

IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA AT HYDERABAD  
FRIDAY, THE TWENTIETH DAY OF JANUARY  
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY THREE

:PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE MUMMINENI SUDHEER KUMAR  
WRIT PETITION NO: 23738 OF 2017

Between:

Sada Sudhakar Reddy, S/o Sri. S. Mafia Reddy.

Petitioner

AND

1. The State of Telangana, Rep. by its Principal Secretary General Administration Department Secretariat, Hyderabad.
2. The Project Director,, Outer Ring Road and Special Collector (Land Acquisition), Hyderabad Urban Development Authority, Tarnaka, Hyderabad.
3. The Special Deputy Collector (LA),, Unit-V, ORR Project, HUDA, Tarnaka, Hyderabad.

Respondents

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed therewith, the High Court may be pleased to issue a writ, order or direction more particularly a Writ in the nature of Mandamus directing the Respondents to initiate the land acquisition proceedings afresh under the provisions of the Right to Fair Compensation and Transparency in Land Acquisition, Rehabilitation and Resettlement Act, 2013 with respect to the lands of the Petitioner Ac. 4-15½ guntas in Sy.No. 46, 48 and 49 of Kandlakoi Village, Medchal Mandal, Medchal District acquired for the purpose of the Outer Ring Road pursuant to the notifications under Section 4(1) of the Land Acquisition Act, 1894 dated 16.04.2005 and 08.07.2005;

The petition coming on for hearing, upon perusing the Petition and the affidavit filed in support thereof and upon hearing the arguments of SRI M SRIKANTH REDDY Advocate for the Petitioner, GP FOR LAND ACQUISITION for the Respondent no. 1, SRI Y. RAMA RAO, Counsel for the respondent no.2 & 3, the Court made the following.

**ORDER**

In spite of granting sufficient time and even after lapse of five years from the date of filing of the writ petition, counter affidavit is not filed.

This Court, on 03.01.2023, on a request made by learned Standing Counsel, finally granted time till today. In spite of the same, counter affidavit is not filed and it is represented by learned Standing Counsel that in spite of intimating the respondents by way of e-mail, they are not responding.

In the circumstances, finally ten (10) days time is granted for filing counter affidavit. If counter affidavit is not filed by such time, respondent No.3 shall remain present before this Court in-person and explain his stand.

Post on 01.02.2023.

//TRUE COPY//

SD/- K.VENKAIAH  
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

SECTION OFFICER

To,

1. The Principal Secretary General Administration Department Secretariat, The State of Telangana, Hyderabad.
2. The Project Director,, Outer Ring Road and Special Collector (Land Acquisition), Hyderabad Urban Development Authority, Tarnaka, Hyderabad. ( RR 1 to 2 by RPAD)
3. The Special Deputy Collector (LA),, Unit-V, ORR Project, HUDA, Tarnaka, Hyderabad. (by SPECIAL MESSENGER)
4. One CC to SRI. M SRIKANTH REDDY Advocate [OPUC]
5. Two CCs to GP FOR LAND ACQUISITION, High Court at Hyderabad. [OUT]
6. One spare copy

HIGH COURT

MSKJ

DATED:20/01/2023

POST ON 01.02.2023

ORDER

WP.No.23738 of 2017

DIRECTION

