

(SHOW CAUSE NOTICE BEFORE ADMISSION)
HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA AT HYDERABAD
(Special Original Jurisdiction)

MONDAY, THE TWENTY NINTH DAY OF APRIL TWO THOUSAND AND NINETEEN

:PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE ABHINAND KUMAR SHAVILI

WRIT PETITION NO: 2712 OF 2019

Between:

1. Parveen Begum, D/o. Late Abu Bin Sayeed
2. Mohd. Mustafa, S/o. Late Abu Bin Sayeed
3. Shakera Begum, D/o. Late Abu Bin Sayeed
4. Sajida Begum, D/o. Late Abu Bin Sayeed
5. Sayeeda Begum, D/o. Late Abu Bin Sayeed



...Petitioners

AND

1. The Union of India, rep. by its Secretary, Consumer Affairs, Food and Public Distribution, Central Secretariat Buildings, New Delhi.
2. The District Manager (Pension), Food Corporation of India, District Office, Sanathnagar, Hyderabad-500018.

...Respondents

WHEREAS the Petitioners above named through their Advocate Mr P LAKSHMANA RAO presented this Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed therewith, the High Court may be pleased to issue an appropriate writ, order or direction more particularly one in the nature of Writ of Mandamus, to declare the action of the respondents in not releasing post retiral benefits of the petitioners deceased father ie., from his death 19-4-2005 in terms of the Hon'ble Supreme Court Judgment in L.Merotra and others Vs. State of UP and others 2002 SCC L & S 278 as illegal, arbitrary, unreasonable, unconstitutional and it amounts to violation of Articles 14, 16, 21 and 300-A of Constitution of India, and contrary to principles of natural justice and direct the respondents to sanction and release the post retiral benefits in terms of the Hon'ble Supreme Court Judgment in L.Mehrotra and others Vs. State of UP and others 2002 SCC L & S 278 in the interest of justice.

AND WHEREAS the High Court upon perusing the petition and affidavit filed herein and upon hearing the arguments of Mr P LAKSHMANA RAO, Advocate for the Petitioners, directed issue of notice to the Respondents herein to show cause as to why this WRIT PETITION should not be admitted.

You viz:

1. The Secretary, Consumer Affairs, Food and Public Distribution, Central Secretariat Buildings, Union of India, New Delhi.
2. The District Manager (Pension), Food Corporation of India, District Office, Sanathnagar, Hyderabad-500018.

are directed to show cause as to why in the circumstances set out in the petition and the affidavit filed therewith (copy enclosed) this WRIT PETITION should not be admitted.

THE COURT MADE THE FOLLOWING ORDER:

Notice before admission.

Post after Summer Vacation, 2019.

Sd/- N. CHANDRA SEKHAR RAO
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

//TRUE COPY//

For ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

To,

1. The Secretary, Consumer Affairs, Food and Public Distribution, Central Secretariat Buildings, Union of India, New Delhi.
2. The District Manager (Pension), Food Corporation of India, District Office, Sanathnagar, Hyderabad-500018 (RR 1 & 2 by RPAD along with a copy of petition and affidavit).
3. One CC to Mr. P LAKSHMANA RAO, Advocate [OPUC].
4. Two CCs to SRI. K LAKSHMAN (AST SOL GEN), High Court for the State of Telangana at Hyderabad [OUT].
5. One spare copy
ZEE

HIGH COURT

AKSJ

DATED:29/04/2019

POST AFTER SUMMER VACATION, 2019

NOTICE BEFORE ADMISSION

WP.No.2712 of 2019

