the grounds filed in support thereof and order of the High Court dated 30.04.2026 made herein and upon hearing the arguments of Sri Koushik Kanduri, Advocate for the Petitioner and Sri N. Praveen Reddy learned Senior Counsel for the respondents;

STA. No. 3 of 2026

Between

Mr. Gunda Akhil Kumar S/o Late G. Amarnath

.....Appellant

AND

1. The Initiation Officer, ACIT, BPU, Hyderabad, Having its office on the 3rd Floor, Aayakar Bhawan, Basheerbagh, Hyderabad-500 004.

2. The Adjudicating Authority (PBPT),/Shastri Bhawan, New Building (4th Floor), No.26, Haddows Road, Nungabakkam, Chennai- 600008, Tamil Nadu.

.....Respondents

Appeal Under Section 100 of C.P.C., praying that in the circumstances stated in the petition and grounds filed herein, the Court may be pleased to set aside the Final order dated 10.12.2025 in FPA-PBPT-3233/HYD/2023, by Appellate Tribunal Under SAFEMA at New Delhi, as well and the order passed under Section 26(3) of Prohibition of Benami Transaction Act, dated 30.09.2023 passed by the adjudicating Authority under Section 26(3) of the Prohibition of Benami Property Transaction Act, 1988, consequently quash the provisional attachment order dated 23.03.2023 and the show-cause notice dated 30.12.2022 issued under Section 24 of the Act, and consequently declare that the transaction relating to the property situated admeasuring total extent of 303.64 acres, spread across two locations in Kodaikanal Taluk, Poondi Village, Kadaikanal, Tamil Nadu, does not fall within the ambit of Section 2(9)(D) of Benami Act and direct the respondents to forthwith release of the property from attachment;

I.A. NO: 1 OF 2026

Petition under Section 151 of C.P.C praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to stay all further proceedings arising out of the impugned orders, including the consequential confiscation notice issued under Section 27(1) of the Prohibition of Benami Property Transactions Act, 1988, bearing F.No. OCA/MDS/PBPT-Confiscation(R-037/2023)-01/2026-R-037/2023-PBPTA-Hyderabad dated 04.02.2026, and restrain the Respondents from taking any further or coercive action in relation to the subject property;

The appeal coming on for hearing, upon perusing the petition and the grounds filed in support thereof and order of the High Court dated 30.04.2026 made herein and upon hearing the arguments of Sri K. Venkatesh, learned Senior Counsel representing M/s Mudigonda Jwala Varalini Advocate for the Petitioner and Sri N. Praveen Reddy learned Senior Counsel for the respondents;

STA. No. 4 of 2026

Between

Mr. Gunda Akhil Kumar S/o Late G. Amarnath

.....Appellant

AND

1. The Initiation Officer, ACIT, BPU, Hyderabad, Having its office on the 3rd Floor, Aayakar Bhawan, Basheerbagh, Hyderabad-500 004.
2. The Adjudicating Authority (PBPT),/Shastri Bhawan, New Building (4th Floor), No.26, Haddows Road, Nungabakkam, Chennai- 600008, Tamil Nadu.

.....Respondents

Appeal Under Section 100 of C.P.C., praying that in the circumstances stated in the petition and grounds filed herein, the Court may be pleased to set aside the Final order dated 10.12.2025 passed in FPA-PBPT-2851/HYD/2023, by Appellate Tribunal Under SAFEMA at New Delhi, as well and the order passed under Section 26(3) of Prohibition of

Benami Transaction Act, dated 30.05.2023 passed by the adjudicating Authority under Section 26(3) of the Prohibition of Benami Property Transaction Act, 1988, consequently quash the provisional attachment order dated 22.12.2022 and the show-cause notice dated 23.09.2022 issued under Section 24 of the Act, and consequently declare that the transaction relating to the property situated at open land at Plot No. 2/part, 3, 4, 5, 8, 12/part, 13, 14, 15, 17, 19, 23, 26 & 27 total admeasuring 6102.48 sq yards at survey No. 101(part), situated at Kokapet Village, Gandipet Mandal, Ranga Reddy District, Telangana does not fall within the ambit of Section 2(9)(D) of Benami Act and direct the respondents to forthwith release of the property from attachment;

I.A. NO: 1 OF 2026

Petition under Section 151 of C.P.C praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to stay all further proceedings arising out of the impugned orders consequential restrain the Respondents from taking any further or coercive steps in relation to the subject property;

The appeal coming on for hearing, upon perusing the petition and the grounds filed in support thereof and order of the High Court dated 30.04.2025 made herein and upon hearing the arguments of Sri K. Venkatesh, learned Senior Counsel representing M/s Mudigonda Jwala Varalini Advocate for the Petitioner and Sri N. Praveen Reddy learned Senior Counsel for the respondents;

STA. No. 5 of 2026

Between

M/s. AGNG Ventures Pvt Ltd, Rep. by its authorised signatory Having its office at Plot No. 32, Veerabhadra Nilayam, ICRISAT Colony, Phase -2, Beeramguda, Hyderabad - 50203

.....Appellant

AND

1. The Initiation Officer, ACIT, BPU, Hyderabad, Having its office on the 3rd Floor, Aayakar Bhawan, Basheerbagh, Hyderabad-500 004.
2. The Adjudicating Authority (PBPT)/Shastri Bhawan, New Building (4th Floor), No.26, Haddows Road, Nungabakkam, Chennai- 600008, Tamil Nadu.

.....Respondents

Appeal Under Section 100 of C.P.C., praying that in the circumstances stated in the petition and grounds filed herein, the Court may be pleased to set aside the Final order dated 10.12.2025 in FPA-PBPT-3238/HYD/2023, by Appellate Tribunal Under SAFEMA at New Delhi, as well and the order passed under Section 26(3) of Prohibition of Benami Transaction Act, dated 30.09.2023 passed by the adjudicating Authority under Section 26(3) of the Prohibition of Benami Property Transaction Act, 1988, consequently quash the provisional attachment order dated 23.03.2023 and the show-cause notice dated 30.12.2022 issued under Section 24 of the Act, and consequently declare that the transaction relating to the property situated admeasuring total extent of 303.64 acres, spread across two locations in Kodaikanal Taluk, Poondi Village, Kadaikanal, Tamil Nadu, does not fall within the ambit of Section 2(9)(D) of Benami Act and direct the respondents to forthwith release of the property from attachment;

I.A. NO: 1 OF 2026

Petition under Section 151 of C.P.C praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to stay all further

proceedings arising out of the impugned orders, including the consequential confiscation notice issued under Section 27(1) of the Prohibition of Benami Property Transactions Act, 1988, bearing F.No. OCA/MDS/PBPT-Confiscation(R-037/2023)-01/2026-R-037/2023-PBPTA-Hyderabad dated 04.02.2026, and restrain the Respondents from taking any further or coercive action in relation to the subject property;

The appeal coming on for hearing, upon perusing the petition and the grounds filed in support thereof and order of the High Court dated 05.05.2026 made herein and upon hearing the arguments of Sri K. Venkatesh, learned Senior Counsel representing M/s Mudigonda Jwala Varalini Advocate for the Petitioner and Sri N. Praveen Reddy learned Senior Counsel for the respondents;

STA. No. 6 of 2026

Between

M/s. AGNG Fintech Pvt Ltd, Rep. by its authorised signatory Mr. Gunda Akhil Kumar S/o late Shri G. Amarnath, R/o Plot No. 32, Veerabhadra Nilayam, ICRISAT Colony, Phase -2, Beeramguda, Hyderabad - 50203

.....Appellant

AND

3. The Initiation Officer, ACIT, BPU, Hyderabad, Having its office on the 3rd Floor, Aayakar Bhawan, Basheerbagh, Hyderabad-500 004.
4. The Adjudicating Authority (PBPT),/Shastri Bhawan, New Building (4th Floor), No.26, Haddows Road, Nungabakkam, Chennai- 600008, Tamil Nadu.

.....Respondents

Appeal Under Section 100 of C.P.C., praying that in the circumstances stated in the petition and grounds filed herein, the Court may be pleased to set aside the Final order dated 10.12.2025 in FPA-PBPT-3239/HYD/2023, by Appellate Tribunal Under SAFEMA at New Delhi, as well and the order passed under Section 26(3) of Prohibition of Benami Transaction Act, dated 30.09.2023 passed by the adjudicating Authority under Section 26(3) of the Prohibition of Benami Property Transaction Act, 1988, consequently quash the provisional attachment order dated 23.03.2023 and the show-cause notice dated 30.12.2022 issued under Section 24 of the Act, and consequently declare that the transaction relating to the property situated admeasuring total extent of 303.64 acres, spread across two locations in Kodaikanal Taluk, Poondi Village, Kadaikanal, Tamil Nadu, does not fall within the ambit of Section 2(9)(D) of Benami Act and direct the respondents to forthwith release of the property from attachment;

I.A. NO: 1 OF 2026

Petition under Section 151 of C.P.C praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to stay all further proceedings arising out of the impugned orders, including the consequential confiscation notice issued under Section 27(1) of the Prohibition of Benami Property Transactions Act, 1988, bearing F.No. OCA/MDS/PBPT-Confiscation(R-037/2023)-01/2026-R-037/2023-PBPTA-Hyderabad dated 04.02.2026, and restrain the Respondents from taking any further or coercive action in relation to the subject property;

The appeal coming on for hearing, upon perusing the petition and the grounds filed in support thereof and order of the High Court dated 05.05.2026 made herein and upon

hearing the arguments of Sri Koushik Kanduri, Advocate for the Petitioner and Sri N. Praveen Reddy learned Senior Counsel for the respondents;

STA. No. 7 of 2026

Between

Mrs. Nishika Gahlot D/o Manoj Gahlot, Occ: Business, R/o, 26, Karariya Farm, Khushipura Har Siddhi Campus, Huzur, Bhopal, Madhya Pradesh-462001, Having shifted to R/o Plot No. 32, Survey No. 963, ICRISAT Colony, Ameenpur, Patancheru, Medak 502319

.....Appellant

AND

1. The Initiation Officer, ACIT, BPU, Hyderabad, Having its office on the 3rd Floor, Aayakar Bhawan, Basheerbagh, Hyderabad-500 004.
2. The Adjudicating Authority (PBPT)/Shastri Bhawan, New Building (4th Floor), No.26, Haddows Road, Nungabakkam, Chennai- 600008, Tamil Nadu.

.....Respondents

Appeal Under Section 100 of C.P.C., praying that in the circumstances stated in the petition and grounds filed herein, the Court may be pleased to set aside the Final order dated 10.12.2025 in FPA-PBPT-3234/HYD/2023, by Appellate Tribunal Under SAFEMA at New Delhi, as well and the order passed under Section 26(3) of Prohibition of Benami Transaction Act, dated 30.09.2023 passed by the adjudicating Authority under Section 26(3) of the Prohibition of Benami Property Transaction Act, 1988, consequently quash the provisional attachment order dated 23.03.2023 and the show-cause notice dated 30.12.2022 issued under Section 24 of the Act, and consequently declare that the transaction relating to the property situated admeasuring total extent of 303.64 acres, spread across two locations in Kodaikanal Taluk, Poondi Village, Kadaikanal, Tamil Nadu, does not fall within the ambit of Section 2(9)(D) of Benami Act and direct the respondents to forthwith release of the property from attachment;

I.A. NO: 1 OF 2026

Petition under Section 151 of C.P.C praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to stay all further proceedings arising out of the impugned orders, including the consequential confiscation notice issued under Section 27(1) of the Prohibition of Benami Property Transactions Act, 1988, bearing F.No. OCA/MDS/PBPT-Confiscation(R-037/2023)-01/2026-R-037/2023-PBPTA-Hyderabad dated 04.02.2026, and restrain the Respondents from taking any further or coercive action in relation to the subject property;

The appeal coming on for hearing, upon perusing the petition and the grounds filed in support thereof and order of the High Court dated 05.05.2026 made herein and upon hearing the arguments of Sri K. Venkatesh learned Senior counsel representing M/s Mudigonda Jwala Varalini, Advocate for the Petitioner and Sri N. Praveen Reddy learned Senior Counsel for the respondents;

STA. No. 8 of 2026

Between

M/s. AGNG Solutions Pvt Ltd, Rep. by its authorised signatory Mr. Gunda Akhil Kumar S/o late Shri G. Amarnath, R/o Plot No. 32, Veerabhadra Nilayam, ICRISAT Colony, Phase -2, Beeramguda, Hyderabad - 50203

.....Appellant

AND

1. The Initiation Officer, ACIT, BPU, Hyderabad, Having its office on the 3rd Floor, Aayakar Bhawan, Basheerbagh, Hyderabad-500 004.
2. The Adjudicating Authority (PBPT),/Shastri Bhawan, New Building (4th Floor), No.26, Haddows Road, Nungabakkam, Chennai- 600008, Tamil Nadu.

.....Respondents

Appeal Under Section 100 of C.P.C., praying that in the circumstances stated in the petition and grounds filed herein, the Court may be pleased to set aside the Final order dated 10.12.2025 in FPA-PBPT-3241/HYD/2023, by Appellate Tribunal Under SAFEMA at New Delhi, as well and the order passed under Section 26(3) of Prohibition of Benami Transaction Act, dated 30.09.2023 passed by the adjudicating Authority under Section 26(3) of the Prohibition of Benami Property Transaction Act, 1988, consequently quash the provisional attachment order dated 23.03.2023 and the show-cause notice dated 30.12.2022 issued under Section 24 of the Act, and consequently declare that the transaction relating to the property situated admeasuring total extent of 303.64 acres, spread across two locations in Kodaikanal Taluk, Poondi Village, Kadaikanal, Tamil Nadu, does not fall within the ambit of Section 2(9)(D) of Benami Act and direct the respondents to forthwith release of the property from attachment;

I.A. NO: 1 OF 2026

Petition under Section 151 of C.P.C praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to stay all further proceedings arising out of the impugned orders, including the consequential confiscation notice issued under Section 27(1) of the Prohibition of Benami Property Transactions Act, 1988, bearing F.No. OCA/MDS/PBPT-Confiscation(R-037/2023)-01/2026-R-037/2023-PBPTA-Hyderabad dated 04.02.2026, and restrain the Respondents from taking any further or coercive action in relation to the subject property;

The appeal coming on for hearing, upon perusing the petition and the grounds filed in support thereof and order of the High Court dated 05.05.2026 made herein and upon hearing the arguments of Koushik Kanduri, Advocate for the Petitioner and Sri N. Praveen Reddy learned Senior Counsel for the respondents;

STA. No. 9 of 2026

Between

M/s. GAK E Solution Pvt Ltd, Rep. by its authorised signatory Mr. Gunda Akhil Kumar S/o late Shri G. Amarnath, R/o Plot No. 48, MICASA, Phase I, Kavuri Hils, Madhapur, Hyderabad 500081

.....Appellant

AND

1. The Initiation Officer, ACIT, BPU, Hyderabad, Having its office on the 3rd Floor, Aayakar Bhawan, Basheerbagh, Hyderabad-500 004.
2. The Adjudicating Authority (PBPT),/Shastri Bhawan, New Building (4th Floor), No.26, Haddows Road, Nungabakkam, Chennai- 600008, Tamil Nadu.

.....Respondents

Appeal Under Section 100 of C.P.C., praying that in the circumstances stated in the petition and grounds filed herein, the Court may be pleased to set aside the Final order dated 10.12.2025 in FPA-PBPT-3237/HYD/2023, by Appellate Tribunal Under SAFEMA at New

Delhi, as well and the order passed under Section 26(3) of Prohibition of Benami Transaction Act, dated 30.09.2023 passed by the adjudicating Authority under Section 26(3) of the Prohibition of Benami Property Transaction Act, 1988, consequently quash the provisional attachment order dated 23.03.2023 and the show-cause notice dated 30.12.2022 issued under Section 24 of the Act, and consequently declare that the transaction relating to the property situated admeasuring total extent of 303.64 acres, spread across two locations in Kodaikanal Taluk, Poondi Village, Kadaikanal, Tamil Nadu, does not fall within the ambit of Section 2(9)(D) of Benami Act and direct the respondents to forthwith release of the property from attachment;

I.A. NO: 1 OF 2026

Petition under Section 151 of C.P.C praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to stay all further proceedings arising out of the impugned orders, including the consequential confiscation notice issued under Section 27(1) of the Prohibition of Benami Property Transactions Act, 1988, bearing F.No. OCA/MDS/PBPT-Confiscation(R-037/2023)-01/2026-R-037/2023-PBPTA-Hyderabad dated 04.02.2026, and restrain the Respondents from taking any further or coercive action in relation to the subject property;

The appeal coming on for hearing, upon perusing the petition and the grounds filed in support thereof and order of the High Court dated 05.05.2026 made herein and upon hearing the arguments of M. Avinash Reddy, Advocate for the Petitioner and Sri N. Praveen Reddy learned Senior Counsel for the respondents;

STA. No. 10 of 2026

Between

M/s. AMRG Plantations Pvt Ltd, Rep. by its authorised signatory Mr. Gunda Akhil Kumar S/o late Shri G. Amarnath, R/o Plot No. 32, Veerabhadra Nilayam, ICRISAT Colony, Phase - 2, Beeramguda, Hyderabad - 50203

.....Appellant

AND

1. The Initiation Officer, ACIT, BPU, Hyderabad, Having its office on the 3rd Floor, Aayakar Bhawan, Basheerbagh, Hyderabad-500 004.
2. The Adjudicating Authority (PBPT),/Shastri Bhawan, New Building (4th Floor), No.26, Haddows Road, Nungabakkam, Chennai- 600008, Tamil Nadu.

.....Respondents

Appeal Under Section 100 of C.P.C., praying that in the circumstances stated in the petition and grounds filed herein, the Court may be pleased to set aside the Final order dated 10.12.2025 in FPA-PBPT-3236/HYD/2023, by Appellate Tribunal Under SAFEMA at New Delhi, as well and the order passed under Section 26(3) of Prohibition of Benami Transaction Act, dated 30.09.2023 passed by the adjudicating Authority under Section 26(3) of the Prohibition of Benami Property Transaction Act, 1988, consequently quash the provisional attachment order dated 23.03.2023 and the show-cause notice dated 30.12.2022 issued under Section 24 of the Act, and consequently declare that the transaction relating to the property situated admeasuring total extent of 303.64 acres, spread across two locations in Kodaikanal Taluk, Poondi Village, Kadaikanal, Tamil Nadu, does not fall within the ambit of Section 2(9)(D) of Benami Act and direct the respondents to forthwith release of the property from attachment;

I.A. NO: 1 OF 2026

Petition under Section 151 of C.P.C praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to stay all further proceedings arising out of the impugned orders, including the consequential confiscation notice issued under Section 27(1) of the Prohibition of Benami Property Transactions Act, 1988, bearing F.No. OCA/MDS/PBPT-Confiscation(R-037/2023)-01/2026-R-037/2023-PBPTA-Hyderabad dated 04.02.2026, and restrain the Respondents from taking any further or coercive action in relation to the subject property;

The appeal coming on for hearing, upon perusing the petition and the grounds filed in support thereof and order of the High Court dated 05.05.2026 made herein and upon hearing the arguments of M. Avinash Reddy, Advocate for the Petitioner and Sri N. Praveen Reddy learned Senior Counsel for the respondents;
The Court made the following

ORDER:

Learned Senior Standing Counsel for the respondents prays for time to file counter.

As prayed for, time is granted.

List on 26.10.2026.

Interim order granted earlier shall continue till the next date of hearing.

**Sd/- MOHD.ISMAIL
DEPUTY REGISTRAR**

//TRUE COPY//

SECTION OFFICER

To,

1. The Chairman, The Appellate Tribunal under SAFEMA, at New Delhi
2. The Adjudicating Authority, BPU, Hyderabad
3. One CC to M/s Mudigonda Jwala Varalini Advocate [OPUC]
4. One CC to Sri Koushik Kanduri, Advocate (OPUC)
5. One spare copy

HIGH COURT

**PSK, J
&
NNR, J**

DATED: 07.07.2026

NOTE: LIST ON 26.10.2026

ORDER

STA NOS: 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9 AND 10 OF 2026

EXTENSION OF INTERIM ORDER

