

HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA
MAIN CASE: CrI.A.No.67 of 2026
PROCEEDING SHEET

Sl. No	DATE	ORDER	OFFICE NOTE
	29.06.2026	<u>KL,J & BRMR,J</u> <u>I.A.No.1 of 2026</u> Heard Sri M.V.Hanumantha Rao, learned counsel for the petitioner/Appellant and Sri M. Rama Chandra Reddy, learned Additional Public Prosecutor. This application is filed seeking to suspend the sentence passed on 04.12.2025 in S.C.No.07 of 2024 by the learned Principal Sessions Judge, Asifabad, and grant bail to the petitioner herein, pending disposal of the appeal. The petitioner herein is Accused No.1 in S.C.No.07 of 2024. Vide impugned judgment dated 04.12.2025, learned trial Court, convicted the petitioner for the offences under Sections 448, 354-D, 506,302 read with 34 of IPC and Sections 232 and 351(2)(3) of the BNS,2023 and sentenced him to undergo Life Imprisonment. According to the prosecution, the petitioner stalked P.W.2 and harassed her under the pretext of love, though she expressed disinterest. He also threatened that he will kill the entire family of P.W.2. P.W.1 is mother of the deceased and P.W.2. She has specifically deposed that she admonished A.1 not to repeat such harassment against P.W.2. Even then, the	

		petitioner herein continued the harassment, due to which she sent P.W.2 to her elder daughter's house in Tosham village of Adilabad District. A.1 went to that place also and harassed her. P.W.2 also deposed in the same lines. P.W.3 – resident of same village, stated that on 12.06.2025 at about 8.30 p.m. while she was seated on the pial in front of her house, the petitioner herein and A.2 in the state of intoxication quarreled with each other, A.2 demanded A.1 that he helped to A.1 in killing Uday Kiran/the deceased by throttling his neck but the petitioner herein did not pay the promised money for killing the deceased. During the cross-examination, she has categorically admitted that A.1 and A.2 never engaged in any offending conversation on 12.06.2023 at 8.30 p.m. in the state of intoxication. P.W.11 – Doctor, who conducted autopsy over the dead body of the deceased gave his opinion that the cause of death is due to respiratory failure due to asphyxia caused by throttling. On consideration of the said evidence, both oral and documentary, the Trial Court convicted the petitioner/A.1 for the aforesaid offences and sentenced him to undergo Life Imprisonment. Thus, prima facie, there are specific overt acts against the petitioner herein/Accused No.1. The offences committed by the petitioner herein/Accused	
--	--	--	--

	No.1 are serious and grave in nature. Analysis of evidence of witnesses while considering bail application is impermissible as held by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in Omprakash Sahni v. Jai Shankar Chaudhary [(2023) 6 SCC 123]. The contentions/grounds raised by the petitioner in this application can be considered at the time of hearing the appeal. In the light of the same, this Court is not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner herein/Accused No.1 and this application is liable to be dismissed and is dismissed. _____ KL,J _____ BRMR,J <u>Crl.A.No.67 of 2026</u> List on 19.08.2026. b/o. vvr.	
--	--	--