

HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA : HYDERABAD

MAIN CASE NO: CrI.P.No.1263 of 2026

PROCEEDING SHEET

SL. NO	DATE	ORDER	OFFICE NOTE
01.	04.02.2026	<u>JSR,J</u> This Criminal Petition has been filed by the petitioner, aggrieved by the order passed by the learned XV Additional Chief Judicial Magistrate, Hyderabad, in CrI.M.P.No.3815 of 2025 in C.C.No.12214 of 2019, dated 19.09.2025, wherein the application filed by the petitioner and other accused for examination through electronic video linkage was dismissed. Mr. Mohd Islamuddin Ansari, learned counsel representing Mr.Mohd. Ashfaq, learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that as per the Rules for Video Conferencing for Courts in the State of Telangana, vide Notification No.14/SO/2020, dated 29.10.2020, the petitioner is entitled to appear through video conference for examination. Mr.M.Ramachandra Reddy, learned Additional Public Prosecutor submitted that the benefit of video conferencing is available under Rule 11(2) of the Rules for Video Conferencing for Courts in the State of Telangana only in two specific circumstances i.e., at the time of recording compromise under Section 164 of the Cr.P.C. and during examination under Section 313 of the	Transferred to i.o. folder before corrections, if any.

SL. NO	DATE	ORDER	OFFICE NOTE
		Cr.P.C. In the present case, the petitioner is appearing for examination under Section 239 of the Cr.P.C., and therefore, petitioner is not entitled to the benefit and the trial Court rightly dismissed the application filed by the petitioner. Learned counsel for the petitioner seeks short adjournment. At his request, list on 09.02.2026 in the 'Adjourned Motion List'. <u>JSR,J</u> vsl	