

IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA AT HYDERABAD

WEDNESDAY, THE EIGHTEENTH DAY OF FEBRUARY

TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY SIX

:PRESENT:**THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE J SREENIVAS RAO****CRIMINAL PETITION NO: 1172 OF 2026****Between:**

1. Mohd Osman, S/o Late Mohd Sardar Occ. Private service R/o HNo.12-2-419/c/5, Vishwas Nagar Colony, Murad Nagar, Hyderabad, Telangana, Pincode-500028.
Rep. By G.P.A.Mahmood Bee @ Mehmoodunnisa petitioner No.2 herein as per Court order dated 02.02.2026 vide IA No.3 of 2026 in CrI.P.No.1172 of 2026
2. Mahmood Bee @ Mehmoodunnisa, w/o Late Mohd Sardar, Occ. House wife, R/o HNo.12-2-419/c/5, Vishwas Nagar Colony, Murad Nagar, Hyderabad, Telangana, Pincode-500028.

Petitioners/Accused**AND**

1. The State of Telangana, Represent by the Public Prosecutor High Court at Hyderabad.
2. Smt Zeenath Afreen, w/o Mohd osman, Occ. Private Employee R/o.H.No.10-3-291/7/A, Anmol Residency, vijaya nagar colony, Medhipatnam, Hyderabad-28

Respondents/Complainants

Petition under Section 528 of B.N.S.S., praying that in the circumstances stated in the grounds filed in support of the Criminal Petition, the High Court may be pleased to Quash the proceedings against the petitioners in FIR in Crime No.293/2023, dated 09.05.2023, registered by Women's P.S,CCS, DD, HYDERABAD, under Sections 498-A of IPC, Sec 3864 of DP Act;

Counsel for the Petitioner**: Ms. Ayesha Begum, learned counsel
representing SRI MIR QURRAM ALI****Counsel for the Respondent No.1 : PUBLIC PROSECUTOR**

Counsel for the Respondent No.2 : MS NAUSHEEN SULTANA

The Court made the following.

ORDER

Ms. Ayesha Begum, learned counsel representing Mr. Mir Qurram Ali, learned counsel for the petitioners, submitted that due to unavoidable circumstances, the parties could not be appeared pursuant to the order dated 02.02.2026. Unless this Court extends the time for their appearance, they will be put to great hardship.

Taking into consideration the submissions of learned counsel for the petitioners, the Registry is directed to transmit the case file to the High Court Legal Services Committee immediately.

The petitioners and respondent No.2 are directed to appear before the High Court Legal Services Committee on 21.02.2026, for their identification.

The High Court Legal Services Committee shall in turn submit the report before this Court by the next date of hearing.

Post on 25.02.2026 under the caption 'for compromise'.

//TRUE COPY//

**SD/- T. SRINIVAS REDDY
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR**


SECTION OFFICER

To,

1. The Secretary, High Court Legal Services Committee, High Court Buildings, Hyderabad. (By Spl. Messenger)
2. The Section Officer, Criminal Section, High Court at Hyderabad
3. Two CCs to Public Prosecutor, High Court for the State of Telangana, Hyderabad (OUT)
4. One CC to SRI MIR QURRAM ALI Advocate [OPUC]
5. One CC to MS NAUSHEEN SULTANA Advocate [OPUC]
6. One spare copy

HIGH COURT

JSR,J

DATED:18/02/2026

Post on 25.02.2026 under the caption 'for compromise'

ORDER

CRLP.No.1172 of 2026



DIRECTION

**(SHOW CAUSE NOTICE BEFORE ADMISSION)
IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA
AT HYDERABAD**

WEDNESDAY, THE EIGHTEENTH DAY OF FEBRUARY
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY SIX

**:PRESENT:
THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE J.SREENIVAS RAO**

CRIMINAL PETITION NO: 1266 OF 2026

Between:

Kankanala Sammireddy, S/o. Ramreddy

Petitioner/Accused No.1

AND

1. The State of Telangana, through S.H.O., Garidepalli Police Station, Suryapet District rep. by Public Prosecutor, High Court, Hyderabad
2. Kankanala Ravinder Reddy, S/o. Rajireddy, R/o. Kanukulagidda village, Huzurabad Mandal, Karimnagar District.

Respondent/Complainant

WHEREAS the Petitioner above named through his Advocate Sri K.Pradeep Kumar, presented this petition under Section 528 of BNSS, praying that in the circumstances stated in the Petition, the High Court may be pleased to call for the records relating to the F.I.R. No. 271 of 2025 on the file of Garidepalli Police Station, Suryapet District, and quash the same;

AND WHEREAS the High Court upon perusing the petition and memorandum of grounds filed therein, and upon hearing the arguments of Sri K.Pradeep Kumar, Advocate for the Petitioner, and the Public Prosecutor, for Respondent No.1, directed issue of notice to the Respondent No.2 herein to show cause as to why this CRIMINAL PETITION should not be admitted.

You viz:

Kankanala Ravinder Reddy, S/o. Rajireddy, R/o. Kanukulagidda village, Huzurabad Mandal, Karimnagar District.

are directed to show cause on or before 16-04-2026 to which date the case stands posted as to why in the circumstances set out in the petition and the memorandum of grounds filed therewith (copy enclosed) this CRIMINAL PETITION should not be admitted.

IA NO: 2 OF 2026:

Petition under Section 528 of BNSS, praying that in the circumstances stated in the petition, the High Court may be pleased to grant stay of all further proceedings including the arrest of the petitioner in F.I.R. No. 271 of 2025 on the file of Garidepalli Police Station.

Suryapet District, pending disposal of CrI. P.No. 1266 of 2026, on the file of the High Court.

The Court made the following: ORDER:

Notice before admission.

Learned counsel for the petitioner is permitted to take out personal notice to respondent No.2 by way of Speed Post with Acknowledgment Due and file proof of service.

Mr. K. Pradeep Kumar, learned counsel for the petitioner, submits that the petitioner has not committed the alleged offences and has been falsely implicated in the present crime. He further submits that the nature of allegations is purely civil in nature. Even accordingly the allegations made in the complaint, the ingredients of Sections 318(4), 351(2) read with 3(5) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhitha, 2023 are not attracted against the petitioner and it is punishable with imprisonment of less than seven years. He further submitted that the Investigating Officer, without following the mandatory procedure prescribe under Section 35(3) of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (for short 'BNSS') and without following the guidelines formulated by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in Arnesh Kumar Vs. State of Bihar (2014) 8 SCC 273, is proceeding further in the matter and the same is contrary to law.

Taking into consideration the above submissions, this Court is of the prima facie view that there is force in the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner and accordingly, this Court would not be justified in granting a stay of further investigation pending the proceedings under Section 528 of the BNSS.

Hence, the Investigating Officer is directed to follow the due procedure as contemplated under the provisions of the BNSS, insofar as petitioner/Accused No.1 is concerned.

Post on 16-04-2026.

//TRUE COPY//

Sd/- A.SRINIVAS REDDY
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR


SECTION OFFICER

To,

1. The Judicial First Class magistrate, Huzurnagar
2. The Station House Officer, Garidepalli Police Station, Suryapet District.
3. Kankanala Ravinder Reddy, S/o. Rajireddy, R/o. Kanukulagidda village, Huzurabad Mandal, Karimnagar District. (BY SPAD along with a copy of petition and grounds)
4. Two CCs to Public Prosecutor, High Court for the State of Telangana, at Hyderabad (OUT)
5. One CC to Sri K.Pradeep Kumar, Advocate (OPUC)
6. One Spare Copy

HIGH COURT

JSR J

DATE: 18-02-2026

NOTE: POST ON 16-04-2026

NOTICE BEFORE ADMISSION

CRL.P. NO. 1266 OF 2026

DIRECTION

