

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA
AT HYDERABAD
(Special Original Jurisdiction)**

**TUESDAY, THE TWENTY SIXTH DAY OF AUGUST
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY FIVE**

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE E.V. VENUGOPAL

WRIT PETITION NO: 799 OF 2022

Between:

1. CH.Vanaja, W/o Ch.Rajalingam, Aged about 42 years, Occ ; Housewife, R/o H.No.1-9-338, Kushaiguda, Hyderabad.
2. Gourisetty Venkateshwarlu, S/o Late G.Mallaiah, Aged about 67 years, Occ ; Business, R/o H.No.1-1 Velair Village, DharmasagarMandal, Hanumakonda District (Warangal Dist).

.....PETITIONERS

AND

1. The State Telangana, Rep. by its Principal Secretary, Revenue Stamps and Registration Dept, Secretariat, Hyderabad.
2. The Commissioner and Inspector General, Stamps and Registration Department, M. J Road, Hyderabad.
3. The District Collector, Sanga Reddy District at Sanga Reddy.
4. The District Registrar, SangaReddy District at Sangareddy.
5. The Sub Registrar-I, Ameenpur Village, Medak District.
6. The Hyderabad Metropolitan Development Authority, Rep. by its Commissioner, Tarnaka, Hyderabad.
7. The Tahsildhar, Sanga Reddy Mandal Sanga Reddy District.

.....RESPONDENTS

Petition Under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed therewith, the High Court may be pleased to grant an appropriate Writ, order or direction more particularly one in the nature of Writ of mandamus to declare the action of the respondents 2 to 5 as illegal, arbitrary in including the property bearing Plot Nos. 11 85 12 in Sy.No. 1132 of Ameenpur Village and Mandal, Sanga Reddy District from the prohibition

properties list under section 22A of the registration Act, violative of Article 300-A of Constitution of India on the ground that mere pending a suit in connection with the survey No.1132 IA No.452/2017 in O.S No.72/2017 is no status quo order against the petitioner as the same is not binding upon the petitioners which is illegal and arbitrary consequently, direct the 3rd respondent to delete the prohibitory list the above subject property of the petitioners.

I.A.NO:1 OF 2022

Petition Under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to direct the respondent No. 6 to consider the application dated 14-10-2021 to grant permission for construction of residential building consisting of 1 Stilt + 5 Upper Floor in Plot No.11 and 12 in survey No.1132 Ameenpur Mandal and Medak district by suspending the short fall notice dt.15.12.2021 without reference to the prohibited list. pending disposal of the writ petition.

Counsel for the Petitioners : SRI RAMPRASAD PATHIPAKA

Counsel for the Respondent Nos.1 to 5 & 7 : GP FOR REVENUE

Counsel for the Respondent No.6 : SRI V.NARASIMHA GOUD, SC FOR HMDA

The Court made the following ORDER

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE E.V.VENUGOPAL

WRIT PETITION No.799 of 2022

ORDER :

This Writ Petition, under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, is filed seeking the following relief:

"...pleased to grant an appropriate Writ order or direction more particularly one in the nature of Writ of mandamus to declare the action of the respondents 2 to 5 as illegal arbitrary in including the property bearing Plot Nos.11, 85 and 12 in Sy.No.1132 of Ameenpur Village and Mandal, Sanga Reddy District from the prohibition properties list under section 22A of the registration Act violative of Article 300-A of Constitution of India on the ground that mere pending a suit in connection with the survey No.1132 I.A.No.452/2017 in O.S.No.72/2017 is no status quo order against the petitioner as the same is not binding upon the petitioners which is illegal and arbitrary consequently direct the 3rd respondent to delete the prohibitory list the above subject property of the petitioners and pass..."

2. Heard learned counsel appearing for the petitioners and learned Assistant Government Pleader for Revenue, appearing for respondent Nos.1 to 5 and 7 and learned Standing Counsel appearing for respondent No.6.

3. When the matter is taken up for hearing, learned counsel appearing for the petitioners would submit that the cause in the present writ petition does not survive for adjudication as the property in question has been removed from the list of prohibited properties. Hence, seeks to close the writ petition as infructuous.

4. Learned Assistant Government Pleader for Revenue, for respondent Nos.1 to and 7 and learned Standing Counsel appearing for respondent No.6 also takes no objection for the same.

5. Recording the submissions made by all the learned counsel, this writ petition is closed as infructuous. There shall be no orders as to costs.

As a sequel, miscellaneous petitions, if any, pending, shall stand closed.

//TRUE COPY//

Sd/-B.REKHA RANI
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

SECTION OFFICER

To

1. Two CCs to GP FOR REVENUE, High Court for the State of Telangana at Hyderabad. [OUT]
2. One CC to SRI RAMPRASAD PATHIPAKA, Advocate [OPUC]
3. One CC to SRI V.NARASIMHA GOUD, SC FOR HMDA, Advocate (OPUC)
4. Two CD Copies

SA
PMK


PA

HIGH COURT

DATED:26/08/2025

ORDER

WP.No.799 of 2022



CLOSING THE W.P

AS INFRUCTUOUS WITHOUT COSTS.

PA
⑦ 2/11/25