

**THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA
AT HYDERABAD**

TUESDAY, THE THIRTY FIRST DAY OF MARCH
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY SIX

:PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE E.V. VENUGOPAL

WRIT PETITION NO: 3021 OF 2026

Between:

Modem Vijay Kumar, S/o Modem Ramesh.

Petitioner

AND

1. The State of Telangana, Home Department, Rep. by its Principal Secretary, Secretariat, Hyderabad.
2. The Commissioner of Police, Warangal Police Commissionerate, Warangal
3. The Station House Officer, P.S. Subedari, Hanumakonda.

Respondents

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed therewith, the High Court may be pleased to issue a Writ, order or direction more particularly one in the nature of Writ of Mandamus declaring the action of the Respondent No.3 in obstructing me from legal construction in my own land abusing his power and authority as arbitrary, illegal and unconstitutional and consequently direct the Respondent No. 3 not to harass me in any manner with regard to construction of above property;

The Petition coming on for hearing and upon perusing the petition and affidavit filed herein and the order of the High Court dated 03.02.2026 made herein and upon hearing the arguments of SRI KARRA V.V.S.N MURTHY, Advocate for the Petitioner, Sri M. Srinivas, GP FOR HOME, for the Respondents

**The Court made the following
ORDER:**

Learned counsel for the petitioner is present through virtual mode.

Learned Assistant Government Pleader for Home, by furnishing written instructions, would submit that on the basis of the complaint, dated 03.09.2025 lodged by one Mr. T.Ravi Kumar, an enquiry was conducted, by addressing a letter to the RDO, Tahsildar, to verify the building permission of petitioner and the complainant. Thereafter, the said complaint was closed as "Civil in Nature", directing the petitioner herein not to raise any disputes over the property in accordance with law, until further clarification.

The said aspect demonstrates that the official respondent advised the petitioner not to raise any disputes, even after the closure of the complaint lodged by the petitioner, which requires further examination.

Under these circumstances, this Court deems it appropriate to direct respondent No.3 to appear before this Court and explain under what authority, he had advised the petitioner on the further course of action.

List on 01.04.2026.

**Sd/- U.SUDHA
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR**

//TRUE COPY//


SECTION OFFICER

To,

1. The Station House Officer, P.S. Subedari, Hanumakonda.
(BY SPAD)
2. One CC to SRI KARRA V.V.S.N MURTHY Advocate [OPUC]
3. Two CCs to GP FOR HOME, High Court at Hyderabad. [OUT]
4. One spare copy

HIGH COURT

EVV, J

DATED: 31.03.2026

LIST ON 01.04.2026

ORDER

WP.No.3021 of 2026

DIRECTION

